arXiv:1303.1916v1 [math.RT] 8 Mar 2013

SUPERCATEGORIFICATION OF QUANTUM KAC-MOODY ALGEBRAS II

SEOK-JIN KANG[1], MASAKI KASHIWARA[2], AND SE-JIN OH[3]

Abstract. In this paper, we investigate the supercategories consisting of supermodules over quiver Hecke superalgebras and cyclotomic quiver Hecke superalgebras. We prove that these supercategories provide a supercategorification of a certain family of quantum superalgebras and their integrable highest weight modules. We show that, by taking a specialization, we obtain a supercategorification of quantum Kac-Moody superalgebras and their integrable highest weight modules.

Contents

Introduction 2
1. Preliminaries 8
2. The algebra $U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g})$ 12
3. The algebra $\mathcal{U}_{\widetilde{\theta},\widetilde{\mathrm{p}}}(\mathfrak{g})$ 21
4. Quantum Kac-Moody superalgebras 29
4.1. Quantum Kac-Moody superalgebras 29
4.2. The algebra $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ 31
4.3. Representation theory of $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ 33
5. The algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ 39
6. Perfect bases 42

Date: March 8, 2013.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05E10, 16G99, 81R10.

Key words and phrases. categorification, quiver Hecke superalgebras, cyclotomic quotient, quantum Kac-Moody superalgebras.

[1] This work was supported by NRF Grant # 2012-005700 and NRF Grant # 2011-0027952.

[2] This work was supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) 22340005, Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

[3] This work was supported by Priority Research Centers Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology # 2012-047640.

7. Supercategories and 2-supercategories 46
7.1. Supercategories 46
7.2. Superbifunctors 48
7.3. Even and odd morphisms 50
7.4. 2-supercategories 50
7.5. Superalgebras and superbimodules 54
7.6. Grothendieck group 57
8. Supercategorification via quiver Hecke superalgebras 57
8.1. Quiver Hecke superalgebras 57
8.2. Strong perfect basis of $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R)$ 61
8.3. Cyclotomic quotients 65
8.4. Supercategorification 67
8.5. Quantum Kac-Moody algebras 70
References 71

INTRODUCTION

This is a continuation of our previous work on the supercategorification of quantum Kac-Moody algebras and their integrable highest weight modules [KKO12]. We first recall the main results of [KKO12].

Let I be an index set, $(\mathrm{A} = (a_{ij})_{i,j\in I}, \mathrm{P}, \Pi, \Pi^\vee)$ be a symmetrizable Cartan datum and $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ be the corresponding quantum group (or quantum Kac-Moody algebra). Since A is symmetrizable, there is a diagonal matrix D with positive integral entries d_i $(i \in I)$ such that DA is symmetric. For a dominant integral weight $\Lambda \in \mathrm{P}^+$, we denote by $V(\Lambda)$ the integrable highest weight $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$-module with highest weight Λ. The integral forms of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ and $V(\Lambda)$ will be denoted by $U_{\mathbb{A}}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $V_{\mathbb{A}}(\Lambda)$, where $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}]$.

In [KL09, KL11, R08], Khovanov-Lauda and Rouquier independently introduced a new family of graded algebras, the *Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras* or *quiver Hecke algebras*, that gives a categorification of quantum Kac-Moody algebras. Furthermore, Khovanov and Lauda conjectured that the cyclotomic quotients of quiver Hecke algebras give a categorification of integrable highest weight modules over quantum Kac-Moody algebras. This conjecture was proved by Kang and Kashiwara [KK11]. (See [W10] for another proof of this conjecture.)

Naturally, our next goal is to find a super-version of Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier categorification theorem and Kang-Kashiwara cyclotomic categorification theorem. In [KKT11], Kang, Kashiwara and Tsuchioka introduced the notion of *quiver Hecke superalgebras* and *quiver Hecke-Clifford superalgebras* which are $\mathbb{Z}$-graded algebras over a commutative graded ring $\mathbf{k} = \oplus_{n\geq 0}\mathbf{k}_n$ with $\mathbf{k}_0$ a field. They showed that these superalgebras are weakly Morita superequivalent and that, after some completion, the quiver Hecke-Clifford superalgebras are isomorphic to the affine Hecke-Clifford superalgebras. It follows that the same statements hold for the cyclotomic quotients of these superalgebras,

Based on the results of [KKT11], Kang, Kashiwara and Oh proved that the quiver Hecke superalgebras and the cyclotomic quiver Hecke superalgebras provide a supercategorification of quantum Kac-Moody algebras and their integrable highest weight modules [KKO12]. Here, a supercategorification of an algebraic structure means a construction of a 1-supercategory or a 2-supercategory whose Grothendieck group is isomorphic to the given algebraic structure. To describe the main results of [KKO12] in more detail, we need to fix some notations and conventions.

Let $\Bbbk$ be a commutative ring in which 2 is invertible. A *supercategory* is a $\Bbbk$-linear category $\mathscr{C}$ with an endofunctor Π and a natural isomorphism $\xi : \Pi^2 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{id}$ such that $\xi \cdot \Pi = \Pi \cdot \xi$. A *1-supercategory* is a $\Bbbk$-linear category $\mathscr{C}$ such that

(i) $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(X, Y)$ is endowed with a $\Bbbk$-supermodule structure for all $X, Y \in \mathscr{C}$,

(ii) the composition map

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(Y, Z) \times \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(X, Y) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(X, Z)$$

is $\Bbbk$-superbilinear.

The notion of supercategories and that of 1-supercategories are almost equivalent. One can also define the notion of *2-supercategories*. The basic properties of supercategories, 1-supercategories and 2-supercategories are explained in Section 7.

Let $A = A_0 \oplus A_1$ be a $\Bbbk$-superalgebra with an involution ϕ_A defined by

$$\phi_A(a) = (-1)^{\epsilon} a \quad (a \in A_{\epsilon},\ \epsilon = 0, 1).$$

We denote by $\mathrm{Mod}(A)$ be the category of left A-modules. Then $\mathrm{Mod}(A)$ is endowed with a supercategory structure induced by ϕ_A. On the other hand, let $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(A)$ denote the category of left A-supermodules with $\mathbb{Z}_2$-degree preserving homomorphisms. Then $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(A)$ has a structure of supercategory induced by the parity shift functor Π.

For $\beta \in \mathrm{Q}^+$, let $R(\beta)$ and $R^\Lambda(\beta)$ be the quiver Hecke superalgebra and the cyclotomic quiver Hecke superalgebra at β, respectively. In [KKO12], we dealt with the supercategory $\mathrm{Mod}(R(\beta))$ and $\mathrm{Mod}(R^\Lambda(\beta))$, not $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(R(\beta))$ and $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda(\beta))$. More precisely, let $(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{P}, \Pi, \Pi^\vee)$ be a *Cartan superdatum*. That is, the index set I has a decomposition $I = I_{\mathrm{even}} \sqcup I_{\mathrm{odd}}$ and $a_{ij} \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ for $i \in I_{\mathrm{odd}}$, $j \in I$. We denote by $\mathrm{Proj}(R(\beta))$ the supercategory of finitely generated projective $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $R(\beta)$-modules and $\mathrm{Rep}(R(\beta))$ the supercategory of $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $R(\beta)$-modules that are finite-dimensional over $\mathbf{k}_0$. We define the supercategories $\mathrm{Mod}(R^\Lambda(\beta))$, $\mathrm{Proj}(R^\Lambda(\beta))$ and $\mathrm{Rep}(R^\Lambda(\beta))$ in a similar way. Consider the supercategories

$$\mathrm{Rep}(R^\Lambda) = \bigoplus_{\beta\in\mathrm{Q}^+} \mathrm{Rep}(R^\Lambda(\beta)), \quad \mathrm{Proj}(R^\Lambda) = \bigoplus_{\beta\in\mathrm{Q}^+} \mathrm{Proj}(R^\Lambda(\beta)),$$
$$\mathrm{Rep}(R) = \bigoplus_{\beta\in\mathrm{Q}^+} \mathrm{Rep}(R(\beta)), \quad \mathrm{Proj}(R) = \bigoplus_{\beta\in\mathrm{Q}^+} \mathrm{Proj}(R(\beta)).$$

In [KKO12], we proved that

$$V_{\mathbb{A}}(\Lambda)^\vee \xrightarrow{\sim} [\mathrm{Rep}(R^\Lambda)], \qquad V_{\mathbb{A}}(\Lambda) \xrightarrow{\sim} [\mathrm{Proj}(R^\Lambda)],$$
$$U_{\mathbb{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g})^\vee \xrightarrow{\sim} [\mathrm{Rep}(R)], \qquad U_{\mathbb{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\sim} [\mathrm{Proj}(R)],$$

where $[\quad]$ denotes the Grothendieck group and $V_{\mathbb{A}}(\Lambda)^\vee$ (resp. $U_{\mathbb{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g})^\vee$) is the dual of $V_{\mathbb{A}}(\Lambda)$ (resp. $U_{\mathbb{A}}^-(\mathfrak{g})$).

The main theme of this paper is to investigate the structure of supercategories

$$\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda) = \bigoplus_{\beta\in\mathrm{Q}^+} \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda(\beta)), \quad \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(R) = \bigoplus_{\beta\in\mathrm{Q}^+} \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(R(\beta)),$$
$$\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda) = \bigoplus_{\beta\in\mathbb{Q}^+} \mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda(\beta)), \quad \mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R) = \bigoplus_{\beta\in\mathrm{Q}^+} \mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R(\beta)),$$
$$\mathrm{Proj}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda) = \bigoplus_{\beta\in\mathrm{Q}^+} \mathrm{Proj}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda(\beta)), \quad \mathrm{Proj}_{\mathrm{super}}(R) = \bigoplus_{\beta\in\mathrm{Q}^+} \mathrm{Proj}_{\mathrm{super}}(R(\beta)).$$

Here, we denote by $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(R(\beta))$ the supercategory of $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $R(\beta)$-supermodules, by $\mathrm{Proj}_{\mathrm{super}}(R(\beta))$ the supercategory of finitely generated projective $R(\beta)$-supermodules and by $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R(\beta))$ the supercategory of $R(\beta)$-supermodules finite-dimensional over $\mathbf{k}_0$. We define the supercategories $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda(\beta))$, $\mathrm{Proj}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda(\beta))$ and $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda(\beta))$ in a similar manner. The parity functor Π induces involutions π on the Grothendieck groups of these supercategories and we have isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}
&[\mathrm{Rep}(R^\Lambda)] \xrightarrow{\sim} [\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda)]/(\pi-1)[\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda)],\\
&[\mathrm{Proj}(R^\Lambda)] \xrightarrow{\sim} [\mathrm{Proj}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda)]/(\pi-1)[\mathrm{Proj}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda)],\\
&[\mathrm{Rep}(R)] \xrightarrow{\sim} [\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R)]/(\pi-1)[\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R)],\\
&[\mathrm{Proj}(R)] \xrightarrow{\sim} [\mathrm{Proj}_{\mathrm{super}}(R)]/(\pi-1)[\mathrm{Proj}_{\mathrm{super}}(R)].
\end{aligned}$$

Our goal is to prove that quiver Hecke superalgebras and cyclotomic quiver Hecke superalgebras provide a supercategorification of a certain family of quantum superalgebras and their integrable highest weight modules. We will also show that, by taking a specialization, we obtain a supercategorification of quantum Kac-Moody superalgebras and their integrable highest weight modules. However, it is quite delicate and needs some special care to present a precise statement of our main theorem.

We first define the algebras $U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\mathcal{U}_{\widetilde{\theta},\widetilde{\mathrm{p}}}(\mathfrak{g})$ which are generalizations of quantum Kac-Moody (super)algebras. Let $\theta := \{\theta_{ij}\}_{i,j\in I}$ and $\mathrm{p} := (\{p_{ij}\}_{i,j\in I}, \{p_i\}_{i\in I})$ be families of invertible elements in $\Bbbk$ such that $p_i^n - 1$ is invertible for all $i \in I$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Assume that θ and p satisfy the condition (2.8). We define $U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g})$ to be the $\Bbbk$-algebra generated by e_i, f_i, $K_i^{\pm 1}$ with the defining relations (2.1) and (2.11). We denote by $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{P}}(U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g}))$ the category of P-weighted $U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g})$-modules and $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{P}}_{\mathrm{int}}(U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g}))$ the subcategory consisting of P-weighted integrable $U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g})$-modules.

For each $i \in I$, choose a function $\chi_i : \mathrm{P} \to \Bbbk^\times$ satisfying (2.13). The *Verma module* $\mathrm{M}_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\Lambda)$ is defined to be the $U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g})$-module generated by a vector u_Λ with defining relations

$$K_i u_\Lambda = \chi_i(\Lambda) u_\Lambda, \quad e_i u_\Lambda = 0 \ \text{ for all } i \in I.$$

We define $\mathrm{V}_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\Lambda) = \mathrm{M}_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\Lambda)/\mathrm{N}_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\Lambda)$, where $\mathrm{N}_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\Lambda)$ is the unique maximal $U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g})$-submodule of $\mathrm{M}_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\Lambda)$ such that $\mathrm{N}_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\Lambda) \cap \Bbbk u_\Lambda = 0$. If $\Lambda \in \mathrm{P}^+$, then $\mathrm{V}_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\Lambda)$ belongs to $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{P}}_{\mathrm{int}}(U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g}))$ and $f_i^{\langle h_i,\Lambda\rangle+1} v_\Lambda = 0$ for all $i \in I$, where v_Λ is the image of u_Λ in $\mathrm{V}_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\Lambda)$. We conjecture that the category $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{P}}_{\mathrm{int}}(U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g}))$ is semisimple and every simple object is isomorphic to $\mathrm{V}_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\Lambda)$ for some $\Lambda \in \mathrm{P}^+$. (See Conjecture 2.8.)

On the other hand, let $\tilde{\theta} = \{\theta_{ij}\}_{i,j\in I}$ and $\tilde{\mathrm{p}} = \{\tilde{p}_i\}_{i\in I}$ be families of invertible elements in $\Bbbk$ such that $1 - \tilde{p}_i^n$ is invertible for all $i \in I$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Assume that $\tilde{\theta}$ and $\tilde{\mathrm{p}}$ satisfy the condition (3.4). We define $\mathcal{U}_{\widetilde{\theta},\widetilde{\mathrm{p}}}(\mathfrak{g})$ to be the $\Bbbk$-algebra generated by e_i, f_i, $\tilde{K}_i^{\pm 1}$ with defining relations (3.1) and (3.8). Assume that θ, p, $\tilde{\theta}$ and $\tilde{\mathrm{p}}$ satisfy the relation (3.3). Then we have the following equivalences of categories (Proposition 3.4):

$$\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{P}}(U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{P}}(\mathcal{U}_{\widetilde{\theta},\widetilde{\mathrm{p}}}(\mathfrak{g})), \quad \mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{P}}_{\mathrm{int}}(U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{P}}_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathcal{U}_{\widetilde{\theta},\widetilde{\mathrm{p}}}(\mathfrak{g})).$$

Moreover these categories only depend on $\{p_i^2\}_{i\in I}$.

The algebras $U^-_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\mathcal{U}^-_{\widetilde{\theta},\widetilde{\mathrm{p}}}(\mathfrak{g})$ have a structure of $\mathrm{B}_{\widetilde{\theta},\widetilde{p}}(\mathfrak{g})$-module, where $U^-_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g})$ (resp. $\mathcal{U}^-_{\widetilde{\theta},\widetilde{\mathrm{p}}}(\mathfrak{g})$) is the subalgebra of $U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g})$ (resp. $\mathcal{U}_{\widetilde{\theta},\widetilde{\mathrm{p}}}(\mathfrak{g})$) generated by f_i's ($i \in I$) and $\mathrm{B}_{\widetilde{\theta},\widetilde{p}}(\mathfrak{g})$ is the *quantum boson algebra* (see Definition 3.7).

For a Cartan superdatum $(A, \mathrm{P}, \Pi, \Pi^\vee)$, we define the *parity function* $\mathrm{p} : I \to \{0, 1\}$ by $\mathrm{p}(i) = 0$ if i is even, $\mathrm{p}(i) = 1$ if i is odd. We denote by $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{even}} = \{\lambda \in \mathrm{P} \mid \langle h_i, \lambda\rangle \in 2\mathbb{Z}\ \text{ for } i \in I_{\mathrm{odd}}\}$ and set $\mathrm{P}^+_{\mathrm{even}} = \mathrm{P}^+ \cap \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{even}}$.

Let π (resp. $\sqrt{\pi}$) be an indeterminate such that $\pi^2 = 1$ (resp. $(\sqrt{\pi})^2 = \pi$). For any ring R, we define

$$R^{\pi} = R \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\pi], \qquad R^{\sqrt{\pi}} = R \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{\pi}].$$

Set $\Bbbk = \mathbb{Q}(q)^{\sqrt{\pi}}$ and choose θ and p satisfying (4.4):

$$p_i = q_i\sqrt{\pi_i}, \quad p_{ij} = q_i^{a_{ij}}, \quad \theta_{ij}\theta_{ji} = 1, \quad \theta_{ii} = \pi_i.$$

Let $\mathrm{U}^q_\theta(\mathfrak{g}) = U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $V^q_\theta(\Lambda) = \mathrm{V}_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\Lambda)$ for this choice of θ and p. The algebra $\mathrm{U}^q_\theta(\mathfrak{g})$ is the *quantum Kac-Moody superalgebra* introduced by [KT91, BKM98]. It was shown in [BKM98] that the category $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{even}}}_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathbb{C}(q) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(q)} \mathrm{U}^q_\theta(\mathfrak{g}))$ is semisimple and every simple object is isomorphic to $V^q_\theta(\Lambda)/(\sqrt{\pi} - c)$ for some $\Lambda \in \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{even}}$ and $c \in \mathbb{C}$ with $c^4 = 1$.

Now we take another choice of θ and p given in (4.6):

$$p_i = q_i\sqrt{\pi_i}, \quad p_{ij} = p_i^{a_{ij}}, \quad \theta_{ij} = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\pi_j}^{a_{ji}} & \text{if } i \neq j, \\ 1 & \text{if } i = j. \end{cases}$$

We denote by $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}) = U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\mathbf{V}(\Lambda) = V_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\Lambda)$ for this choice. We prove in Corollary 4.5 and in Theorem 4.16 that

(i) We have the equivalence of categories

$$\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{P}}\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{P}}U^q_\theta(\mathfrak{g}).$$

(ii) The category $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{P}}_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}))$ is semisimple and every simple object is isomorphic to $\mathbf{V}(\Lambda)$ for some $\Lambda \in \mathrm{P}^+$.

The key ingredient of the proof is the *quantum Casimir operator* for the quantum superalgebra $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ (See Section 4.3).

We finally define $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ to be the $\Bbbk$-algebra $\mathcal{U}_{\tilde{\theta},\tilde{\mathrm{p}}}(\mathfrak{g})$ with $\tilde{\theta}$, $\tilde{\mathrm{p}}$ and $\Bbbk$ given in (5.1):

$$\tilde{p}_i = q_i^2\pi_i, \quad \tilde{\theta}_{ij} = \tilde{\theta}_{ji} = \pi^{\mathrm{p}(i)\mathrm{p}(j)} q_i^{-a_{ij}}, \quad \Bbbk = \mathbb{Q}(q)^{\pi}.$$

For $\Lambda \in \mathrm{P}^+$, let $\mathcal{V}(\Lambda)$ be the P-weighted $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$-module generated by v_Λ with defining relations (5.4):

$$\tilde{K}_i v_\Lambda = (q_i^2\pi_i)^{\langle h_i,\Lambda\rangle} v_\Lambda = \tilde{p}_i^{\langle h_i,\Lambda\rangle} v_\Lambda, \quad e_i v_\Lambda = 0, \quad f_i^{\langle h_i,\Lambda\rangle+1} v_\Lambda = 0.$$

Then we prove in Theorem 5.2 that

(i) We have the equivalences of categories

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{P}}(\mathbb{Q}(q)^{\sqrt{\pi}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(q)^{\pi}} \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{P}}(\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})), \\ &\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{P}}_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathbb{Q}(q)^{\sqrt{\pi}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}(q)^{\pi}} \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{P}}_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) The category $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{P}}_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}))$ is semisimple and every simple object is isomorphic to $\mathcal{V}(\Lambda)/(\pi-\varepsilon)\mathcal{V}(\Lambda)$ for some $\Lambda \in \mathrm{P}^+$ and $\varepsilon = \pm 1$.

The algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ and the $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$-module $\mathcal{V}(\Lambda)$ are directly related to the supercategorification via quiver Hecke superalgebras and cyclotomic quiver Hecke superalgebras. We denote by $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\Lambda)$ the $\mathbb{A}^\pi$-forms of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\mathcal{V}(\Lambda)$, respectively, where $\mathbb{A}^\pi = \mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]^\pi \subset \mathbb{Q}(q)^\pi$. Also, we denote by $B^{\mathrm{up}}_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $B^{\mathrm{low}}_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\mathfrak{g})$ the upper and lower $\mathbb{A}^\pi$-forms of the quantum boson algebra $B_{\tilde{\theta},\tilde{\mathrm{p}}}(\mathfrak{g})$.

Now we can state our supercategorification theorems (Theorem 8.14 and Corollary 8.15):

(a) There exist isomorphisms of $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\mathfrak{g})$-modules

$$\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\Lambda)^\vee \xrightarrow{\sim} [\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda)], \qquad \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\Lambda) \xrightarrow{\sim} [\mathrm{Proj}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda)].$$

(b) There exist isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{U}^-_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\mathfrak{g})^\vee \xrightarrow{\sim} [\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R)], \qquad \mathcal{U}^-_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\sim} [\mathrm{Proj}_{\mathrm{super}}(R)]$$

as $B^{\mathrm{up}}_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\mathfrak{g})$-modules and $B^{\mathrm{low}}_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\mathfrak{g})$-modules, respectively.

To prove our main theorems, for each $i \in I$ and $\beta \in \mathrm{Q}^+$, we define the superfunctors

$$\begin{aligned} &E_i^\Lambda \colon \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda(\beta+\alpha_i)) \to \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda(\beta)), \\ &F_i^\Lambda \colon \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda(\beta)) \to \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda(\beta+\alpha_i)) \end{aligned}$$

by

$$\begin{aligned}E_i^\Lambda(N) &= e(\beta,i)N = e(\beta,i)R^\Lambda(\beta+\alpha_i)\otimes_{R^\Lambda(\beta+\alpha_i)} N,\\ F_i^\Lambda(M) &= R^\Lambda(\beta+\alpha_i)e(\beta,i)\otimes_{R^\Lambda(\beta)} M\end{aligned}$$

for $M \in \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda(\beta))$ and $N \in \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda(\beta+\alpha_i))$. By the same argument as in [KKO12], one can verify that E_i^Λ and F_i^Λ are well-defined exact functors on $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda)$ and $\mathrm{Proj}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda)$. Similarly, one can show that there exist natural isomorphisms of endofunctors on $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda(\beta))$ given below:

$$\begin{aligned}&E_i^\Lambda F_j^\Lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} q^{-(\alpha_i|\alpha_j)}\Pi^{\mathrm{p}(i)\mathrm{p}(j)}F_j^\Lambda E_i^\Lambda \quad \text{if } i\neq j,\\ &\Pi_i q_i^{-2}F_i^\Lambda E_i^\Lambda \oplus \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\langle h_i,\Lambda-\beta\rangle-1}\Pi_i^k q_i^{2k} \xrightarrow{\sim} E_i^\Lambda F_i^\Lambda \quad \text{if } \langle h_i,\Lambda-\beta\rangle\geq 0,\\ &\Pi_i q_i^{-2}F_i^\Lambda E_i^\Lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} E_i^\Lambda F_i^\Lambda \oplus \bigoplus_{k=0}^{-\langle h_i,\Lambda-\beta\rangle-1}\Pi_i^{k+1} q_i^{-2k-2} \quad \text{if } \langle h_i,\Lambda-\beta\rangle< 0.\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $[\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda)]$ and $[\mathrm{Proj}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda)]$ are endowed with $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\mathfrak{g})$-module structure. Moreover, using the characterization theorem of $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\Lambda)^\vee$ in terms of strong perfect bases (Theorem 6.6), we conclude that

$$\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\Lambda)^\vee \xrightarrow{\sim} [\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda)].$$

The rest of our statements follow by duality and by taking inductive limit.

When the Cartan superdatum satisfies the (C6) condition proposed by [HW12]: d_i is odd if and only of $i\in I_{\mathrm{odd}}$, we have

$$\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{P}}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{P}}(\mathsf{U}_v(\mathfrak{g})),$$

where $\mathsf{U}_v(\mathfrak{g})$ is the usual quantum Kac-Moody algebra with $v=q\sqrt{\pi}$. Hence the results in [HW12] follow as a special case of our supercategorification theorems.

Acknowledgements. We would like to express our gratitude to Sabin Cautis for fruitful correspondences.

1. PRELIMINARIES

Let I be an index set. An integral matrix $\mathrm{A}=(a_{ij})_{i,j\in I}$ is called a *Cartan matrix* if it satisfies: (i) $a_{ii}=2$, (ii) $a_{ij}\leq 0$ for $i\neq j$, (iii) $a_{ij}=0$ if $a_{ji}=0$. We say that A is *symmetrizable* if there is a diagonal matrix $\mathrm{D} = \mathrm{diag}(\mathrm{d}_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \mid i \in I)$ such that DA is symmetric.

Definition 1.1. A *Cartan datum* is a quadruple $(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{P}, \Pi, \Pi^\vee)$ consisting of

- (i) a symmetrizable Cartan matrix A,
- (ii) a free abelian group P, called the *weight lattice*,
- (iii) $\Pi = \{\alpha_i \in \mathrm{P} \mid i \in I\}$, called the set of *simple roots*,
- (iv) $\Pi^\vee = \{h_i \mid i \in I\} \subset \mathrm{P}^\vee := \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{P}, \mathbb{Z})$, called the set of *simple coroots*,

satisfying the following properties:

- (a) $\langle h_i, \alpha_j \rangle = a_{ij}$ for all $i, j \in I$,
- (b) Π is linearly independent.

The weight lattice P has a symmetric bilinear form $(\ |\ )$ satisfying

$$(\alpha_i|\lambda) = \mathrm{d}_i \langle h_i, \lambda \rangle \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in \mathrm{P}.$$

In particular, we have $(\alpha_i|\alpha_j) = \mathrm{d}_i a_{ij}$. Let $\mathrm{P}^+ := \{\lambda \in \mathrm{P} \mid \langle h_i, \lambda \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \text{ for all } i \in I\}$ be the set of *dominant integral weights*. The free abelian group $\mathrm{Q} := \oplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i$ is called the *root lattice*. Set $\mathrm{Q}^+ = \sum_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \alpha_i$ and $\mathrm{Q}^- = -\mathrm{Q}^+$. For $\beta = \sum k_i \alpha_i \in \mathrm{Q}$, the *height* of β is defined to be $|\beta| = \sum |k_i|$. For each $i \in I$, let $s_i \in \mathrm{GL}(\mathrm{P})$ be the *simple reflection* on P defined by $s_i(\lambda) = \lambda - \langle h_i, \lambda \rangle \alpha_i$ for $\lambda \in \mathrm{P}$. The subgroup W of GL(P) generated by s_i is called the *Weyl group* associated with the Cartan datum $(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{P}, \Pi, \Pi^\vee)$.

Definition 1.2 ([Kac90])**.** The Kac-Moody Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ associated with the Cartan datum $(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{P}, \Pi, \Pi^\vee)$ is the Lie algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$ generated by $\mathfrak{t} := \mathbb{Q} \otimes P^\vee$ and e_i, f_i $(i \in I)$ satisfying the following defining relations:

- (i) $\mathfrak{t}$ is abelian,
- (ii) $[h, e_i] = \langle h, \alpha_i \rangle e_i$, $[h, f_i] = -\langle h, \alpha_i \rangle f_i$,
- (iii) $[e_i, f_j] = \delta_{i,j} h_i$,
- (iv) $\mathrm{ad}(e_i)^{1-a_{ij}} e_j = 0$, $\mathrm{ad}(f_i)^{1-a_{ij}} f_j = 0$ for any $i \neq j \in I$.

Then $\mathfrak{g}$ has the root space decomposition: $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{\beta \in Q} \mathfrak{g}_\beta$, where

$$\mathfrak{g}_\beta = \{a \in \mathfrak{g} \mid [h, a] = \langle h, \beta \rangle a \text{ for any } h \in \mathfrak{t}\}.$$

We denote by

- (i) $\Delta := \{\beta \in \mathrm{Q} \setminus \{0\} \mid \mathfrak{g}_\beta \neq 0\}$, the set of *roots* of $\mathfrak{g}$,
- (ii) $\Delta^\pm := \Delta \cap \mathrm{Q}^\pm$, the set of *positive roots* (resp. *negative roots*) of $\mathfrak{g}$,

(iii) $\mathrm{mult}(\beta) := \dim \mathfrak{g}_\beta$, the *multiplicity* of the root β.

Let $\Bbbk$ be a commutative ring which will play the role of base ring. In this paper, we will deal with several associative $\Bbbk$-algebras $\mathcal{A}$ generated by e_i, f_i , $K_i^{\pm 1}$ $(i \in I)$ satisfying the relations

$$K_i e_j K_i^{-1} = g_i^{a_{ij}} e_j, \quad K_i f_j K_i^{-1} = g_i^{-a_{ij}} f_j$$

for some invertible elements g_i in $\Bbbk$.

We say that $\mathcal{A}$ has a *weight space decomposition* if it is endowed with a decomposition

$$\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathrm{Q}} \mathcal{A}_\alpha$$

such that $e_i \mathcal{A}_\alpha + \mathcal{A}_\alpha e_i \subset \mathcal{A}_{\alpha+\alpha_i}$, $f_i \mathcal{A}_\alpha + \mathcal{A}_\alpha f_i \subset \mathcal{A}_{\alpha-\alpha_i}$ and $K_i a K_i^{-1} = g_i^{\langle h_i, \alpha \rangle} a$ for any $\alpha \in \mathrm{Q}$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha$.

Let G be a subset of P such that $G + \mathrm{Q} \subset \mathrm{P}$. An $\mathcal{A}$-module V is called a *G-weighted module* if it is endowed with a *G-weight space decomposition*

$$V = \bigoplus_{\mu \in G} V_\mu$$

such that $\mathcal{A}_\alpha V_\mu \subset V_{\mu+\alpha}$, and $K_i|_{V_\mu} = g_i^{\langle h_i, \mu \rangle} \mathrm{id}_{V_\mu}$ for any $\alpha \in \mathrm{Q}$ and $\mu \in G$. A vector $v \in V_\mu$ is called a *weight vector* of weight μ. We denote the set of weights of V by $\mathrm{wt}(V) := \{\mu \in G \mid V_\mu \neq 0\}$.

We call an $\mathcal{A}$-module M a *highest weight module* with highest weight Λ if M is $(\Lambda + \mathrm{Q})$-weighted module and there exists a vector $v_\Lambda \in M_\Lambda$ (called a *highest weight vector*) such that

$$M = \mathcal{A}\, v_\Lambda, \quad e_i v_\Lambda = 0 \ \text{ for all } i \in I. \tag{1.1}$$

An $\mathcal{A}$-module $M(\Lambda)$ with highest weight $\Lambda \in \mathrm{P}$ is called an $\mathcal{A}$-*Verma* module if every $\mathcal{A}$-module with highest weight Λ is a quotient of $M(\Lambda)$.

For later use, we fix some notations.

(i) We denote by $\mathrm{Mod}^G(\mathcal{A})$ the abelian category of G-weighted $\mathcal{A}$-modules V.

(ii) We denote by $\mathcal{O}^G(\mathcal{A})$ the full subcategory of $\mathrm{Mod}^G(\mathcal{A})$ consisting of G-weighted $\mathcal{A}$-modules V satisfying the following conditions:
   (a) $\dim V_\lambda < \infty$ for any $\lambda \in G$,
   (b) there are finitely many $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_s \in G$ such that $\mathrm{wt}(V) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{s} (\lambda_i - \mathrm{Q}^+)$.

(iii) We denote by $\mathcal{O}^G_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathcal{A})$ the full subcategory of $\mathcal{O}^G(\mathcal{A})$ consisting of the modules V satisfying the additional condition:
  (c) For any $i \in I$, the actions of e_i and f_i on V are locally nilpotent.

Definition 1.3.

(a) We say that an $\mathcal{A}$-module is *integrable* if it belongs to the category $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{P}}_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathcal{A})$.
(b) For $V \in \mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{P}}(\mathcal{A})$, we define its *character* by

$$\mathrm{ch}(V) = \sum_{\lambda\in\mathrm{P}} (\dim V_\lambda)\, \mathrm{e}^{\lambda}.$$

Let R be a ring and let $\{X_j^{\pm1} \mid j \in J\}$ be a family of commuting variables. Set

$$R[X_j^{\pm1} \mid j \in J] = R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} Z[X_j^{\pm1} \mid j \in J].$$

Then the following lemma is obvious.

Lemma 1.4. (a) *Let $\{\varphi_j \mid j \in J\}$ be a family of commuting automorphisms of R. Then $R[X_j^{\pm1} \mid j \in J]$ has a ring structure given by*

$$X_j^{\pm1}\, a = \varphi_j^{\pm1}(a)\, X_j^{\pm1} \quad (a \in R,\ j \in J).$$

(b) *If $J' \subset J$ and $\varphi_j^2 = \mathrm{id}$ for all $j \in J'$, then we may assume that $X_j^2 = 1$ for all $j \in J'$.*

In this case, we say that $R[X_j^{\pm1} \mid j \in J]$ is obtained from R by adding the mutually commuting operators satisfying

$$X_j\, a\, X_j^{-1} = \varphi_j(a) \quad (a \in R, j \in J).$$

For a, $b \in \Bbbk$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we define

$$[n]_{a,b} = \frac{a^n - b^n}{a-b}, \quad [n]_{a,b}! = \prod_{k=1}^{n} [k]_{a,b}, \begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix}_{a,b} = \frac{[m]_{a,b}!}{[m-n]_{a,b}!\, [n]_{a,b}!}. \tag{1.2}$$

Note that they are polynomials of a and b. Moreover, we have

$$[n]_{ac,bc} = c^{n-1}[a]_{a,b}, \quad [n]_{ac,bc}! = c^{n(n-1)/2}[n]_{a,b}!, \quad \begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix}_{ac,bc} = c^{n(m-n)} \begin{bmatrix} m \\ n \end{bmatrix}_{a,b}, \tag{1.3}$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{a,b} (ab)^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} z^k = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (1 + a^{n-1-k} b^k z). \tag{1.4}$$

2. THE ALGEBRA $U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g})$

Let $\theta := \{\theta_{ij}\}_{i,j\in I}$ and $\mathrm{p} := (\{p_{ij}\}_{i,j\in I}, \{p_i\}_{i\in I})$ be families of invertible elements of a commutative ring $\Bbbk$ such that $p_i^n - 1$ is invertible for any i and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Define $\mathcal{F}(\theta,\mathrm{p})$ to be the $\Bbbk$-algebra generated by e_i, f_i, $K_i^{\pm1}$ $(i \in I)$ with the defining relations

$$\begin{aligned}&K_iK_j = K_jK_i, \quad K_ie_jK_i^{-1} = p_{ij}e_j, \quad K_if_jK_i^{-1} = p_{ij}^{-1}f_j,\\ &e_if_j - \theta_{ji}f_je_i = \delta_{i,j}\frac{K_i - K_i^{-1}}{p_i - p_i^{-1}}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Then there exists an anti-isomorphism

$$\mathcal{F}(\theta,\mathrm{p}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}({}^{\mathrm{t}}\theta, p) \tag{2.2}$$

given by

$$e_i \mapsto f_i, \quad f_i \mapsto e_i, \quad K_i \mapsto K_i \quad (i \in I),$$

where $({}^{\mathrm{t}}\theta)_{ij} = \theta_{ji}$.

Let us denote by $\mathcal{F}^-(\theta,\mathrm{p})$ be the subalgebra of $\mathcal{F}(\theta,\mathrm{p})$ generated by the f_i's $(i \in I)$. Then $\mathcal{F}^-(\theta,\mathrm{p})$ is a free $\Bbbk$-algebra with $\{f_i \mid i \in I\}$ as generators. Similarly, let $\mathcal{F}^+(\theta,\mathrm{p})$ be the subalgebra generated by the e_i's $(i \in I)$ and set $\mathcal{F}^0 = \Bbbk[K_i^{\pm1} \mid i \in I]$. Then we have a triangular decomposition

$$\mathcal{F}^-(\theta,\mathrm{p}) \otimes \Bbbk[K_i^{\pm1} \mid i \in I] \otimes \mathcal{F}^+(\theta,\mathrm{p}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}(\theta,\mathrm{p}). \tag{2.3}$$

We will investigate the role of θ and p in characterizing the algebra $\mathcal{F}(\theta,\mathrm{p})$. Let θ' and p' be another choice of such families and consider the algebra $\mathcal{F}(\theta',\mathrm{p}')$. We take a set of invertible elements x_{ij},y_{ij}, ε_{ij}, c_i in $\Bbbk$ and let $\mathcal{F}(\theta,\mathrm{p})[P,Q,R]$ (resp. $\mathcal{F}(\theta',\mathrm{p}')[P,Q,R]$) be the algebra obtained from $\mathcal{F}(\theta,\mathrm{p})$ (resp. $\mathcal{F}(\theta',\mathrm{p}')$) by adding mutually commuting operators $P = (P_i^{\pm1})$, $Q = (Q_i^{\pm1})$, $R = (R_{ij}^{\pm1})$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}&P_ie_jP_i^{-1} = x_{ij}e_j,\ P_if_jP_i^{-1} = x_{ij}^{-1}f_j,\ P_iK_jP_i^{-1} = K_j,\\ &Q_ie_jQ_i^{-1} = y_{ij}e_j,\ Q_if_jQ_i^{-1} = y_{ij}^{-1}f_j,\ Q_iK_jQ_i^{-1} = K_j,\\ &R_ie_jR_i^{-1} = \varepsilon_{ij}e_j,\ R_if_jR_i^{-1} = \varepsilon_{ij}^{-1}f_j,\ R_iK_jR_i^{-1} = K_j,\\ &x_{ij}y_{ij} = \varepsilon_{ij},\ \varepsilon_{ij}^2 = 1,\ P_iQ_i = c_iR_i,\ R_i^2 = 1.\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.1. *Assume that*

$$\theta'_{ij} = \varepsilon_{ij}x_{ji}x_{ij}^{-1}\theta_{ij} = \varepsilon_{ji}y_{ij}y_{ji}^{-1}\theta_{ij}, \quad p'_{ij} = \varepsilon_{ij}p_{ij}, \quad c_i = x_{ii}\frac{p'_i - p_i'^{-1}}{p_i - p_i^{-1}}.$$

Then there exists a $\Bbbk$*-algebra isomorphism*

$$\kappa : \mathcal{F}(\theta, \mathrm{p})[P, Q, R] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}(\theta', \mathrm{p}')[P, Q, R]$$

given by

$$e_i \mapsto e_i P_i, \;\; f_i \mapsto f_i Q_i, \;\; K_i \mapsto K_i R_i.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa(e_i f_j - \theta_{ji} f_j e_i) &= e_i P_i f_j Q_j - \theta_{ji} f_j Q_j e_i P_i \\ &= \big(x_{ij}^{-1} e_i f_j - \theta_{ji} y_{ji} f_j e_i\big) P_i Q_j \end{aligned}$$

Since $\theta'_{ji} = x_{ij} y_{ji} \theta_{ji}$, it is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} x_{ij}^{-1}\big(e_i f_j - \theta'_{ji} f_j e_i\big) P_i Q_j &= \delta_{i,j} x_{ii}^{-1} \frac{K_i - K_i^{-1}}{p'_i - {p'_i}^{-1}} P_i Q_i \\ &= \delta_{i,j} x_{ii}^{-1} c_i \frac{K_i R_i - (K_i R_i)^{-1}}{p'_i - {p'_i}^{-1}} = \kappa\Big(\delta_{i,j} \frac{K_i - K_i^{-1}}{p_i - p_i^{-1}}\Big). \end{aligned}$$

The other relations can be easily checked. □

Hence we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2.2. *Suppose we have*

$$p'^{\,2}_{ij} = p_{ij}^2, \quad (p'_{ij} p'_{ji})/(\theta'_{ij} \theta'_{ji}) = (p_{ij} p_{ji})/(\theta_{ij} \theta_{ji}), \quad p'_{ii}/\theta'_{ii} = p_{ii}/\theta_{ii}. \tag{2.4}$$

Then there exists a $\Bbbk$*-algebra isomorphism*

$$\kappa : \mathcal{F}(\theta, \mathrm{p})[P, Q, R] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}(\theta', \mathrm{p}')[P, Q, R]$$

for some choice of $x_{ij}, \; y_{ij}, \; \varepsilon_{ij}, \; c_i \; (i, j \in I)$.

Now let us investigate the conditions under which the Serre type relations

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} x_k f_i^{(n-k)} f_j f_i^{(k)} = 0$$

can be added to the defining relations (2.1). Here,

$$\begin{aligned} &[n]_i^{\mathrm{p}} = [n]_{p_i, p_i^{-1}}, \quad [n]_i^{\mathrm{p}}\,! = [n]_{p_i, p_i^{-1}}!, \qquad \begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix}_i^{\mathrm{p}} = \frac{[n]_i^{\mathrm{p}}\,!}{[m]_i^{\mathrm{p}}\,! \, [n-m]_i^{\mathrm{p}}\,!}, \\ &e_i^{(n)} = e_i^n / [n]_i^{\mathrm{p}}\,!, \quad f_i^{(n)} = f_i^n / [n]_i^{\mathrm{p}}\,!. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Assume for a while that

$$\theta_{ii} = 1 \text{ and } p_{ii} = p_i^2. \tag{2.6}$$

For $i, j \in I$ with $i \neq j$, let

$$S_{ij} := \sum_{m=0}^{n_{ij}} x_{ij,m} f_i^{(n_{ij}-m)} f_j f_i^{(m)}$$

for some $n_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $x_{ij,m} \in \Bbbk$. We shall investigate the conditions under which S_{ij} satisfies: $e_k S_{ij} \in \mathcal{F}(\theta, \mathrm{p}) e_k$ for any $k \in I$. It is obvious that $e_k S_{ij} \in \mathcal{F}(\theta, \mathrm{p}) e_k$ for any k such that $k \neq i, j$. Set

$$\{x\}_i^{\mathrm{p}} = (x - x^{-1})/(p_i - p_i^{-1}).$$

Then we have

$$e_i f_i^{(n)} = f_i^{(n)} e_i + f_i^{(n-1)} \{p_i^{1-n} K_i\}_i^{\mathrm{p}}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
e_i S_{ij} &= \sum_{m=0}^{n_{ij}} x_{ij,m} \big(f_i^{(n_{ij}-m)} e_i + f_i^{(n_{ij}-m-1)} \{p_i^{1-n_{ij}+m} K_i\}_i^{\mathrm{p}}\big) f_j f_i^{(m)} \\
&= \sum_{m=0}^{n_{ij}} x_{ij,m} \theta_{ji} f_i^{(n_{ij}-m)} f_j \big(f_i^{(m)} e_i + f_i^{(m-1)} \{p_i^{1-m} K_i\}_i^{\mathrm{p}}\big) \\
&\qquad + \sum_{m=0}^{n_{ij}} x_{ij,m} f_i^{(n_{ij}-m-1)} f_j f_i^{(m)} \{p_i^{1-n_{ij}+m} p_{ij}^{-1} p_i^{-2m} K_i\}_i^{\mathrm{p}} \\
&= \theta_{ji} S_{ij} e_i + \sum_{m=0}^{n_{ij}-1} f_i^{(n_{ij}-m-1)} f_j f_i^{(m)} \Big(x_{ij,m+1} \theta_{ji} \{p_i^{-m} K_i\}_i^{\mathrm{p}} + x_{ij,m} \{p_i^{1-n_{ij}+m} p_{ij}^{-1} p_i^{-2m} K_i\}_i^{\mathrm{p}}\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Comparing the coefficients of $K_i^{\pm 1}$, we see that $e_i S_{ij} \in \mathcal{F}(\theta, \mathrm{p}) e_i$ if and only if

$$x_{ij,m+1} \theta_{ji} (p_i^{-m})^{\pm 1} + x_{ij,m} (p_i^{1-n_{ij}-m} p_{ij}^{-1})^{\pm 1} = 0 \quad \text{for } 0 \le m \le n_{ij}.$$

Hence we obtain

$$x_{ij,m+1} \;=\; -\theta_{ji}^{-1} (p_i^{1-n_{ij}} p_{ij}^{-1})^{\pm 1} x_{ij,m}.$$

Set $x_{ij,0} = 1$. Then we have

$$(p_i^{1-n_{ij}} p_{ij}^{-1})^2 = 1, \quad p_{ij} = c_{ij} p_i^{1-n_{ij}}, \quad x_{ij,m} = (-c_{ij} \theta_{ji}^{-1})^m, \quad c_{ij}^2 = 1,$$

which yields

$$S_{ij}=\sum_{m=0}^{n_{ij}}(-c_{ij}\theta_{ji}^{-1})^m f_i^{(n_{ij}-m)}f_jf_i^{(m)}.$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
e_jS_{ij} &= \sum_{m=0}^{n_{ij}}(-c_{ij}\theta_{ji}^{-1})^m\theta_{ij}^{n_{ij}-m}f_i^{(n_{ij}-m)}(f_je_j+\{K_j\}_j^{\mathrm{p}})f_i^{(m)}\\
&= \theta_{ij}^{n_{ij}}S_{ij}+f_i^{(n_{ij})}\Big(\sum_{m=0}^{n_{ij}}(-c_{ij}\theta_{ji}^{-1})^m\begin{bmatrix}n_{ij}\\ m\end{bmatrix}_i^{\mathrm{p}}\theta_{ij}^{n_{ij}-m}\{p_{ji}^{-m}K_j\}_j^{\mathrm{p}}\Big).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the following quantity vanishes for $\varepsilon=\pm 1$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{m=0}^{n_{ij}}(-c_{ij}\theta_{ji}^{-1})^m\begin{bmatrix}n_{ij}\\ m\end{bmatrix}_i^{\mathrm{p}}\theta_{ij}^{n_{ij}-m}p_{ji}{}^{\varepsilon m} &= \theta_{ij}^{n_{ij}}\sum_{m=0}^{n_{ij}}\begin{bmatrix}n_{ij}\\ m\end{bmatrix}_i^{\mathrm{p}}\big(-c_{ij}\theta_{ji}^{-1}\theta_{ij}^{-1}p_{ji}{}^{\varepsilon}\big)^m\\
&= \prod_{k=0}^{n_{ij}-1}\big(1-p_i^{1-n_{ij}+2k}c_{ij}\theta_{ji}^{-1}\theta_{ij}^{-1}p_{ji}{}^{\varepsilon}\big).
\end{aligned}$$

Here, the last equality follows from (1.4).

Therefore there exist ℓ_ε with $|\ell_\varepsilon|<n_{ij}$ satisfying

$$\ell_\varepsilon\equiv n_{ij}-1\mod 2,\quad p_{ji}=\big(\theta_{ji}\theta_{ij}c_{ij}\big)^{\varepsilon}p_i^{\ell_\varepsilon}.$$

Hence $(p_{ji})^2=p_i^{\ell_++\ell_-}$ which implies $p_{ji}=d_{ij}p_i^{\ell_{ij}}$, where $\ell_{ij}=(\ell_++\ell_-)/2\in\mathbb{Z}$ and $d_{ij}^2=1$. Then we have $\theta_{ij}\theta_{ji}=c_{ij}d_{ij}p_i^{\ell'_{ij}}$ for some ℓ'_{ij}. Since $p_i^{\ell_{ij}}=p_i^{\varepsilon\ell'_{ij}}p_i^{\ell_\varepsilon}$, we have $\ell_\varepsilon=\ell_{ij}-\varepsilon\ell'_{ij}$. Thus we obtain

$p_{ji}=d_{ij}p_i^{\ell_{ij}}$, $\theta_{ij}\theta_{ji}=c_{ij}d_{ij}p_i^{\ell'_{ij}}$ with $d_{ij}^2=1$, $|l_{ij}|+|l'_{ij}|\le n_{ij}-1$,
$\ell_{ij}+\ell'_{ij}\equiv n_{ij}-1\mod 2$

As its solution, we take

$$n_{ij}=1-a_{ij},\quad \ell_{ij}=n_{ij},\quad \ell'_{ij}=0. \tag{2.7}$$

With this choice, we have

$$p_{ij}=c_{ij}p_i^{a_{ij}}=d_{ji}p_j^{a_{ji}},\quad \theta_{ij}\theta_{ji}=c_{ij}d_{ji}.$$

Hence, together with $\theta_{ii}=1$, we obtain

$$p_{ij}^2=p_i^{2a_{ij}},\quad (p_{ij}p_{ji})/(\theta_{ij}\theta_{ji})=p_i^{2a_{ij}},\quad p_{ii}/\theta_{ii}=p_i^2.$$

Proposition 2.3. *Assume that families* $\theta := \{\theta_{ij}\}_{i,j\in I}$ *and* $\mathrm{p} := (\{p_{ij}\}_{i,j\in I}, \{p_i\}_{i\in I})$ *of invertible elements of* $\Bbbk$ *satisfy the following conditions:*

$$\begin{aligned} &p_{ij}^2 = p_i^{2a_{ij}}, \quad (p_{ij}p_{ji})/(\theta_{ij}\theta_{ji}) = p_i^{2a_{ij}}, \quad p_{ii}/\theta_{ii} = p_i^2 \quad \text{and} \\ &1 - p_i^n \text{ is an invertible element of } \Bbbk \text{ for any } i \in I \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Set $p_{ij} = c_{ij} p_i^{a_{ij}}$. *Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &e_\ell\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-c_{ij}\theta_{ji}^{-1})^k f_i^{(1-a_{ij}-k)} f_j f_i^{(k)}\Big) \\ &\qquad\qquad = \theta_{i\ell}^{1-a_{ij}}\theta_{j\ell}\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-c_{ij}\theta_{ji}^{-1})^k f_i^{(1-a_{ij}-k)} f_j f_i^{(k)}\Big) e_\ell, \\ &f_\ell\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-c_{ij}\theta_{ij})^k e_i^{(1-a_{ij}-k)} e_j e_i^{(k)}\Big) \\ &\qquad\qquad = \theta_{\ell i}^{-1+a_{ij}}\theta_{\ell j}^{-1}\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-c_{ij}\theta_{ij})^k e_i^{(1-a_{ij}-k)} e_j e_i^{(k)}\Big) f_\ell \end{aligned}$$

for all ℓ *and* $i \neq j$ *in* I. *(Note that* $c_{ij}^2 = 1$.)

Proof. Set $\theta' = \{\theta'_{ij}\}$, $\mathrm{p}' = (\{p'_{ij}\}_{i,j\in I}, \{p_i\}_{i\in I})$ with $\theta'_{ij} = \theta_{ij}/\theta_{jj}$ and $p'_{ij} = p_{ij}/\theta_{ii}$. Then $p'_{ij} = (\theta_{ii}c_{ij})p_i^{a_{ij}}$ and as shown in Proposition 2.1, there exists an isomorphism $\kappa\colon \mathcal{F}(\mathrm{p},\theta)[P,Q,R] \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}(\mathrm{p}',\theta')[P,Q,R]$ with $x_{ij} = \theta_{ii}$, $y_{ij} = 1$, $Q_i = 1$, and $\varepsilon_{ij} = \theta_{ii}$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} S_{ij} &= \sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-(\theta_{ii}c_{ij}){\theta'_{ji}}^{-1})^k f_i^{(1-a_{ij}-k)} f_j f_i^{(k)} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-c_{ij}\theta_{ji}^{-1})^k f_i^{(1-a_{ij}-k)} f_j f_i^{(k)} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathrm{p},\theta) \quad \text{for } i \neq j. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have $e_\ell\kappa(S_{ij}) = {\theta'_{i\ell}}^{1-a_{ij}}\theta'_{j\ell}\kappa(S_{ij})e_\ell$. On the other hand, we have

$$\kappa^{-1}(e_\ell) f_i^{(1-a_{ij}-k)} f_j f_i^{(k)} = e_\ell P_\ell^{-1} f_i^{(1-a_{ij}-k)} f_j f_i^{(k)} = e_\ell \theta_{\ell\ell}^{2-a_{ij}} f_i^{(1-a_{ij}-k)} f_j f_i^{(k)} P_\ell^{-1}.$$

Hence we obtain the first equality.

The other equality follows from this equality by applying the anti-automorphism (2.2). □

The condition (2.8) implies

$$(\theta_{ij}\theta_{ji})^2 = 1, \quad \theta_{ii}^2 = 1, \quad \theta_{ij}\theta_{ji} = p_{ij}p_{ji}^{-1}, \quad p_i^{2a_{ij}} = p_j^{2a_{ji}}. \tag{2.9}$$

Conversely, for any family $\{p_i\}_{i\in I}$ of elements in $\Bbbk^\times$ satisfying (2.9), we can find $\theta = \{\theta_{ij}\}_{i,j\in I}$ and $\mathrm{p} = (\{p_{ij}\}_{i,j\in I}, \{p_i\}_{i\in I})$ satisfying (2.8). Indeed, it is enough to take

$$p_{ij} = p_i^{a_{ij}}, \quad \theta_{ii} = 1, \quad \theta_{ij}\theta_{ji} = p_i^{a_{ij}}p_j^{-a_{ji}} \quad (i \neq j).$$

Note that under the condition (2.8), we have

$$e_i f_i^{(n)} = \theta_{ii}^n f_i^{(n)} e_i + \theta_{ii}^{n-1} f_i^{(n-1)} \{p_i^{1-n}K_i\}_i^{\mathrm{p}}. \tag{2.10}$$

Definition 2.4. Assume that $\theta = \{\theta_{ij}\}_{i,j\in I}$ and $\mathrm{p} = (\{p_{ij}\}_{i,j\in I}, \{p_i\}_{i\in I})$ satisfy the condition (2.8). We define the *quantum algebra* $U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g})$ to be the quotient of $\mathcal{F}(\theta,\mathrm{p})$ by imposing the Serre relations:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-c_{ij}\theta_{ji}^{-1})^k f_i^{(1-a_{ij}-k)} f_j f_i^{(k)} = 0 \quad (i \neq j), \\ &\sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-c_{ij}\theta_{ij})^k e_i^{(1-a_{ij}-k)} e_j e_i^{(k)} = 0 \quad (i \neq j). \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Note that

$$c_{ij}\theta_{ji}^{-1} = \theta_{ij}p_{ji}^{-1}p_i^{a_{ij}}, \quad c_{ij}\theta_{ij} = \theta_{ji}^{-1}p_{ij}p_i^{-a_{ij}}.$$

Hence there exists an automorphism $\psi\colon U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g}) \to U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g})$ given by

$$e_i \mapsto f_i K_i^{-1}, \quad f_i \mapsto K_i e_i, \quad K_i \mapsto K_i^{-1}\theta_{ii}, \tag{2.12}$$

It is easy to see that the algebra $U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g})$ has a Q-weight space decomposition

$$U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g}) = \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\mathsf{Q}} U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g})_\alpha$$

with $K_i^{\pm1} \in U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g})_0$, $e_i \in U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g})_{\alpha_i}$, $f_i \in U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g})_{-\alpha_i}$. Let $U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}^+(\mathfrak{g})$ (resp. $U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}^-(\mathfrak{g})$) be the $\Bbbk$-subalgebra of $U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by f_i's (resp. e_i's) $(i \in I)$ and set $U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}^0(\mathfrak{g}) = \Bbbk[K_i^{\pm1} \mid i \in I]$. By a standard argument, we obtain a triangular decomposition of $U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g})$:

Proposition 2.5. *The multiplication on $U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g})$ induces an isomorphism*

$$U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}^-(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}^0(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}^+(\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\sim} U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Let G be a subset of P such that $G+\mathrm{Q}\subset\mathrm{P}$. For each $i\in I$, let us take a function $\chi_i\colon G\to\Bbbk^\times$ such that

$$\chi_i(\lambda)^2 = p_i^{2\langle h_i,\lambda\rangle},\quad \chi_i(\lambda+\alpha_j)=p_{ij}\,\chi_i(\lambda)\ \text{ for all }\ \lambda\in G,\ j\in I. \tag{2.13}$$

Such a χ_i always exists as seen in Lemma 2.6 below. We say that a $U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g})$-module V is a *G-weighted module* if it is endowed with a *G-weight-space decomposition*

$$V=\bigoplus_{\lambda\in G}V_\lambda$$

such that $U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g})_\alpha V_\lambda\subset V_{\lambda+\alpha}$ for any $\alpha\in\mathrm{Q}$, $\lambda\in G$ and $K_i|_{V_\lambda}=\chi_i(\lambda)\mathrm{id}_{V_\lambda}$ for any $\lambda\in\mathrm{P}$, $i\in I$.

We define $\mathrm{Mod}^G(U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g}))$, $\mathscr{O}^G(U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g}))$ and $\mathscr{O}^G_{\mathrm{int}}(U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g}))$ in the same way as in Section 1. The category $\mathrm{Mod}^G(U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g}))$ does not depend on the choice of $\{\chi_i\}_{i\in I}$ in the following sense.

Lemma 2.6. *Let G be a subset of P such that $G+\mathrm{Q}\subset\mathrm{P}$.*

(i) *There exists $\{\chi_i\}_{i\in I}$ satisfying the condition (2.13).*

(ii) *For another choice of $\{\chi'_i\}_{i\in I}$ satisfying (2.13), let $\mathrm{Mod}^G(U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g}))'$ be the category of G-weighted $U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g})$-modules with respect to $\{\chi'_i\}_{i\in I}$. Then there is an equivalence of categories*

$$\Phi\colon \mathrm{Mod}^G(U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g}))\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathrm{Mod}^G(U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g}))'.$$

Proof. (i) We may assume that $G=\lambda_0+\mathrm{Q}$ for some λ_0. Then it is enough to take $\chi_i(\lambda_0+\sum_{j\in I}m_j\alpha_j)=p_i^{\langle h_i,\lambda_0\rangle}\prod_{j\in I}p_{ij}^{m_j}$.
(ii) Set $\xi_i(\lambda)=\chi'_i(\lambda)\chi_i(\lambda)^{-1}$. Then we have $\xi_i(\lambda+\alpha_j)=\xi_i(\lambda)$ and $\xi_i(\lambda)^2=1$. For $M\in\mathrm{Mod}^G(U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g}))$, we define $\Phi(M)=\{\varphi(u)\mid u\in M\}$ with the actions

$$K_i\varphi(u)=\varphi(\xi_i(\lambda)K_iu),\quad e_i\varphi(u)=\varphi(\xi_i(\lambda)e_iu),\quad f_i\varphi(u)=\varphi(f_iu)\ \text{ for } u\in M_\lambda.$$

We can easily see that $\Phi(M)$ belongs to $\mathrm{Mod}^G(U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g}))'$, and hence Φ gives a desired equivalence. □

The following proposition is an immediate consequence of Corollary 2.2.

Proposition 2.7. *Under the condition (2.8), the category $\mathrm{Mod}^G(U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g}))$ depends only on $\{p_i^2\}_{i\in I}$.*

Recall that $p_{ii}\theta_{ii}^{-1} = p_i^2$ and that if

$$(p_i^2)^{a_{ij}} = (p_j^2)^{a_{ji}} \text{ for any } i, j \in I,$$

then we can find θ and p satisfying (2.8).

Let us take $\chi_i \colon \mathrm{P} \to \Bbbk^\times$ satisfying the condition (2.13).

For $\Lambda \in \mathrm{P}$, the *Verma module* $\mathrm{M}_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\Lambda)$ is the P-weighted $U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g})$-module generated by a vector u_Λ of weight Λ with the defining relations:

$$K_i u_\Lambda = \chi_i(\Lambda) u_\Lambda, \quad e_i u_\Lambda = 0 \quad \text{for all } i \in I. \tag{2.14}$$

Then $U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}^-(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathrm{M}_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}$ $(a \mapsto a u_\Lambda)$ is a $U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}^-(\mathfrak{g})$-linear isomorphism.

There exists a unique maximal submodule $\mathrm{N}_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\Lambda)$ of $\mathrm{M}_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\Lambda)$ such that $\mathrm{N}_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\Lambda) \cap \Bbbk u_\Lambda = 0$. Let

$$\mathrm{V}_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\Lambda) := \mathrm{M}_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\Lambda)/\mathrm{N}_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\Lambda). \tag{2.15}$$

Then $\mathrm{V}_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}$ is generated by v_Λ which is the image of u_Λ. If $\Lambda \in \mathrm{P}^+$, then $\mathrm{V}_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\Lambda)$ belongs to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{int}}^P(U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g}))$ and we have $f_i^{\langle h_i,\Lambda\rangle+1} v_\Lambda = 0$ for any $i \in I$.

Conjecture 2.8. *When $\Bbbk$ is a field, the representation theory of $U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g})$ is similar to that of quantum group.*

More precisely, we conjecture that

(i) $\mathrm{ch}(U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}^-(\mathfrak{g})) := \sum_{\mu\in Q}\big(\dim_\Bbbk U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}^-(\mathfrak{g})_\mu\big)\,\mathrm{e}^\mu = \prod_{\alpha\in\Delta^+}(1-\mathrm{e}^{-\alpha})^{-\,\mathrm{mult}(\alpha)}$,

(ii) *the category $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathrm{P}}(U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g}))$ is semisimple,*

(iii) *for any $\Lambda \in \mathrm{P}^+$, the $U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g})$-module $\mathrm{V}_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\Lambda)$ is a simple object in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathrm{P}}(U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g}))$ and is isomorphic to*

$$U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g})/\sum_{i\in I}\big(U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g})(K_i - \chi_i(\Lambda)) + U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g})e_i + U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g})f_i^{\langle h_i,\Lambda\rangle+1}\big).$$

That is, $\mathrm{V}_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\Lambda)$ is generated by v_Λ with defining relations

$$K_i v_\Lambda = \chi_i(\Lambda) v_\Lambda, \quad e_i v_\Lambda = 0, \quad f_i^{\langle h_i,\Lambda\rangle+1} v_\Lambda = 0 \ \text{ for all } i \in I.$$

(iv) *every simple module in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{int}}^{\mathrm{P}}(U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g}))$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{V}_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\Lambda)$ for some $\Lambda \in \mathrm{P}^+$,*

(v) *for any $\Lambda \in \mathrm{P}^+$, we have*

$$\mathrm{ch}(\mathrm{V}_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\Lambda)) := \sum_{\mu\in\mathrm{P}}\big(\dim \mathrm{V}_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\Lambda)_\mu\big)\,\mathrm{e}^\mu = \frac{\sum_{w\in W}\epsilon(w)\,\mathrm{e}^{w(\Lambda+\rho)-\rho}}{\prod_{\alpha\in\Delta_+}(1-\mathrm{e}^{-\alpha})^{\mathrm{mult}(\alpha)}},$$

where ρ is an element of P such that $\langle h_i, \rho\rangle = 1$ for all $i \in I$.

Note that we have assumed that any p_i is not a root of unity.

The notion of quantum Kac-Moody superalgebras introduced in [KT91, BKM98] is a special case of $U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g})$. We will show that our conjecture holds for such algebras (Theorem 4.16). Our proof depends on their results (Corollary 4.3).

Now we will prove the $U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g})$-version of [KMPY96, Proposition B.1] under the condition (2.8). We assume that the base ring $\Bbbk$ is a field and that any of p_i is not a root of unity. We say that an $\mathcal{F}(\theta,\mathrm{p})$-module M is *integrable* if

(i) M has a weight decomposition

$$M = \bigoplus_{\lambda\in\mathrm{P}} M_\lambda$$

such that $\mathcal{F}(\theta,\mathrm{p})_\alpha M_\lambda \subset M_{\lambda+\alpha}$ and $K_i^2|_{M_\lambda} = p_i^{2\langle h_i,\lambda\rangle}\mathrm{id}_{M_\lambda}$,

(ii) the action of K_i on M is semisimple for any i,

(iii) the actions of e_i and f_i on M are locally nilpotent for all $i \in I$.

Proposition 2.9. *Let M be an integrable $\mathcal{F}(\theta,\mathrm{p})$-module. Then M is a $U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g})$-module. That is, the actions of e_i and f_i on M satisfy the Serre relations in* (2.11).

We begin with the following lemma.

Lemma 2.10. *Let M be an integrable $\mathcal{F}(\theta,\mathrm{p})$-module. Fix $i \in I$ and let φ be a $\Bbbk$-linear endomorphism of M. Suppose that φ satisfies the following conditions:*

(a) *φ is of weight μ; i.e., $\varphi(M_\lambda) \subset M_{\lambda+\mu}$ for any $\lambda \in \mathrm{P}$,*

(b) *$e_i\varphi = c\varphi e_i$ for some $c \in \Bbbk^\times$.*

Then $\langle h_i,\mu\rangle < 0$ implies $\varphi = 0$.

Proof. By Proposition 2.1, we may assume that $\theta_{ii} = 1$. Let S_i be the operator defined by $S_i|_{M_\lambda} = p_i^{-\langle h_i,\lambda\rangle}K_i\mathrm{id}_{M_\lambda}$. Then $S_i^2 = 1$ and the algebra generated by e_i, f_iS_i, K_iS_i is isomorphic to $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. Hence we can reduce our statement to the one for integrable $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-modules.

Recall that any integrable $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$-module is semisimple and generated by the vectors killed by f_i.

Hence it is enough to show that $\varphi(U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)v) = 0$ for any $v \in M_\lambda$ with $f_iv = 0$. Set $m = -\langle h_i,\lambda\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}$. Then $e_i^{m+1}v = 0$ and hence $e_i^{m+1}\varphi(v) = c^{m+1}\varphi(e_i^{m+1}v) = 0$.

On the other hand, setting $n = -\langle h_i,\mu\rangle > 0$, the map $e_i^{m+n}\colon M_{\lambda+\mu} \to M_{s_i(\lambda+\mu)}$ is bijective. Hence $e_i^{m+n}\varphi(v) = 0$ implies $\varphi(v) = 0$. Therefore we obtain $\varphi(e_i^k v) = 0$ for any k. □

Proof of Proposition 2.9. Let us denote by S_{ij} the multiplication operator on M by $\sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}}(-c_{ij}\theta_{ji}^{-1})^k f_i^{(1-a_{ij}-k)} f_j f_i^{(k)}$. Then S_{ij} has weight $\mu=-(1-a_{ij})\alpha_i-\alpha_j$. Moreover, $e_iS_{ij}=cS_{ij}e_i$ for some $c\in\Bbbk^\times$ by Proposition 2.3. Since $\langle h_i,\mu\rangle=-2(1-a_{ij})-a_{ij}=-2+a_{ij}<0$, we have $S_{ij}=0$. . ☐

3. The algebra $\mathcal{U}_{\widetilde{\theta},\widetilde{\mathrm{p}}}(\mathfrak{g})$

In this section, we introduce another generalization of quantum groups. Let $\widetilde{\theta}:=\{\widetilde{\theta}_{ij}\}_{i,j\in I}$ and $\widetilde{\mathrm{p}}:=\{\widetilde{p}_i\}_{i\in I}$ be families of invertible elements in the base ring $\Bbbk$ such that $1-\widetilde{p}_i^{\,n}$ is invertible for any $n\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. We define $\mathcal{H}(\widetilde{\theta},\widetilde{\mathrm{p}})$ to be the $\Bbbk$-algebra generated by e_i, f_i, $\widetilde{K}_i^{\pm1}$ with the defining relations

$$\begin{aligned}&\widetilde{K}_i\widetilde{K}_j=\widetilde{K}_j\widetilde{K}_i, \quad \widetilde{K}_ie_j\widetilde{K}_i^{-1}=\widetilde{p}_i^{\,a_{ij}}e_j, \quad \widetilde{K}_if_j\widetilde{K}_i^{-1}=\widetilde{p}_i^{\,-a_{ij}}f_j,\\ &e_if_j-\widetilde{\theta}_{ji}f_je_i=\delta_{i,j}\frac{1-\widetilde{K}_i}{1-\widetilde{p}_i}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.1}$$

Then there exists an anti-isomorphism

$$\mathcal{H}(\widetilde{\theta},\widetilde{\mathrm{p}})\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathcal{H}({}^{\mathrm{t}}\widetilde{\theta},\widetilde{p})\tag{3.2}$$

given by

$$e_i\mapsto f_i,\quad f_i\mapsto e_i,\quad \widetilde{K}_i\mapsto\widetilde{K}_i,$$

where $({}^{\mathrm{t}}\widetilde{\theta})_{ij}=\widetilde{\theta}_{ji}$.

We embed $\Bbbk[\widetilde{K}_i^{\pm1}\mid i\in I]$ into $\Bbbk[K_i^{\pm1}\mid i\in I]$ by $\widetilde{K}_i=K_i^2$. If $p_{ij}^2=\widetilde{p}_i^{\,a_{ij}}$ for $i,j\in I$, then $\mathcal{H}(\widetilde{\theta},\widetilde{\mathrm{p}})\underset{\Bbbk[\widetilde{K}_i^{\pm1}\mid i\in I]}{\otimes}\Bbbk[K_i^{\pm1}\mid i\in I]$ has a ring structure given by

$$K_ie_jK_i^{-1}=p_{ij}e_j,\quad K_if_jK_i^{-1}=p_{ij}^{-1}f_j.$$

Proposition 3.1. *Let* $\theta:=\{\theta_{ij}\}_{i,j\in I}$ *and* $\mathrm{p}:=(\{p_{ij}\}_{i,j\in I},\{p_i\}_{i\in I})$ *be families of invertible elements in* $\Bbbk$ *such that*

$$\widetilde{\theta}_{ij}=\theta_{ij}p_{ji}^{-1},\quad \widetilde{p}_i^{\,a_{ij}}=p_{ij}^2,\quad \widetilde{p}_i=p_i^2.\tag{3.3}$$

Then we have a $\Bbbk$*-algebra isomorphism*

$$\phi:\mathcal{H}(\widetilde{\theta},\widetilde{\mathrm{p}})\underset{\Bbbk[\widetilde{K}_i^{\pm1}\mid i\in I]}{\otimes}\Bbbk[K_i^{\pm1}\mid i\in I]\xrightarrow{\sim}\mathcal{F}(\theta,\mathrm{p})$$

given by

$$e_i\mapsto p_i^{-1}p_{ii}\,e_iK_i,\quad f_i\mapsto f_i,\quad K_i\mapsto K_i\ \ (i\in I).$$

Proof. we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(e_if_j-\widetilde{\theta}_{ji}f_je_i) &= p_i^{-1}p_{ii}(e_iK_if_j-\theta_{ji}p_{ij}^{-1}f_je_iK_i)\\
&= p_i^{-1}p_{ii}p_{ij}^{-1}(e_if_j-\theta_{ji}f_je_i)K_i\\
&= \delta_{i,j}p_i^{-1}\frac{K_i-K_i^{-1}}{p_i-p_i^{-1}}K_i=\delta_{i,j}\frac{K_i^2-1}{p_i^2-1}=\phi\Big(\delta_{i,j}\frac{1-\widetilde{K}_i}{1-\widetilde{p}_i}\Big),
\end{aligned}$$

which proves our claim. □

If (2.8) and (3.3) are satisfied, then we have

$$\widetilde{\theta}_{ij}\widetilde{\theta}_{ji}=\widetilde{p}_i^{-a_{ij}} \quad\text{and}\quad \widetilde{\theta}_{ii}=\widetilde{p}_i^{-1}, \tag{3.4}$$

which implies

$$\widetilde{p}_i^{a_{ij}}=\widetilde{p}_j^{a_{ji}} \tag{3.5}$$

Conversely, if the family $\{\widetilde{p}_i\}_{i\in I}$ satisfies (3.5), then we can find $\{\widetilde{\theta}_{ij}\}_{i,j\in I}$ satisfying (3.4).

Let $\widetilde{\theta}=\{\widetilde{\theta}_{ij}\}$ and $\widetilde{p}=\{\widetilde{p}_i\}$ be families of elements in $\Bbbk^\times$ satisfying (3.4). Set

$$\langle n\rangle_i^{\tilde{p}}:=\frac{1-\widetilde{p}_i^{\,n}}{1-\widetilde{p}_i},\quad \langle n\rangle_i^{\tilde{p}}!:=\prod_{k=1}^{n}\langle k\rangle_i^{\tilde{p}},\quad e_i^{<n>}:=e_i^n/\langle n\rangle_i^{\tilde{p}}!,\quad f_i^{<n>}=f_i^n/\langle n\rangle_i^{\tilde{p}}!. \tag{3.6}$$

Then under the condition (3.3), we have

$$\langle n\rangle_i^{\tilde{p}}=p_i^{n-1}[n]_i^{\mathrm{p}} \quad\text{and}\quad \langle n\rangle_i^{\tilde{p}}!=p_i^{n(n-1)/2}[n]_i^{\mathrm{p}}!.$$

Hence we have

$$f_i^{(n)}=p_i^{n(n-1)/2}f_i^{<n>}.$$

Take $p_i\in\Bbbk^\times$ such that $p_i^2=\widetilde{p}_i$ and set

$$p_{ij}=p_i^{a_{ij}}\text{ and }\theta_{ij}=\widetilde{\theta}_{ij}p_j^{a_{ji}}. \tag{3.7}$$

Then (2.8) and (3.3) hold. Since we have

$$f_i^{(1-a_{ij}-k)}f_jf_i^{(k)}=p_i^{-(1-a_{ij})a_{ij}/2-k(1-a_{ij}-k)}f_i^{<1-a_{ij}-k>}f_jf_i^{<k>}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&(-c_{ij}\theta_{ji}^{-1})^k p_i^{-(1-a_{ij})a_{ij}/2-k(1-a_{ij}-k)}\\
&=(-c_{ij}\widetilde{\theta}_{ji}^{-1}p_{ij}^{-1})^k p_i^{-(1-a_{ij})a_{ij}/2-k(1-a_{ij}-k)}\\
&=(-\widetilde{\theta}_{ji}^{-1}p_i^{-a_{ij}})^k p_i^{-(1-a_{ij})a_{ij}/2-k(1-a_{ij}-k)}\\
&=(-\widetilde{\theta}_{ji})^{-k}\,\widetilde{p}_i^{\,k(k-1)/2} p_i^{-(1-a_{ij})a_{ij}/2},
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.3 implies that

$$S'_{ij} := \sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-\widetilde{\theta}_{ji})^{-k}\widetilde{p}_i^{\,k(k-1)/2} f_i^{<1-a_{ij}-k>} f_j f_i^{<k>}$$

quasi-commutes with e_k's for all k (i.e., $e_k S'_{ij} \in \Bbbk^\times S'_{ij} e_k$). Hence by applying the anti-involution (3.2), we see that $\sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-\widetilde{\theta}_{ij})^{-k}\widetilde{p}_i^{\,k(k-1)/2} e_i^{<k>} e_j e_i^{<1-a_{ij}-k>}$ quasi-commutes with all the e_ℓ's.

Definition 3.2. Assume that $\tilde{\theta}$ and $\tilde{\mathrm{p}}$ satisfy the condition (3.4). We define the *quantum algebra* $\mathcal{U}_{\widetilde{\theta},\widetilde{\mathrm{p}}}(\mathfrak{g})$ to be the quotient of $\mathcal{H}(\widetilde{\theta},\widetilde{\mathrm{p}})$ by imposing the Serre relation:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-\widetilde{\theta}_{ji})^{-k}\widetilde{p}_i^{\,k(k-1)/2} f_i^{<1-a_{ij}-k>} f_j f_i^{<k>} = 0 \quad (i \neq j),\\
&\sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-\widetilde{\theta}_{ij})^{-k}\widetilde{p}_i^{\,k(k-1)/2} e_i^{<k>} e_j e_i^{<1-a_{ij}-k>} = 0 \quad (i \neq j).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

We can see that the algebra $\mathcal{U}_{\widetilde{\theta},\widetilde{\mathrm{p}}}(\mathfrak{g})$ has a Q-weight space decomposition

$$\mathcal{U}_{\widetilde{\theta},\widetilde{\mathrm{p}}}(\mathfrak{g}) = \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\mathrm{Q}} \mathcal{U}_{\widetilde{\theta},\widetilde{\mathrm{p}}}(\mathfrak{g})_\alpha.$$

Let $\mathcal{U}^+_{\widetilde{\theta},\widetilde{\mathrm{p}}}(\mathfrak{g})$ (resp. $\mathcal{U}^-_{\widetilde{\theta},\widetilde{\mathrm{p}}}(\mathfrak{g})$) be the $\Bbbk$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{U}_{\widetilde{\theta},\widetilde{\mathrm{p}}}(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by the f_i's (resp. the e_i's) ($i \in I$) and set $\mathcal{U}^0_{\widetilde{\theta},\widetilde{\mathrm{p}}}(\mathfrak{g}) = \Bbbk[\widetilde{K}_i^{\pm 1} \mid i \in I]$. By a standard argument, we have:

Lemma 3.3. *The multiplication on* $\mathcal{U}_{\widetilde{\theta},\widetilde{\mathrm{p}}}(\mathfrak{g})$ *induces an isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{U}^-_{\widetilde{\theta},\widetilde{\mathrm{p}}}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathcal{U}^0_{\widetilde{\theta},\widetilde{\mathrm{p}}}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathcal{U}^+_{\widetilde{\theta},\widetilde{\mathrm{p}}}(\mathfrak{g}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{U}_{\widetilde{\theta},\widetilde{\mathrm{p}}}(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Note that we have an algebra isomorphism:

$$\mathcal{U}^-_{\widetilde{\theta},\widetilde{\mathrm{p}}}(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq U^-_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g}). \tag{3.9}$$

For a subset G of P such that $G+\mathrm{Q}\subset\mathrm{P}$, a $\mathcal{U}_{\widetilde{\theta},\widetilde{\mathrm{p}}}(\mathfrak{g})$-module V is called a *G-weight module* if it is endowed with a *G-weight space decomposition*

$$V=\bigoplus_{\mu\in G}V_\mu$$

such that $\mathcal{U}_{\widetilde{\theta},\widetilde{\mathrm{p}}}(\mathfrak{g})_\alpha V_\mu\subset V_{\mu+\alpha}$ and $\widetilde{K}_i|_{V_\mu}=\widetilde{p}_i^{\langle h_i,\mu\rangle}\mathrm{id}_{V_\mu}$ for any $\alpha\in\mathrm{Q}$ and $\mu\in G$. We define the categories $\mathrm{Mod}^G(\mathcal{U}_{\widetilde{\theta},\widetilde{\mathrm{p}}}(\mathfrak{g}))$, $\mathcal{O}^G(\mathcal{U}_{\widetilde{\theta},\widetilde{\mathrm{p}}}(\mathfrak{g}))$ and $\mathcal{O}^G_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathcal{U}_{\widetilde{\theta},\widetilde{\mathrm{p}}}(\mathfrak{g}))$ in the same manner as in Section 1. The following proposition is an immediate consequence of Proposition 2.7 and Proposition 3.1.

Proposition 3.4. *Assume that* $\widetilde{\theta}:=\{\widetilde{\theta}_{ij}\}_{i,j\in I}$, $\widetilde{\mathrm{p}}:=\{\widetilde{p}_i\}_{i\in I}$, $\theta:=\{\theta_{ij}\}_{i,j\in I}$ *and* $\mathrm{p}:=(\{p_{ij}\}_{i,j\in I},\{p_i\}_{i\in I})$ *satisfy* (3.3) *and* (3.4). *Then the following statements hold.*

(i) *The relation* (2.8) *is satisfied.*

(ii) *There exist equivalences of categories*

$$\mathrm{Mod}^G(\mathcal{U}_{\widetilde{\theta},\widetilde{\mathrm{p}}}(\mathfrak{g}))\simeq\mathrm{Mod}^G(U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g}))\quad\textit{and}\quad\mathcal{O}^G_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathcal{U}_{\widetilde{\theta},\widetilde{\mathrm{p}}}(\mathfrak{g}))\simeq\mathcal{O}^G_{\mathrm{int}}(U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g})).$$

(iii) *The category* $\mathrm{Mod}^G(\mathcal{U}_{\widetilde{\theta},\widetilde{\mathrm{p}}}(\mathfrak{g}))$ *depends only on the parameters* $\{\widetilde{p}_i\}_{i\in I}$ *satisfying* $\widetilde{p}_i^{a_{ij}}=\widetilde{p}_j^{a_{ji}}$.

Let $U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g})[T_i\mid i\in I]$ be the algebra obtained from $U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g})$ by adding the mutually commuting operators T_i $(i\in I)$ with the multiplication given by

$$T_ie_jT_i^{-1}=\theta_{ji}e_j,\quad T_if_jT_i^{-1}=\theta_{ji}^{-1}f_j,\quad T_iK_jT_i^{-1}=K_j\quad\text{for any } j\in I. \tag{3.10}$$

We will introduce another kind of algebra that acts on $U^-_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\mathcal{U}^-_{\widetilde{\theta},\widetilde{\mathrm{p}}}(\mathfrak{g})$. We first prove:

Lemma 3.5. *For any* $P\in U^-_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g})$, *there exist unique* Q, $R\in U^-_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g})$ *such that*

$$e_iP-(T_i^{-1}PT_i)e_i=\frac{(T_i^{-1}QT_i)K_i-K_i^{-1}R}{p_i-p_i^{-1}}. \tag{3.11}$$

Proof. The uniqueness follows from Proposition 2.5. Using induction on the height of P, it is enough to show (3.11) for f_jP assuming (3.11) for P. If (3.11) holds for P, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&e_i f_j P - (T_i^{-1} f_j P T_i) e_i \\
&= \big(e_i f_j - (T_i^{-1} f_j T_i) e_i\big) P + (T_i^{-1} f_j T_i) \big(e_i P - (T_i^{-1} P T_i) e_i\big) \\
&= \delta_{i,j} \frac{K_i - K_i^{-1}}{p_i - p_i^{-1}} P + \theta_{ji} f_j \frac{(T_i^{-1} Q T_i) K_i - K_i^{-1} R}{p_i - p_i^{-1}} \qquad (3.12)\\
&= \frac{(T_i^{-1} f_j Q T_i + \delta_{i,j} K_i P K_i^{-1}) K_i - K_i^{-1} (\theta_{ji} p_{ij}^{-1} f_j R + \delta_{i,j} P)}{p_i - p_i^{-1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

We define the endomorphisms e_i' and e_i^* of $U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}^-(\mathfrak{g})$ by

$$e_i'(P) = R, \quad e_i^*(P) = Q.$$

Assume that $\widetilde{\theta}$ and $\widetilde{p}$ satisfy (3.3). Then by Proposition 3.1, we have

$$U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}^-(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq \mathcal{U}_{\widetilde{\theta},\widetilde{\mathrm{p}}}^-(\mathfrak{g}) \tag{3.13}$$

and hence we may also regard e_i' and e_i^* as endomorphisms of $\mathcal{U}_{\widetilde{\theta},\widetilde{\mathrm{p}}}^-(\mathfrak{g})$. Note that f_i can be regarded as an operator on $U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}^-(\mathfrak{g})$ given by left multiplication. Thus we have the following relations in $\mathrm{End}(U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}^-(\mathfrak{g})) \simeq \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{U}_{\widetilde{\theta},\widetilde{\mathrm{p}}}^-(\mathfrak{g}))$ as is shown by (3.12):

$$e_i' f_j = \theta_{ji} p_{ij}^{-1} f_j e_i' + \delta_{i,j}, \quad e_i^* f_j = f_j e_i^* + \delta_{i,j} \,\mathrm{Ad}(T_i K_i). \tag{3.14}$$

More generally, we have

Lemma 3.6. *For a, $b \in U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}^-(\mathfrak{g})$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
e_i'(ab) &= (e_i' a) b + \big(\mathrm{Ad}(T_i^{-1} K_i) a\big) e_i' b, \\
e_i^*(ab) &= (e_i^* a) \big(\mathrm{Ad}(T_i K_i) b\big) + a e_i^* b.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\big(e_i ab - T_i^{-1} ab T_i e_i\big) &= \big(e_i a - T_i^{-1} a T_i e_i\big) b + T_i^{-1} a T_i \big(e_i b - T_i^{-1} b T_i e_i\big) \\
&= \frac{T_i^{-1}(e_i^* a) T_i K_i - K_i^{-1} e_i' a}{p_i - p_i^{-1}} b - T_i^{-1} a T_i \frac{T_i^{-1}(e_i^* b) T_i K_i - K_i^{-1} e_i' b}{p_i - p_i^{-1}} \\
&= \frac{T_i^{-1}(e_i^* a)(T_i K_i b T_i^{-1} K_i^{-1}) K_i - K_i^{-1}(e_i' a) b}{p_i - p_i^{-1}} \\
&\qquad - \frac{T_i^{-1} a (e_i^* b) T_i K_i - K_i^{-1}(K_i T_i^{-1} a T_i K_i^{-1}) e_i' b}{p_i - p_i^{-1}},
\end{aligned}$$

which proves our assertion. $\square$

Recalling $\widetilde{\theta}_{ij} = \theta_{ij} p_{ji}^{-1} = \theta_{ji}^{-1} p_{ij}^{-1}$, we obtain

$$e_i' f_j = \widetilde{\theta}_{ji} f_j e_i' + \delta_{i,j}.$$

Using induction on n, we obtain

$$e_i'^n f_j = \widetilde{\theta}_{ji}^n f_j e_i'^n + \delta_{i,j} p_i^{1-n} [n]_i^{\mathrm{p}} e_i'^{n-1}. \tag{3.15}$$

Definition 3.7. We define the *quantum boson algebra* $\mathrm{B}_{\widetilde{\theta},\widetilde{p}}(\mathfrak{g})$ to be the $\Bbbk$-algebra generated by e_i', f_i $(i \in I)$ satisfying the following defining relations :

$$\begin{aligned}
& e_i' f_j = \widetilde{\theta}_{ji} f_j e_i' + \delta_{i,j}, \\
& \sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-\widetilde{\theta}_{ij} p_i^{a_{ij}})^k \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{ij} \\ k \end{bmatrix}_i^{\mathrm{p}} e_i'^{1-a_{ij}-k} e_j' e_i'^k = 0 \quad (i \neq j), \\
& \sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-\widetilde{\theta}_{ij} p_i^{a_{ij}})^k \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{ij} \\ k \end{bmatrix}_i^{\mathrm{p}} f_i^{1-a_{ij}-k} f_j f_i^k = 0 \quad (i \neq j).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

Note that $p_i^{ka_{ij}} \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{ij} \\ k \end{bmatrix}_i^{\mathrm{p}} \in \mathbb{Z}[p_i^2, p_i^{-2}]$. There is an anti-isomorphism $\mathrm{B}_{\widetilde{\theta},\widetilde{p}}(\mathfrak{g}) \leftrightarrow \mathrm{B}_{{}^t\widetilde{\theta},\widetilde{p}}(\mathfrak{g})$ given by

$$e_i' \leftrightarrow f_i, \quad f_i \leftrightarrow e_i', \quad \text{where} \ \ ({}^t\widetilde{\theta})_{ij} = \widetilde{\theta}_{ji}. \tag{3.17}$$

Proposition 3.8. *The algebras $U^-_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\mathcal{U}^-_{\widetilde{\theta},\widetilde{\mathrm{p}}}(\mathfrak{g})$ have a structure of left $\mathrm{B}_{\widetilde{\theta},\widetilde{p}}(\mathfrak{g})$-modules and they are isomorphic as $\mathrm{B}_{\widetilde{\theta},\widetilde{p}}(\mathfrak{g})$-modules.*

Proof. We have only to verify the second relation in Definition 3.7. For $i \neq j$ and $b := 1 - a_{ij}$, let

$$S = \sum_{n=0}^{b} x_n e_i'^{b-n} e_j' e_i'^n,$$

where $x_n = (-\widetilde{\theta}_{ij} p_i^{1-b})^n \begin{bmatrix} b \\ n \end{bmatrix}_i^{\mathrm{p}} = (-\widetilde{\theta}_{ji}^{-1} p_i^{b-1})^{-n} \begin{bmatrix} b \\ n \end{bmatrix}_i^{\mathrm{p}}$. It is enough to show that S quasi-commutes with all the f_k's as an operator on $U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}^{-}(\mathfrak{g})$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} e_i'^{\,b-n} e_j' e_i'^{\,n} f_k &= e_i'^{\,b-n} e_j' (\widetilde{\theta}_{ki}^n f_k e_i'^{\,n} + \delta_{k,i} p_i^{1-n} [n]_i^{\mathrm{p}} e_i'^{\,n-1}) \\ &= \widetilde{\theta}_{ki}^n e_i'^{\,b-n} (\widetilde{\theta}_{kj} f_k e_j' + \delta_{k,j}) e_i'^{\,n} + \delta_{k,i} p_i^{1-n} [n]_i^{\mathrm{p}} e_i'^{\,b-n} e_j' e_i'^{\,n-1} \\ &= \widetilde{\theta}_{ki}^n \widetilde{\theta}_{kj} (\widetilde{\theta}_{ki}^{b-n} f_k e_i'^{\,b-n} + \delta_{k,i} p_i^{1-b+n} [b-n]_i^{\mathrm{p}} e_i'^{\,b-n-1}) e_j' e_i'^{\,n} \\ &\qquad\qquad + \delta_{k,j} \widetilde{\theta}_{ki}^n e_i'^{\,b} + \delta_{k,i} p_i^{1-n} [n]_i^{\mathrm{p}} e_i'^{\,b-n} e_j' e_i'^{\,n-1} \\ &= \widetilde{\theta}_{ki}^b \widetilde{\theta}_{kj} f_k e_i'^{\,b-n} e_j' e_i'^{\,n} + \delta_{k,j} \widetilde{\theta}_{ki}^n e_i'^{\,b} \\ &\qquad + \delta_{k,i} \Big(\widetilde{\theta}_{ki}^n \widetilde{\theta}_{kj} p_i^{1-b+n} [b-n]_i^{\mathrm{p}} e_i'^{\,b-n-1} e_j' e_i'^{\,n} + p_i^{1-n} [n]_i^{\mathrm{p}} e_i'^{\,b-n} e_j' e_i'^{\,n-1} \Big). \end{aligned}$$

Using $\widetilde{\theta}_{ii} = p_i^{-2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S f_k = {} & \widetilde{\theta}_{ki}^b \widetilde{\theta}_{kj} f_k S + \delta_{k,j} \Big(\sum_{n=0}^{b} x_n \widetilde{\theta}_{ji}^n \Big) e_i'^{\,b} \\ & + \delta_{k,i} \Big(\sum_{n=0}^{b} x_n \widetilde{\theta}_{ij} p_i^{1-b-n} [b-n]_i^{\mathrm{p}} e_i'^{\,b-n-1} e_j' e_i'^{\,n} + \sum_{n=0}^{b} x_n p_i^{1-n} [n]_i^{\mathrm{p}} e_i'^{\,b-n} e_j' e_i'^{\,n-1} \Big). \end{aligned}$$

The second term vanishes since

$$\sum_{n=0}^{b} x_n \widetilde{\theta}_{ji}^n = \sum_{n=0}^{b} (-p_i^{b-1})^n \begin{bmatrix} b \\ n \end{bmatrix}_i^{\mathrm{p}} = 0.$$

Since $\begin{bmatrix} b \\ n \end{bmatrix}_i^{\mathrm{p}} [b-n]_i^{\mathrm{p}} = \begin{bmatrix} b \\ n+1 \end{bmatrix}_i^{\mathrm{p}} [n+1]_i^{\mathrm{p}}$, the coefficient of $e_i'^{\,b-n-1} e_j' e_i'^{\,n}$ in the third term is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & x_n \widetilde{\theta}_{ij} p_i^{1-b-n} [b-n]_i^{\mathrm{p}} + x_{n+1} p_i^{-n} [n+1]_i^{\mathrm{p}} \\ & = (-\widetilde{\theta}_{ij} p_i^{1-b})^n \begin{bmatrix} b \\ n \end{bmatrix}_i^{\mathrm{p}} \widetilde{\theta}_{ij} p_i^{1-b-n} [b-n]_i^{\mathrm{p}} + (-\widetilde{\theta}_{ij} p_i^{1-b})^{n+1} \begin{bmatrix} b \\ n+1 \end{bmatrix}_i^{\mathrm{p}} p_i^{-n} [n+1]_i^{\mathrm{p}} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

The following lemma will be used when we prove that, if the base ring is a field, then $U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}^{-}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a simple $\mathrm{B}_{\widetilde{\theta},\widetilde{p}}(\mathfrak{g})$-module in the case of quantum Kac-Moody superalgebras.

Lemma 3.9. *For $i, j \in I$, we have*

$$e_i' e_j^* = e_j^* e_i'.$$

Proof. Set $S = e'_i e^*_j - e^*_j e'_i$. It is enough to show that S quasi-commutes with f_k for any $k \in I$. The relation (3.15) yields

$$\begin{aligned} e'_i e^*_j f_k &= e'_i(f_k e^*_j + \delta_{j,k}\operatorname{Ad}(T_j K_j)) \\ &= (\widetilde{\theta}_{ki} f_k e'_i + \delta_{i,k}) e^*_j + \delta_{j,k} e'_i \operatorname{Ad}(T_j K_j) \\ &= \widetilde{\theta}_{ki} f_k e'_i e^*_j + \delta_{i,k} e^*_j + \delta_{j,k} e'_i \operatorname{Ad}(T_j K_j). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^*_j e'_i f_k &= e^*_j(\widetilde{\theta}_{ki} f_k e'_i + \delta_{i,k}) \\ &= \widetilde{\theta}_{ki}\big(f_k e^*_j + \delta_{j,k}\operatorname{Ad}(T_j K_j)\big) e'_i + \delta_{i,k} e^*_j \\ &= \widetilde{\theta}_{ki} f_k e^*_j e'_i + \delta_{j,k}\widetilde{\theta}_{ji}\operatorname{Ad}(T_j K_j) e'_i + \delta_{i,k} e''_j. \end{aligned}$$

Since we have $\operatorname{Ad}(T_j K_j) e'_i = \theta_{ij} p_{ji} e'_i = \widetilde{\theta}_{ji}^{-1} e'_i$, we obtain

$$S f_k = \widetilde{\theta}_{jk}^{-1} \widetilde{\theta}_{ki} f_k S.$$

□

Proposition 3.10. *Suppose that the following condition holds:*

$$\text{(3.18)} \qquad \begin{aligned} &\textit{If } P \in U^-_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g}) \textit{ satisfies } e_i P \in U^-_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g}) e_i \textit{ for all } i \in I, \\ &\textit{then } P \textit{ is a constant multiple of } 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then any Q*-weighted* $\mathrm{B}_{\widetilde{\theta},\widetilde{p}}(\mathfrak{g})$*-submodule* N *of* $U^-_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g})$ *vanishes if* $N \cap \Bbbk = 0$.

Proof. Suppose $N \cap \Bbbk = 0$. It is obvious that any non-zero $\mathrm{B}_{\widetilde{\theta},\widetilde{p}}(\mathfrak{g})$-submodule N of $U^-_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g})$ should have a non-zero highest weight vector with respect to the action of e'_i for all $i \in I$. Hence it is enough to show that a highest weight vector u of weight $\alpha \neq 0$ vanishes. We will show this by induction on the height $|\alpha|$ of α. If $\alpha = -\alpha_i$, then $u = f_i$ up to a constant multiple, and it is not a highest weight vector. Assume that $|\alpha| \geq 2$. Then $e^*_i u$ is a highest weight vector by the preceding lemma. By induction hypothesis, we have $e^*_i u = 0$ which implies $e_i u \in U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g}) e_i$. Then by our assumption, u must be a constant multiple of 1, which is a contradiction. □

4. Quantum Kac-Moody superalgebras

In this section, we show that quantum Kac-Moody superalgebras arise as a special case of the algebras $U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g})$ and we study their structure and representation theory. We first recall the definition and their properties following [BKM98].

4.1. **Quantum Kac-Moody superalgebras.**

A *Cartan superdatum* is a Cartan datum $(\mathrm{A},\mathrm{P},\Pi,\Pi^\vee)$ endowed with a decomposition $I = I_{\mathrm{even}} \sqcup I_{\mathrm{odd}}$ of I such that

$$a_{ij} \in 2\mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } i \in I_{\mathrm{odd}} \text{ and } j \in I. \tag{4.1}$$

For a Cartan superdatum $(\mathrm{A},\mathrm{P},\Pi,\Pi^\vee)$, we define the *parity function* $\mathrm{p}\colon I \to \{0,1\}$ by

$$\mathrm{p}(i) = 1 \quad \text{if } i \in I_{\mathrm{odd}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{p}(i) = 0 \quad \text{if } i \in I_{\mathrm{even}}.$$

We extend the parity function on I^n and Q^+ as follows:

$$\mathrm{p}(\nu) := \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathrm{p}(\nu_k), \quad \mathrm{p}(\beta) := \sum_{k=1}^{r} \mathrm{p}(i_k) \quad \text{for all } \nu \in I^n \text{ and } \beta = \sum_{k=1}^{r} \alpha_{i_k} \in \mathrm{Q}^+.$$

We denote by $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{even}} := \{\lambda \in \mathrm{P} \mid \langle h_i, \lambda\rangle \in 2\mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } i \in I_{\mathrm{odd}}\}$ and $\mathrm{P}^+_{\mathrm{even}} := \mathrm{P}^+ \cap \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{even}}$.

Let π be an indeterminate with the defining relation $\pi^2 = 1$. Then we have $\mathbb{Z}[\pi] = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}\pi$. Let $\sqrt{\pi}$ be an indeterminate such that $(\sqrt{\pi})^2 = \pi$. Hence $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{\pi}] = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}\sqrt{\pi} \oplus \mathbb{Z}\pi \oplus \mathbb{Z}(\sqrt{\pi})^{-1}$. For a ring R, we define the rings R^π and $R^{\sqrt{\pi}}$ by

$$R^\pi := R \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\pi] \quad \text{and} \quad R^{\sqrt{\pi}} := R \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{\pi}]. \tag{4.2}$$

For each $i \in I$, set $\pi_i := \pi^{\mathrm{p}(i)}$ and choose $\sqrt{\pi_i} \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{\pi}]$ such that $(\sqrt{\pi_i})^2 = \pi_i$. Note that we have four choices of $\sqrt{\pi_i}$. The element $\sqrt{\pi_i}$ may not be contained in $\mathbb{Z}[\pi]$ but $\sqrt{\pi_i}^{a_{ij}} \in \mathbb{Z}[\pi]^\times$ because $\sqrt{\pi_i} = \pm 1$ or $\pm\pi$ for $i \in I_{\mathrm{even}}$ and $a_{ij} \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ for $i \in I_{\mathrm{odd}}$. Throughout this section, we fix a choice of $\sqrt{\pi_i}$.

Let q be an indeterminate, and set

$$\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}], \quad q_i = q^{\mathrm{d}_i}, \quad [n]_i^\pi = [n]_{\pi_i q_i,\, q_i^{-1}} = \frac{(\pi_i q_i)^n - q_i^{-n}}{\pi_i q_i - q_i^{-1}} \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}. \tag{4.3}$$

We define $[n]_i^\pi!$ and $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix}_i^\pi$ in a natural way. Recall that $\mathrm{d}_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ satisfies $\mathrm{d}_i a_{ij} = \mathrm{d}_j a_{ji}$. Hence we have $q_i^{a_{ij}} = q_j^{a_{ji}}$.

Let $\Bbbk = \mathbb{Q}(q)^{\sqrt{\pi}}$. The *quantum Kac-Moody superalgebra* $\mathrm{U}_\theta^q(\mathfrak{g})$ is the $\Bbbk$-algebra $U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g})$ with

$$p_i = q_i\sqrt{\pi_i}, \quad p_{ij} = q_i^{a_{ij}}, \quad \theta_{ij}\theta_{ji} = 1, \quad \theta_{ii} = \pi_i. \tag{4.4}$$

Note that $\theta := \{\theta_{ij}\}_{i,j\in I}$ and $\mathrm{p} := (\{p_{ij}\}_{i,j\in I}, \{p_i\}_{i\in I})$ satisfy the condition (2.8). We have $\sqrt{\pi_i}^{2a_{ij}} = 1$ and hence $p_i^{2a_{ij}} = q_i^{2a_{ij}}$. Hence, by multiplying e_i by a constant, the explicit description of the algebra $\mathrm{U}_\theta^q(\mathfrak{g})$ can be given as follows:

Definition 4.1 ([BKM98, Definition 2.7])**.** The *quantum Kac-Moody superalgebra* $\mathrm{U}_\theta^q(\mathfrak{g})$ associated with a Cartan superdatum $(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{P}, \Pi, \Pi^\vee)$ and θ is the algebra over $\Bbbk = \mathbb{Q}(q)^{\sqrt{\pi}}$ generated by e_i, f_i and $K_i^{\pm 1}$ $(i \in I)$ subject to the following defining relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
&K_iK_j = K_jK_i, \quad K_ie_jK_i^{-1} = q_i^{a_{ij}}e_j, \quad K_if_jK_i^{-1} = q_i^{-a_{ij}}f_j,\\
&e_if_j - \theta_{ji}f_je_i = \delta_{i,j}\frac{K_i - K_i^{-1}}{q_i\pi_i - q_i^{-1}},\\
&\sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-\theta_{ij})^k \pi_i^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} f_i^{\{1-a_{ij}-k\}} f_j f_i^{\{k\}} = 0 \quad (i \neq j),\\
&\sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-\theta_{ij})^k \pi_i^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} e_i^{\{1-a_{ij}-k\}} e_j e_i^{\{k\}} = 0 \quad (i \neq j),
\end{aligned}$$

where $f_i^{\{n\}} = f_i^n/[n]_i^\pi!$ and $e_i^{\{n\}} = e_i^n/[n]_i^\pi!$.

We recall some of the basic properties of highest weight $\mathrm{U}_q^\theta(\mathfrak{g})$-modules proved in [BKM98]. We denote by $\mathrm{V}_\theta^q(\Lambda) = \mathrm{V}_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\Lambda)$ the $\mathrm{U}_\theta^q(\mathfrak{g})$-module defined in (2.15). Choose χ_i such that $\chi_i(\lambda) = p_i^{\langle h_i,\lambda\rangle}$ for $\lambda \in \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{even}}$. Then, we have

$$K_iu = p_i^{\langle h_i,\lambda\rangle}u = c_iq_i^{\langle h_i,\lambda\rangle}u \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{even}} \text{ and } u \in V_\lambda,$$

where $c_i := \sqrt{\pi_i}^{\langle h_i,\lambda\rangle}$ satisfies $c_i^2 = 1$. Hence the notion of weight space in this paper is the same as the one in [BKM98] for $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{even}}$-weighted $\mathrm{U}_\theta^q(\mathfrak{g})$-modules (after applying the automorphism $K_i \mapsto c_iK_i$, $e_i \mapsto c_ie_i$). However, *the notion of weight spaces in* [BKM98] *is different from ours when the weights are not in* $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{even}}$. (See also Section 8.5.)

Theorem 4.2 ([BKM98, Theorem 4.15])**.**

(a) *For* $\Lambda \in \mathrm{P}^{+}_{\mathrm{even}}$*, the* $\mathrm{U}^{q}_{\theta}(\mathfrak{g})$*-module* $\mathrm{V}^{q}_{\theta}(\Lambda)$ *is generated by a highest weight vector* v_Λ *with the defining relations:*

$$K_i v_\Lambda = p_i^{\langle h_i,\Lambda\rangle} v_\Lambda, \quad e_i v_\Lambda = 0, \quad f_i^{\langle h_i,\Lambda\rangle+1} v_\Lambda = 0 \ \ \text{for all } i \in I. \tag{4.5}$$

(b) *We have* $\{u \in \mathrm{V}^{q}_{\theta}(\Lambda) \mid e_i u = 0 \text{ for any } i \in I\} = \Bbbk v_\Lambda$*.*

(c) *The category* $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{even}}}_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathbb{C}(q) \underset{\mathbb{Q}(q)}{\otimes} \mathrm{U}^{q}_{\theta}(\mathfrak{g}))$ *is semisimple and every simple object is isomorphic to* $\mathrm{V}^{q}_{\theta}(\Lambda)/(\sqrt{\pi}-c)\mathrm{V}^{q}_{\theta}(\Lambda)$ *for some* $\Lambda \in \mathrm{P}^{+}_{\mathrm{even}}$ *and* $c \in \mathbb{C}$ *such that* $c^4 = 1$*.*

(d) *For* $\Lambda \in \mathrm{P}^{+}_{\mathrm{even}}$*, the weight spaces of* $\mathrm{U}^{\theta}_{q}(\mathfrak{g})^{-}$ *and* $\mathrm{V}^{q}_{\theta}(\Lambda)$ *are free* $\Bbbk$*-modules, and their ranks are given by*

$$\mathrm{ch}(\mathrm{U}^{\theta}_{q}(\mathfrak{g})^{-}) := \sum_{\mu \in Q} \bigl(\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)\sqrt{\pi}}\, \mathrm{U}^{q}_{\theta}(\mathfrak{g})_{\mu}\bigr)\, \mathrm{e}^{\mu} = \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^{+}} (1-\mathrm{e}^{-\alpha})^{-\,\mathrm{mult}(\alpha)},$$

$$\mathrm{ch}(\mathrm{V}_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\Lambda)) := \sum_{\mu \in \mathrm{P}} \bigl(\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{Q}(q)\sqrt{\pi}}\, \mathrm{V}^{q}_{\theta}(\Lambda)_{\mu}\bigr)\, \mathrm{e}^{\mu} = \frac{\sum_{w \in W} \epsilon(w)\, \mathrm{e}^{w(\Lambda+\rho)-\rho}}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_{+}} (1-\mathrm{e}^{-\alpha})^{\mathrm{mult}(\alpha)}},$$

where ρ *is an element of* P *such that* $\langle h_i, \rho\rangle = 1$ *for all* $i \in I$*,*

The following corollary will play a crucial role in studying the representation theory of $\mathrm{U}^{q}_{\theta}(\mathfrak{g})$.

Corollary 4.3. *We have*

$$\bigl\{a \in \mathrm{U}^{\theta}_{q}(\mathfrak{g})^{-} \mid e_i a \in \mathrm{U}^{q}_{\theta}(\mathfrak{g}) e_i \text{ for any } i \in I\bigr\} = \Bbbk.$$

Proof. We may assume that a is a weight vector of weight different from 0. Then, we have $a v_\Lambda = 0$ for any $\Lambda \in \mathrm{P}^{+}_{\mathrm{even}}$ by Theorem 4.2 (b). Hence, a belongs to $\sum_{i \in I} U^{-}_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g}) f_i^{1+\langle h_i,\Lambda\rangle}$ for any $\Lambda \in \mathrm{P}^{+}_{\mathrm{even}}$, which implies that $a = 0$. ☐

4.2. **The algebra $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})$.** Now we will take another choice of θ and p satisfying (2.8):

$$p_i = q_i \sqrt{\pi_i}, \quad p_{ij} = p_i^{a_{ij}}, \quad \theta_{ij} = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\pi_j}^{\,a_{ji}} & \text{if } i \neq j, \\ 1 & \text{if } i = j \end{cases} \tag{4.6}$$

Note that $\theta_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}[\pi]$ and $\theta_{ij}^2 = 1$.

We denote by $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ the $\Bbbk$-algebra $U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g})$ for this choice. The explicit description of the algebra $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ is given as follows.

Definition 4.4. The algebra $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ associated with a Cartan superdatum $(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{P}, \Pi, \Pi^\vee)$ is the algebra over $\Bbbk = \mathbb{Q}(q)^{\sqrt{\pi}}$ generated by e_i, f_i and $K_i^{\pm 1}$ $(i \in I)$ subject to the following defining relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
&K_iK_j = K_jK_i, \quad K_ie_jK_i^{-1} = p_i^{a_{ij}}e_j, \quad K_if_jK_i^{-1} = p_i^{-a_{ij}}f_j,\\
&e_if_j - \theta_{ji}f_je_i = \delta_{i,j}\frac{K_i - K_i^{-1}}{p_i - p_i^{-1}} \quad (i, j \in I),\\
&\sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-\theta_{ji})^k f_i^{(1-a_{ij}-k)} f_j f_i^{(k)} = 0 \quad (i \neq j),\\
&\sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-\theta_{ij})^k e_i^{(1-a_{ij}-k)} e_j e_i^{(k)} = 0 \quad (i \neq j),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

where $f_i^{(k)} = f_i^k/[k]_i^{\mathrm{p}}!$ and $e_i^{(k)} = e_i^k/[k]_i^{\mathrm{p}}!$.

Let $\mathbf{U}^-(\mathfrak{g})$ (resp. $\mathbf{U}^+(\mathfrak{g})$) be the $\Bbbk$-subalgebra of $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by the f_i's (resp. the e_i's) and let $\mathbf{U}^0(\mathfrak{g})$ be the $\Bbbk$-subalgebra generated by the $K_i^{\pm1}$'s $(i \in I)$. We choose $\chi_i(\lambda) = p_i^{\langle h_i, \lambda\rangle}$ to define $\mathrm{Mod}^P(\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}))$. By Corollary 2.2 and Proposition 2.3, we have

$$\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})[P, Q, R] \simeq U_q^\theta(\mathfrak{g})[P, Q, R]. \tag{4.8}$$

Hence the triangular decomposition of $U_q^\theta(\mathfrak{g})$ and Theorem 4.2 imply the following corollary.

Corollary 4.5.

(i) *The algebra* $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ *has a triangular decomposition*

$$\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq \mathbf{U}^-(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathbf{U}^0(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathbf{U}^+(\mathfrak{g}).$$

(ii) $\mathrm{ch}(\mathbf{U}^-(\mathfrak{g})) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} (1 - \mathrm{e}^{-\alpha})^{-\operatorname{mult}(\alpha)}$.

(iii) *We have* $\{a \in \mathbf{U}^-(\mathfrak{g}) \mid e_ia \in \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})e_i$ *for any* $i \in I\} = \Bbbk$.

(iv) *There is an equivalence of categories* $\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{P}}(\mathrm{U}_\theta^q(\mathfrak{g})) \simeq \mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{P}}(\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}))$.

Let $\mathbf{B}(\mathfrak{g})$ be the algebra $\mathrm{B}_{\widetilde{\theta}, \widetilde{p}}(\mathfrak{g})$ given in Definition 3.7 with

$$\widetilde{\theta}_{ij} = \pi_i^{\delta_{i,j}} q^{-(\alpha_i|\alpha_j)}, \quad \widetilde{p}_{ij} = q_i^{2a_{ij}}, \quad \widetilde{p}_i = q_i^2\pi_i. \tag{4.9}$$

The explicit description of $\mathbf{B}(\mathfrak{g})$ is given as follows.

Definition 4.6. The *quantum boson algebra* $\mathbf{B}(\mathfrak{g})$ is the associative algebra over $\Bbbk$ generated by e_i', f_i $(i \in I)$ satisfying the following defining relations:

$$\begin{aligned} & e_i' f_j = \pi_i^{\delta_{i,j}} q^{-(\alpha_i|\alpha_j)} f_j e_i' + \delta_{i,j}, \\ & \sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-\theta_{ij})^k \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{ij} \\ k \end{bmatrix}_i^{\mathrm{p}} e_i'^{1-a_{ij}-k} e_j' e_i'^{k} = 0 \quad (i \neq j), \\ & \sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-\theta_{ij})^k \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{ij} \\ k \end{bmatrix}_i^{\mathrm{p}} f_i^{1-a_{ij}-k} f_j f_i^{k} = 0 \quad (i \neq j). \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Note that $\mathbf{B}(\mathfrak{g})$ has an anti-automorphism given by $e_i' \mapsto f_i$, $f_i \mapsto e_i'$ $(i \in I)$. By Proposition 3.8, Proposition 3.10 and Corollary 4.5, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 4.7. *Suppose N is a Q-weighted $\mathbf{B}(\mathfrak{g})$-submodule of $\mathbf{U}^-(\mathfrak{g})$ such that $N \cap \Bbbk = 0$. Then $N = 0$.*

Let $E_i' := (p_i - p_i^{-1})^{-1} e_i'$ and $E_i^* := (p_i - p_i^{-1})^{-1} e_i^*$ $(i \in I)$. Then we have

$$e_i P - (T_i^{-1} P T_i) e_i = \big(T_i^{-1} E_i^*(P) T_i\big) K_i - K_i^{-1} E_i'(P). \tag{4.11}$$

The same argument as in [Kash91, Lemma 3.4.3, Proposition 3.4.4] shows that there exists a unique non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form on $\mathbf{U}^-(\mathfrak{g})$ satisfying

$$(1,1) = 1, \ (E_i' P, Q) = (P, f_i Q), \ (E_i^* P, Q) = (P, Q f_i) \text{ for } i \in I, P, Q \in \mathbf{U}^-(\mathfrak{g}). \tag{4.12}$$

4.3. **Representation theory of $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})$.** In this subsection, we show that the category $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{P}}_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}))$ of integrable $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})$-modules is semisimple. We first construct the *quantum Casimir operator* which is the key ingredient of our proof. The main argument follows those of [Kac90, Chapter 9,10] and [Lus93, Chapter 1]. Note that, in the present case, we take $\Bbbk = \mathbb{Q}(q)^{\sqrt{\pi}}$. Moreover, we have $\theta_{ij}^2 = \theta_{ii} = 1$ and hence the automorphism ψ of $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ introduced in (2.12) is given by

$$e_i \mapsto f_i K_i^{-1}, \quad f_i \mapsto K_i e_i, \quad K_i \mapsto K_i^{-1}. \tag{4.13}$$

Recall that the operators T_i introduced in (3.10) become

$$T_i e_j T_i^{-1} = \theta_{ji} e_j, \quad T_i f_j T_i^{-1} = \theta_{ji}^{-1} f_j, \quad T_i K_j T_i^{-1} = K_j.$$

In this case, we have $T_i^2 = 1$.

Lemma 4.8. *Let* $a_i\colon \lambda-\mathrm{Q}^+\to \Bbbk^\times$ $(i\in I)$ *be a family of maps such that*

$$\frac{a_i(\mu-\alpha_j)}{a_i(\mu)}=\frac{a_j(\mu-\alpha_i)}{a_j(\mu)} \tag{4.14}$$

for all $\mu\in\lambda-\mathrm{Q}^+$ *and* $i,j\in I$. *Then there exists a unique map* $\Psi\colon \lambda-\mathrm{Q}^+\to\Bbbk^\times$ *such that*

$$\Psi(\lambda)=1,\qquad \Psi(\mu-\alpha_i)=a_i(\mu)^{-1}\Psi(\mu).$$

Proof. We shall define $\Psi(\lambda-\beta)$ for $\beta\in\mathrm{Q}^+$ by induction on $|\beta|$ such that

$$\Psi(\lambda-\beta)=a_i(\lambda-\beta+\alpha_i)^{-1}\Psi(\lambda-\beta+\alpha_i)\quad\text{whenever } \beta-\alpha_i\in\mathrm{Q}^+.$$

It is enough to show that the right hand does not depend on i. Assume that $i\neq j$ and $\beta-\alpha_i,\beta-\alpha_j\in\mathrm{Q}^+$. Then $\beta-\alpha_i-\alpha_j\in\mathrm{Q}^+$. By the induction hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&a_i(\lambda-\beta+\alpha_i)^{-1}\Psi(\lambda-\beta+\alpha_i)\\&\qquad=a_i(\lambda-\beta+\alpha_i)^{-1}a_j(\lambda-\beta+\alpha_i+\alpha_j)^{-1}\Psi(\lambda-\beta+\alpha_i+\alpha_j),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&a_j(\lambda-\beta+\alpha_j)^{-1}\Psi(\lambda-\beta+\alpha_j)\\&\qquad=a_j(\lambda-\beta+\alpha_j)^{-1}a_i(\lambda-\beta+\alpha_i+\alpha_j)^{-1}\Psi(\lambda-\beta+\alpha_i+\alpha_j).\end{aligned}$$

By our assumption (4.14), the above two quantities coincide. □

For $i\in I$, define $a_i\colon \mathrm{Q}^-\to\Bbbk$ as follows:

$$a_i(\beta):=\prod\theta_{ji}^{-m_j}p_i^{-\langle h_i,\beta\rangle}\ \text{for}\ \beta=\sum m_j\alpha_j.$$

Then we have

$$\frac{a_j(\beta-\alpha_i)}{a_j(\beta)}=\theta_{ji}p_i^{a_{ij}}=q_i^{a_{ij}}=q_j^{a_{ji}}=\theta_{ij}p_j^{a_{ji}}=\frac{a_i(\beta-\alpha_j)}{a_i(\beta)}.$$

By Lemma 4.8, we have a map $\Psi\colon \mathrm{Q}^-\to\Bbbk$ satisfying

$$\Psi(0)=1\ \text{ and }\ \Psi(\beta-\alpha_i)=a_i(\beta)^{-1}\Psi(\beta). \tag{4.15}$$

We take a Q-homogeneous basis $\{A_\nu\}$ of $\mathbf{U}^-(\mathfrak{g})$ and its dual basis $\{A'_\nu\}$ with respect to the non-degenerate pairing in (4.12). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\text{(i)}\ \sum_\nu A'_\nu\otimes f_iA_\nu=\sum_\nu E'_iA'_\nu\otimes A_\nu,\quad \sum_\nu A'_\nu\otimes A_\nu f_i=\sum_\nu E^*_iA'_\nu\otimes A_\nu,\\&\text{(ii)}\ \sum_\nu A'_\nu f_i\otimes A_\nu=\sum_\nu A'_\nu\otimes E^*_iA_\nu,\quad \sum_\nu f_iA'_\nu\otimes A_\nu=\sum_\nu A'_\nu\otimes E'_iA_\nu.\end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

Proposition 4.9. *Let $M \in \mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{P}}(\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}))$ and set $\Phi = \sum_\nu \Psi(\mathrm{wt}(A_\nu))A'_\nu\psi(A_\nu)$ as a $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})$-module endomorphism of M, where ψ is the automorphism in (2.12). Then we have*

$$e_i\Phi = \Phi K_i^2 e_i, \quad \Phi f_i = f_i\Phi K_i^2 \quad \textit{for all } i \in I.$$

Proof. From (4.11), (4.12) and (4.16) (i), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_\nu (e_iA'_\nu - (T_i^{-1}A'_\nu T_i)e_i) \otimes A_\nu &= \sum_\nu (E_i^*(T_i^{-1}A'_\nu T_i)K_i - K_i^{-1}E'_i(A_\nu)) \otimes A_\nu \\ &= \sum_\nu (T_i^{-1}A'_\nu T_i)K_i \otimes A_\nu f_i - K_i^{-1}A_\nu \otimes f_iA_\nu.\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\sum_\nu \big(e_iA'_\nu \otimes A_\nu - (T_i^{-1}A'_\nu T_i)K_i \otimes A_\nu f_i\big) = \sum_\nu \big((T_i^{-1}A'_\nu T_i)e_i \otimes A_\nu - K_i^{-1}A_\nu \otimes f_iA_\nu\big). \tag{4.17}$$

We define a map $\varrho_1 \colon \mathbf{U}^-(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathbf{U}^-(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ given by

$$a \otimes b \longmapsto \Psi(\beta)a\psi(b), \quad \text{where } b \in \mathbf{U}^-(\mathfrak{g})_\beta.$$

Applying ϱ_1, the right-hand-side of (4.17) vanishes by (4.15) as can be seen below:

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_\nu \Psi(\mathrm{wt}(A_\nu))(T_i^{-1}A'_\nu T_i)e_i\psi(A_\nu) - \sum_\nu \Psi(\mathrm{wt}(A_\nu) - \alpha_i)K_i^{-1}A'_\nu K_ie_i\psi(A_\nu) \\ &= \sum_\nu \Psi(\mathrm{wt}(A_\nu)) \prod \theta_{ji}^{-m_j^\nu} A'_\nu e_i\psi(A_\nu) - \sum_\nu \Psi(\mathrm{wt}(A_\nu) - \alpha_i)p_i^{\langle h_i, \mathrm{wt}(A_\nu)\rangle} A'_\nu e_i\psi(A_\nu) = 0,\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathrm{wt}(A_\nu) = \sum m_j^\nu \alpha_j$.

The first term of the left-hand-side of (4.17) is equal to $e_i\Phi$ and the second term is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}&\textstyle\sum_\nu \Psi(\mathrm{wt}(A_\nu) - \alpha_i)(T_i^{-1}A'_\nu T_i)K_i\psi(A_\nu)K_ie_i \\ &\quad = \textstyle\sum_\nu \Big(\Psi(\mathrm{wt}(A_\nu) - \alpha_i) \prod \theta_{ji}^{-m_j^\nu} p_i^{\langle h_i, -\mathrm{wt}(A_\nu)\rangle}\Big) A'_\nu\psi(A_\nu)K_i^2e_i \\ &\quad = \big(\textstyle\sum_\nu \Psi(\mathrm{wt}(A_\nu))A'_\nu\psi(A_\nu)\big) K_i^2e_i = \Phi K_i^2e_i.\end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

Hence we obtain $e_i\Phi = \Phi K_i^2e_i$.

As in the case of e_i's with (4.16)(ii), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_\nu A'_\nu \otimes \big(e_iA_\nu - (T_i^{-1}A_\nu T_i)e_i\big) &= \sum_\nu A'_\nu \otimes \big(E_i^*(T_i^{-1}A_\nu T_i)K_i - K_i^{-1}E'_i(A_\nu)\big) \\ &= \sum_\nu A'_\nu f_i \otimes (T_i^{-1}A_\nu T_i)K_i - f_iA'_\nu \otimes K_i^{-1}A_\nu.\end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

By applying $x \otimes y \mapsto x \otimes T_i^{-1}\psi(y)T_iK_i$, (4.19) becomes

$$\sum_\nu A'_\nu \otimes T_i^{-1} f_i K_i^{-1} \psi(A_\nu) T_i K_i - A'_\nu \otimes \psi(A_\nu) f_i \\ = \sum_\nu A'_\nu f_i \otimes \psi(A_\nu) - f_i A'_\nu \otimes T_i^{-1} K_i \psi(A_\nu) T_i K_i.$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_\nu A'_\nu f_i \otimes \psi(A_\nu) - A'_\nu \otimes T_i^{-1} f_i K_i^{-1} \psi(A_\nu) T_i K_i \\ &\qquad = \sum_\nu f_i A'_\nu \otimes T_i^{-1} K_i \psi(A_\nu) T_i K_i - A'_\nu \otimes \psi(A_\nu) f_i. \end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

Define a map $\varrho_2 \colon \mathbf{U}^-(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathbf{U}^-(\mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ by

$$a \otimes b \longmapsto \Psi(\beta) ab, \quad \text{where } a \in \mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})^-_\beta.$$

The left-hand-side of (4.20) vanishes after applying ϱ_2:

$$\sum_\nu \Psi(\mathrm{wt}(A_\nu) - \alpha_i) A'_\nu f_i \psi(A_\nu) - \sum_\nu \Psi(\mathrm{wt}(A_\nu)) \prod_j \theta_{ji}^{m_j^\nu} p_i^{\langle h_i, \mathrm{wt}(A_\nu)\rangle} A'_\nu f_i \psi(A_\nu) = 0,$$

and the right-hand-side of (4.20) becomes

$$f_i \left(\sum_\nu \Psi(\mathrm{wt}(A_\nu) - \alpha_i) \prod_j \theta_{ij}^{-m_j^\nu} p_i^{\langle h_i, -\mathrm{wt}(A_\nu)\rangle} A'_\nu \psi(A_\nu) \right) K_i^2 - \Phi f_i = f_i \Phi K_i^2 - \Phi f_i,$$

which completes the proof. □

Define an operator Ξ on $M \in \mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{P}}(\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}))$ such that

$$\Xi|_{M_\lambda} = t(\lambda) q^{(\lambda+\rho|\lambda+\rho)-(\rho|\rho)} \mathrm{id}_{M_\lambda}$$

where $t \colon \mathrm{P} \to \{1, \pi\}$ is a function satisfying

$$\frac{t(\lambda)}{t(\lambda - \alpha_i)} = \pi_i^{\langle h_i, \lambda \rangle}.$$

By Lemma 4.8, such a function t uniquely exists up to a constant multiple on a Q-orbit in P. We define the *quantum Casimir operator* of $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ by:

$$\Omega := \Phi \Xi.$$

Theorem 4.10. *For any $M \in \mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{P}}(\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}))$ and $i \in I$, we have*

$$\Omega e_i = e_i \Omega \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega f_i = f_i \Omega$$

as $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})$-module endomorphisms in M.

Proof. For $u \in M_\lambda$,

$$K_i^2 e_i \Xi u = t(\lambda) q^{(\lambda+\rho|\lambda+\rho)-(\rho|\rho)} (q_i^2 \pi_i)^{\langle h_i, \lambda+\alpha_i \rangle} e_i u.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi e_i u &= t(\lambda+\alpha_i) q^{(\lambda+\alpha_i+\rho|\lambda+\alpha_i+\rho)-(\rho|\rho)} e_i u = t(\lambda) \pi_i^{\langle h_i, \lambda+\alpha_i \rangle} q^{(\lambda+\alpha_i+\rho|\lambda+\alpha_i+\rho)-(\rho|\rho)} e_i u \\ &= t(\lambda) \pi_i^{\langle h_i, \lambda+\alpha_i \rangle} q^{(\lambda+\alpha_i+\rho|\lambda+\alpha_i+\rho)-(\rho|\rho)} e_i u. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$(\lambda+\alpha_i+\rho|\lambda+\alpha_i+\rho) - (\rho|\rho) = (\lambda+\rho|\lambda+\rho) - (\rho|\rho) + 2\mathrm{d}_i \langle h_i, \lambda+\alpha_i \rangle,$$

we have $K_i^2 e_i \Xi = \Xi e_i$, which implies $e_i(\Phi\Xi) = \Phi K_i^2 e_i \Xi = (\Phi\Xi) e_i$.

The assertion for f_i can be obtained in a similar way. □

Definition 4.11. Let V be a $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})$-module in $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{P}}(\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}))$. A vector $v \in V_\mu$ is called *primitive* if there exists a $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})$-submodule U in V such that

$$v \notin U \text{ and } \mathbf{U}^+(\mathfrak{g}) v \in U.$$

In this case, μ is called a *primitive weight*.

The following corollary immediately follows from Theorem 4.10.

Corollary 4.12.

(i) *If V is a highest weight $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})$-module with highest weight Λ, then*

$$\Omega = t(\Lambda) q^{(\Lambda+\rho|\Lambda+\rho)-(\rho|\rho)} \mathrm{id}_V.$$

(ii) *If V is a $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})$-module in $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{P}}(\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}))$ and v is a primitive vector with weight Λ, then there exists a submodule $U \subset V$ such that $v \notin U$ and*

$$\Omega(v) \equiv t(\Lambda) q^{(\Lambda+\rho|\Lambda+\rho)-(\rho|\rho)} v \mod U.$$

Let us take a ring homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}^{\sqrt{\pi}} \to \mathbb{C}$ and change the base ring from $\mathbb{Q}(q)^{\sqrt{\pi}}$ to $\mathbb{C}(q)$. We then consider $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ as an algebra over the field $\mathbb{C}(q)$. For the choice of θ and p given in (4.6), we denote by $\mathbf{M}(\Lambda) = \mathrm{M}_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\Lambda)$ the Verma module and $\mathbf{V}(\Lambda) = \mathrm{V}_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\Lambda)$ the simple head of $\mathbf{M}(\Lambda)$ over $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})$, respectively.

Lemma 4.13 (cf. [Kac90, Lemma 9.5, Lemma 9.6])**.** *Let V be a non-zero $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})$-module in the category $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{P}}(\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}))$.*

(a) *If $\mu \geq \eta$ implies $\mu = \eta$ for any primitive weights μ and η of V, then V is completely reducible.*

(b) *For any $\lambda \in \mathrm{P}$, there exist a filtration $V = V_t \supset V_{t-1} \supset \cdots \supset V_1 \supset V_0 = 0$ and a subset $J \subset \{1, \ldots, t\}$ such that*
  (i) *if $j \in J$, then $V_j/V_{j-1} \simeq \mathbf{V}(\lambda_j)$ for some $\lambda_j \geq \lambda$,*
  (ii) *if $j \notin J$, then $(V_j/V_{j-1})_\mu = 0$ for every $\mu \geq \lambda$.*

By Corollary 4.5(a), we have

$$\operatorname{ch}(\mathbf{M}(\Lambda)) = \mathrm{e}^{\Lambda} \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} (1 - \mathrm{e}^{-\alpha})^{-\operatorname{mult}(\alpha)}. \tag{4.21}$$

Proposition 4.14 (cf. [Kac90, Proposition 9.8])**.** *Let V be a $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})$-module with highest weight Λ. Then*

$$\operatorname{ch}(V) = \sum_{\substack{\lambda \leq \Lambda, \\ (\lambda+\rho|\lambda+\rho) = (\Lambda+\rho|\Lambda+\rho)}} t_\lambda \operatorname{ch}(\mathbf{M}(\lambda)), \quad \text{where } t_\lambda \in \mathbb{Z},\ t_\Lambda = 1. \tag{4.22}$$

Proposition 4.15 (cf. [Kac90, Proposition 9.9 b)])**.** *Let V be a $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})$-module in the category $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{P}}(\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}))$. Assume that for any two primitive weights λ and μ of V such that $\lambda - \mu = \beta \in \mathrm{Q}^+ \setminus \{0\}$, we have $2(\lambda + \rho|\beta) \neq (\beta|\beta)$. Then V is completely reducible.*

Proof. We may assume that the $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})$-module V is indecomposable. Since Ω is locally finite on V, i.e., every $v \in V$ is contained in a finite-dimensional Ω-invariant subspace, there exist $\varepsilon \in \{0, 1\}$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\Omega - \pi^{\varepsilon} q^{a} \mathrm{Id}$ is locally nilpotent on V. Thus Corollary 4.12 (b) implies $(\lambda + \rho|\lambda + \rho) = (\mu + \rho|\mu + \rho)$. Our assertion follows from Lemma 4.13 (a). □

As in [Kac90, Chapter 3, 9], one can prove that $\operatorname{ch}(\mathbf{V}(\Lambda))$ is W-invariant. Thus we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.16. *Let $\mathbf{V}(\Lambda)$ be an irreducible $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})$-module with highest weight $\Lambda \in \mathrm{P}^+$. Then the following statements hold.*

(a) $\operatorname{ch}(\mathbf{V}(\Lambda)) = \dfrac{\sum_{w \in W} \epsilon(w)\, \mathrm{e}^{w(\Lambda+\rho)-\rho}}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} (1 - \mathrm{e}^{-\alpha})^{\operatorname{mult}(\alpha)}}$.

(b) $\mathbf{V}(\Lambda)$ *is generated by a vector v_Λ with the defining relations:*

$$K_i v_\Lambda = p_i^{\langle h_i, \Lambda \rangle} v_\Lambda, \quad e_i v_\Lambda = 0, \quad f_i^{\langle h_i, \Lambda \rangle + 1} v_\Lambda = 0 \quad \textit{for all } i \in I.$$

(c) *The category* $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{P}}_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g}))$ *is semisimple and every simple object is isomorphic to* $\mathbf{V}(\Lambda)$ *for some* $\Lambda \in \mathrm{P}^{+}$.

Proof. The proofs are similar to those of [Kac90, Theorem 10.4, Corollary 10.4, Theorem 9.9 b)]. ☐

As an immediate corollary, we obtain:

Theorem 4.17. Conjecture 2.8 *is true if the following conditions are satisfied.*

(a) $(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{P}, \Pi, \Pi^{\vee})$ *is a Cartan superdatum,*
(b) *the base field* $\Bbbk$ *is of characteristic* 0*,*
(c) q *is algebraically independent over* $\mathbb{Q}$*,*
(d) *there exists* $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ *such that* $p_{ii}\theta_{ii}^{-1} = q^{(\alpha_i|\alpha_i)}\varepsilon^{\mathrm{p}(i)}$ *for any* $i \in I$*.*

5. The algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$

In this section, we introduce an algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ corresponding to a Cartan superdatum, which is directly to our supercategorification theorems via quiver Hecke superalgebras and their cyclotomic quotients. Throughout this section, we take $\Bbbk = \mathbb{Q}(q)^{\pi}$.

The algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ is the $\Bbbk$-algebra $\mathcal{U}_{\widetilde{\theta},\widetilde{\mathrm{p}}}(\mathfrak{g})$, where $\tilde{\theta}$ and $\tilde{\mathrm{p}}$ are given by

$$\widetilde{p}_i = q_i^2\pi_i, \quad \widetilde{\theta}_{ij} = \widetilde{\theta}_{ji} = \pi^{\mathrm{p}(i)\mathrm{p}(j)} q_i^{-a_{ij}}. \tag{5.1}$$

The explicit description of the algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ is given as follows.

Definition 5.1. The algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ associated with a Cartan superdatum $(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{P}, \Pi, \Pi^{\vee})$ is defined to be the algebra over $\Bbbk = \mathbb{Q}(q)^{\pi}$ generated by e_i, f_i and $\widetilde{K}_i^{\pm 1}$ $(i \in I)$ subject to the following defining relations:

$$\begin{gathered}
\widetilde{K}_i\widetilde{K}_j = \widetilde{K}_j\widetilde{K}_i, \quad \widetilde{K}_i e_j \widetilde{K}_i^{-1} = q_i^{2a_{ij}} e_j, \quad \widetilde{K}_i f_j \widetilde{K}_i^{-1} = q_i^{-2a_{ij}} f_j, \\
e_i f_j - \pi^{\mathrm{p}(i)\mathrm{p}(j)} q_i^{-a_{ij}} f_j e_i = \delta_{i,j} \frac{1-\widetilde{K}_i}{1-q_i^2\pi_i} \quad (i, j \in I), \\
\sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-\pi^{\mathrm{p}(i)\mathrm{p}(j)})^k \pi_i^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} f_i^{\{1-a_{ij}-k\}} f_j f_i^{\{k\}} = 0 \quad (i \neq j), \\
\sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-\pi^{\mathrm{p}(i)\mathrm{p}(j)})^k \pi_i^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} e_i^{\{1-a_{ij}-k\}} e_j e_i^{\{k\}} = 0 \quad (i \neq j),
\end{gathered} \tag{5.2}$$

where $f_i^{\{n\}} = f_i^n/[n]_i^{\pi}!$ and $e_i^{\{n\}} = e_i^n/[n]_i^{\pi}!$.

Note that $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ has an anti-automorphism given by

$$e_i \mapsto f_i, \quad f_i \mapsto e_i, \quad \widetilde{K}_i^{\pm 1} \mapsto \widetilde{K}_i^{\pm 1}. \tag{5.3}$$

For $\Lambda \in \mathrm{P}^+$, let $\mathcal{V}(\Lambda)$ be the P-weighted $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$-module generated by v_Λ of weight Λ with the defining relations given by:

$$\tilde{K}_i v_\Lambda = (q_i^2\pi_i)^{\langle h_i,\Lambda\rangle} v_\Lambda, \quad e_i v_\Lambda = 0, \quad f_i^{\langle h_i,\Lambda\rangle+1} v_\Lambda = 0 \quad \text{for all } i \in I. \tag{5.4}$$

We define the subalgebras $\mathcal{U}^-(\mathfrak{g})$, $\mathcal{U}^0(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\mathcal{U}^+(\mathfrak{g})$ in the same way as we did for $U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g})$ in Section 2.

Then, by Theorem 4.16, we obtain the following results.

Theorem 5.2.

(i) *The* $\mathbb{Q}(q)^\pi$*-algebra* $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ *has a triangular decomposition*

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq \mathcal{U}^-(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathcal{U}^0(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathcal{U}^+(\mathfrak{g}).$$

(ii) $\mathrm{ch}(\mathcal{U}^-(\mathfrak{g})) = \prod_{\alpha\in\Delta^+} (1 - \mathrm{e}^{-\alpha})^{-\,\mathrm{mult}(\alpha)}$.

(iii) *For* $\Lambda \in \mathrm{P}^+$*, if a* $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$*-submodule* N *of* $\mathcal{V}(\Lambda)$ *satisfies* $N \cap \Bbbk v_\Lambda = 0$*, then* $N = 0$.

(iv) *There exist equivalences of categories*

$$\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{P}}\big(\mathbb{Q}(q)^{\sqrt{\pi}} \underset{\mathbb{Q}(q)^\pi}{\otimes} \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})\big) \simeq \mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{P}}(\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})), \quad \mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{P}}_{\mathrm{int}}\big(\mathbb{Q}(q)^{\sqrt{\pi}} \underset{\mathbb{Q}(q)^\pi}{\otimes} \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})\big) \simeq \mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{P}}_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})).$$

(v) *The category* $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{P}}_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}))$ *is semisimple and every simple object is isomorphic to* $\mathcal{V}(\Lambda)/(\pi-\varepsilon)\mathcal{V}(\Lambda)$ *for some* $\Lambda \in \mathrm{P}^+$ *and* $\varepsilon = \pm 1$.

For $i \in I$, $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, we define

$$\left\langle \begin{matrix} x \\ n \end{matrix} \right\rangle_i^\pi := \prod_{r=1}^{n} \frac{1 - x(q_i^2\pi_i)^{1-r}}{1-(q_i^2\pi_i)^r}. \tag{5.5}$$

In particular, when $n = 1$, we have

$$\left\langle \begin{matrix} \tilde{K}_i \\ 1 \end{matrix} \right\rangle_i^\pi = \frac{1-\tilde{K}_i}{1-q_i^2\pi_i} = e_i f_i - q_i^{-2}\pi_i f_i e_i.$$

Define the $\mathbb{A}^\pi$*-form* $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\mathfrak{g})$ of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ to be the $\mathbb{A}^\pi$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by the elements $e_i^{\{n\}}$, $f_i^{\{n\}}$, $\tilde{K}_i^{\pm 1}$ for $i \in I$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. We denote by $\mathcal{U}^+_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\mathfrak{g})$ (resp. $\mathcal{U}^-_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\mathfrak{g})$) the $\mathbb{A}^\pi$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\mathfrak{g})$ with 1 generated by $e_i^{\{n\}}$ (resp. $f_i^{\{n\}}$) and by $\mathcal{U}^0_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\mathfrak{g})$ the $\mathbb{A}^\pi$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\mathfrak{g})$ with 1 generated by $\tilde{K}_i$ and $\left\langle \begin{matrix} \tilde{K}_i \\ n \end{matrix} \right\rangle_i^\pi$ for $i \in I$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

By a direct computation, we have the following lemma:

Lemma 5.3. *For $i \in I$ and $n,\ m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we have*

$$e_i^{\{n\}} f_i^{\{m\}} = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq n,m} q_i^{-k(k-n-m+1)} (q_i^2 \pi_i)^{k(k+1)/2-nm} f_i^{\{m-k\}} e_i^{\{n-k\}} \left\langle \begin{matrix} (q_i^2\pi_i)^{n-m}\tilde{K}_i \\ k \end{matrix} \right\rangle_i^{\pi}.$$

As an immediate consequence of Lemma 5.3, we have a triangular decomposition of $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\mathfrak{g})$.

Lemma 5.4. *The homomorphism*

$$\mathcal{U}^-_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathbb{A}^\pi} \mathcal{U}^0_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathbb{A}_\pi} \mathcal{U}^+_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\mathfrak{g}) \tag{5.6}$$

induced by the multiplication on $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ is surjective. By tensoring with $\mathbb{Q}$, we obtain an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{Q} \otimes \big(\mathcal{U}^-_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathbb{A}^\pi} \mathcal{U}^0_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{\mathbb{A}^\pi} \mathcal{U}^+_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\mathfrak{g})\big) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Q} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\mathfrak{g}).$$

We will see that $\mathcal{U}^-_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a free $\mathbb{A}^\pi$-module (Corollary 8.15) and that (5.6) is an isomorphism.

The following proposition easily follows from Theorem 5.2.

Proposition 5.5. *Let $\Lambda \in \mathrm{P}^+$. Then there exists a unique non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form $(\ ,\ )$ on $\mathcal{V}(\Lambda)$ such that*

$$(v_\Lambda, v_\Lambda) = 1,\ \ (e_i u, v) = (u, f_i v) \ \textit{for all}\ u, v \in \mathcal{V}(\Lambda), i \in I.$$

We introduce two $\mathbb{A}^\pi$-forms of $\mathcal{V}(\Lambda)$ by

$$\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\Lambda) = \mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\mathfrak{g}) v_\Lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\Lambda)^\vee = \{u \in \mathcal{V}(\Lambda) \mid (u, \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\Lambda)) \subset \mathbb{A}^\pi\}. \tag{5.7}$$

Note that we have an isomorphism

$$\varphi|_{\mathrm{U}^q_\theta(\mathfrak{g})^-} : \mathrm{U}^q_\theta(\mathfrak{g})^- \to \mathcal{U}^-(\mathfrak{g}).$$

By Proposition 3.10 and Corollary 4.3, we have

Proposition 5.6. *If $P \in \mathcal{U}^-(\mathfrak{g})$ satisfies $e'_i P = 0$ for all $i \in I$, then P is a constant multiple of 1.*

Applying the arguments given in [Kash91, Lemma 3.4.3, Proposition 3.4.4], we obtain the following proposition immediately.

Proposition 5.7. *There is a unique non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form* $(\ ,\ )$ *on* $\mathcal{U}^-(\mathfrak{g})$ *such that*

$$(1,1)=1, \quad (P, f_iQ) = (e_i'P, Q) \ \text{ for all } i \in I,\ P,Q \in \mathcal{U}^-(\mathfrak{g}). \tag{5.8}$$

We define the *dual* $\mathbb{A}^\pi$*-form* of $\mathcal{U}^-(\mathfrak{g})$ to be

$$\mathcal{U}^-_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\mathfrak{g})^\vee := \{u \in \mathcal{U}^-(\mathfrak{g}) \mid (u, \mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\mathfrak{g})) \subset \mathbb{A}^\pi\}.$$

6. Perfect bases

In this section, using the notion of strong perfect bases, we prove a theorem that characterizes $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\Lambda)^\vee$.

Let $V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathrm{P}} V_\lambda$ be a P-graded $\mathbb{Q}(q)^\pi$-module. We assume that

(i) there are finitely many $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_s \in \mathrm{P}$ such that

$$\mathrm{wt}(V) := \{\mu \in \mathrm{P} \mid V_\mu \neq 0\} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{s} (\lambda_i - \mathrm{Q}^+),$$

(ii) for each $i \in I$, there is a linear operator $e_i : V \to V$ such that $e_i V_\lambda \subset V_{\lambda+\alpha_i}$.

For any $v \in V$ and $i \in I$, we define

(a) $\varepsilon_i(v) := \begin{cases} \min\{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \mid e_i^{n+1} v = 0\} & \text{if } v \neq 0, \\ -\infty & \text{if } v = 0, \end{cases}$

(b) $V_i^{<k} := \{v \in V \mid \varepsilon_i(v) < k\} = \mathrm{Ker}\, e_i^k \quad \text{for } k \geq 0.$

Definition 6.1 ([BeKa07, KOP11a]). (i) A $\mathbb{Q}(q)^\pi$-basis B of V is called a *perfect basis* if

(a) $B = \bigsqcup_{\mu \in \mathrm{wt}(V)} B_\mu$, where $B_\mu := B \cap V_\mu$,

(b) for any $b \in B$ and $i \in I$ with $e_i(b) \neq 0$, there exists a unique element in B, denoted by $\tilde{\mathsf{e}}_i(b)$, satisfying the following formula:

$$e_i b - c_i(b)\, \tilde{\mathsf{e}}_i(b) \in V_i^{<\varepsilon_i(b)-1} \text{ for some } c_i(b) \in (\mathbb{Q}(q)^\pi)^\times,$$

(c) if $b, b' \in B$ and $i \in I$ satisfy $\varepsilon_i(b) = \varepsilon_i(b') > 0$ and $\tilde{\mathsf{e}}_i(b) = \tilde{\mathsf{e}}_i(b')$, then $b = b'$.

(ii) We say that a perfect basis is *strong* if, for any $i \in I$ and $b \in B$ such that $e_i(b) \neq 0$, there exist some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\varepsilon = 0, 1$ such that

$$c_i(b) = \pi^\varepsilon q^m [\varepsilon_i(b)]_i^\pi.$$

Note that $[n]_i^\pi = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} q_i^{1-n+2k}\pi_i^k$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

For any sequence $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_m) \in I^m$ $(m \geq 1)$, we define a binary relation $\preceq_{\mathbf{i}}$ on $V \setminus \{0\}$ inductively as follows:

$$\text{if } \mathbf{i} = (i),\ v \preceq_{\mathbf{i}} v' \Leftrightarrow \varepsilon_i(v) \leq \varepsilon_i(v'),$$

$$\text{if } \mathbf{i} = (i; \mathbf{i}'),\ v \preceq_{\mathbf{i}} v' \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \varepsilon_i(v) < \varepsilon_i(v') & \text{or} \\ \varepsilon_i(v) = \varepsilon_i(v'),\ e_i^{\varepsilon_i(v)}(v) \preceq_{\mathbf{i}'} e_i^{\varepsilon_i(v')}(v'). \end{cases}$$

We write: (i) $v \equiv_{\mathbf{i}} v'$ if $v \preceq_{\mathbf{i}} v'$ and $v' \preceq_{\mathbf{i}} v$, (ii) $v' \prec_{\mathbf{i}} v$ if $v' \preceq_{\mathbf{i}} v$ and $v \not\equiv_{\mathbf{i}} v'$.

One can easily verify the following lemma.

Lemma 6.2.

(a) *If $v \not\equiv_{\mathbf{i}} v'$, then* $v + v' \equiv_{\mathbf{i}} \begin{cases} v & \text{if } v' \prec_{\mathbf{i}} v, \\ v' & \text{if } v \prec_{\mathbf{i}} v'. \end{cases}$

(b) *For all $v \in V \setminus \{0\}$, the set $V^{\prec_{\mathbf{i}} v} := \{0\} \bigsqcup \{v' \in V \setminus \{0\} \mid v' \prec_{\mathbf{i}} v\}$ forms a $\mathbb{Q}^\pi(q)$-module of V.*

For $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_m) \in I^m$ and $v \in V \setminus \{0\}$, we define $e_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathrm{top}}$ as follows:

$$e_i^{\mathrm{top}}(v) := \begin{cases} e_i^{\{\varepsilon_i(v)\}}(v) & \text{if } \mathbf{i} = (i), \\ e_i^{\mathrm{top}} \circ e_{\mathbf{i}'}^{\mathrm{top}} & \text{if } \mathbf{i} = (i, \mathbf{i}'). \end{cases}$$

One can see that if B is a strong perfect basis, then $e_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathrm{top}} B \subset (\mathbb{A}^\pi)^\times \cdot B$.

Let $V^H := \{v \in V \mid e_i v = 0 \text{ for all } i \in I\}$ be the space of highest weight vectors in V and let $B^H = V^H \cap B$ be the set of highest weight vectors in B. Then we have

Lemma 6.3 ([BeKa07, Claim 5.32])**.** *The subset B^H is a $\mathbb{Q}(q)^\pi$-basis of V^H.*

Proof. Indeed, [BeKa07] treated the case when the base ring is a field. However, since $\mathbb{Q}(q)^\pi \simeq \mathbb{Q}(q)^{\oplus 2}$, we can reduce this lemma to their case. □

In [BeKa07], Berenstein and Kazhdan proved a uniqueness theorem for perfect bases in the following sense:

Theorem 6.4 ([BeKa07])**.** *Let B and B' be perfect bases of V such that $B^H = (B')^H$. Then there exist a bijection $\psi \colon B \xrightarrow{\sim} B'$ and a map $\xi \colon B \to \mathbb{Q}(q)^\times$ such that*

$$\psi(b) - \xi(b) b \in V^{\prec_{\mathbf{i}} b}$$

for any $b \in B$ *and any* $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_m)$ *satisfying* $e_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathrm{top}}(b) \in V^H$. *Moreover, such* ψ *and* ξ *are unique and* ψ *commutes with* $\tilde{\mathsf{e}}_i$ *and* ε_i $(i \in I)$.

Lemma 6.5. *Let* B *be a strong perfect basis of* V.

- (i) *For any finite subset* S *of* B, *there exists a finite sequence* $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_m)$ *of* I *such that* $e_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathrm{top}}(b) \in (\mathbb{A}^\pi)^\times \cdot B^H$ *for any* $b \in S$.
- (ii) *Let* $b_0 \in B^H$ *and let* $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_m)$ *be a finite sequence in* I. *Then the set*
$$S := \left\{ b \in B \mid e_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathrm{top}}(b) \in (\mathbb{A}^\pi)^\times \cdot b_0 \right\}$$
is linearly ordered by $\preceq_{\mathbf{i}}$.

Proof. The proof is similar to the one of [KKO12, Lemma 2.9]. □

Now we prove the main result of this section: a characterization theorem for $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\Lambda)^\vee$.

Theorem 6.6. *Let* M *be a* $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$*-module in* $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{P}}_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}))$ *such that* $\mathrm{wt}(M) \subset \Lambda - \mathrm{Q}^+$. *Suppose* $M_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}$ *is an* $\mathbb{A}^\pi$*-submodule of* M *satisfying the following conditions:*

(a) $e_i^{\{n\}} M_{\mathbb{A}^\pi} \subset M_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}$ *for any* $i \in I$,
(b) $(M_{\mathbb{A}^\pi})_\Lambda = \mathbb{A}^\pi v_\Lambda$ *for some* $v_\Lambda \in M_\Lambda$,
(c) M *has a strong perfect basis* $B \subset M_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}$ *such that* $B^H = \{v_\Lambda\}$.

Then we have

- (i) $M_{\mathbb{A}^\pi} \simeq \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\Lambda)^\vee$,
- (ii) B *is an* $\mathbb{A}^\pi$*-basis of* $M_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}$,
- (iii) $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\Lambda)_\lambda \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\Lambda)^\vee_\lambda, \mathbb{A}^\pi)$.

Proof. Since M has a unique highest weight vector v_Λ, the $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$-module M is isomorphic to $\mathcal{V}(\Lambda)$. Since $(M_{\mathbb{A}^\pi})_\Lambda = \mathbb{A}^\pi v_\Lambda$ and
$$\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\Lambda)^\vee_\lambda = \left\{ u \in \mathcal{V}(\Lambda)_\lambda \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{c} e_{i_1}^{\{a_1\}} \cdots e_{i_\ell}^{\{a_\ell\}} u \in \mathbb{A}^\pi v_\Lambda \text{ for all } (i_1, \cdots, i_\ell) \\ \text{such that } \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} a_k \alpha_{i_k} + \lambda = \Lambda \end{array} \right\},$$
it is clear that $M_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}$ is contained in $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\Lambda)^\vee$. Thus, in order to see (i) and (ii), it suffices to show that $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\Lambda)^\vee \subset \bigoplus_{b \in B} \mathbb{A}^\pi b$.

For any $u \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\Lambda)^\vee$, we write $u = \sum_{b \in B} c_b b$ with $c_b \in \mathbb{Q}(q)^{\sqrt{\pi}}$. Set $B(u) := \{b \in B \mid c_b \neq 0\}$. By Lemma 6.5(i), there exists a sequence $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_m)$ such that $e_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathrm{top}}(b) \in (\mathbb{A}^\pi)^\times v_\Lambda$ for every $b \in B(u)$. Then Lemma 6.5(ii) tells that $B(u)$ is linearly ordered with respect to $\prec_{\mathbf{i}}$. Using the descending induction, we shall show that $c_b \in \mathbb{A}^\pi$. For the maximal element $\mathbf{b}$, $e_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathrm{top}}(c_{\mathbf{b}} \mathbf{b}) = e_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathrm{top}}(u) = a_{\mathbf{b}} c_{\mathbf{b}} \mathbf{b}$ for some $a_{\mathbf{b}} \in (\mathbb{A}^\pi)^\times$. Thus we can start an induction. Assume that $c_{b'} \in \mathbb{A}^\pi$ for any $b' \in B$ such that $b \prec_{\mathbf{i}} b'$. By setting $v_0 = b$, $\ell_k = \varepsilon_{i_k}(v_{k-1})$ and $v_k = e_{i_k}^{\{\ell_k\}} v_{k-1}$ $(1 \le k \le m)$, we have

$$e_{i_m}^{\{\ell_m\}} \cdots e_{i_1}^{\{\ell_1\}} u = a_b c_b v_\Lambda + \sum_{\substack{b \prec_{\mathbf{i}} b' \\ a_{b'} \in (\mathbb{A}^\pi)^\times}} c_{b'} e_{i_m}^{\{\ell_m\}} \cdots e_{i_1}^{\{\ell_1\}} b' \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\Lambda)^\vee \quad \text{for some } a_b \in (\mathbb{A}^\pi)^\times,$$

which implies $c_b \in \mathbb{A}^\pi$.

(iii) follows from (i), (ii) and the lemma below. □

Lemma 6.7. *Assume that $\mathcal{V}(\Lambda)^\vee$ has a strong perfect basis B such that $v_\Lambda \in B$ and $B \subset \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\Lambda)^\vee$. Then the dual basis of B is an $\mathbb{A}^\pi$-basis of $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\Lambda)$.*

Proof. Let $\{b^\vee\}_{b \in B}$ be the dual basis of B. By the definition of strong perfect bases, for any $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $b \in B$, we can write

$$e_i^{\{\ell\}} b = c_{b,\ell} \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_i(b) \\ \ell \end{bmatrix}_i^\pi \tilde{\mathsf{e}}_i^\ell(b) + \sum_{\varepsilon_i(b') < \varepsilon_i(b) - \ell} a_{b'} b'$$

for some $a_{b'} \in \mathbb{A}^\pi$ and $c_{b,\ell} \in (\mathbb{A}^\pi)^\times$. Hence we have

$$f_i^{\{\ell\}} \big(\tilde{\mathsf{e}}_i^\ell(b)^\vee\big) = c_{b,\ell} \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_i(b) \\ \ell \end{bmatrix}_i^\pi b^\vee + \sum_{\varepsilon_i(b') > \varepsilon_i(b)} a'_{b'} (b')^\vee \tag{6.1}$$

for some $a'_{b'} \in \mathbb{A}^\pi$.

Since B is an $\mathbb{A}^\pi$-basis of $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\Lambda)^\vee$, we have

$$\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\Lambda) \subset \bigoplus_{b \in B} A^\pi b^\vee.$$

Hence it is enough to show that

$$b^\vee \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\Lambda) \tag{6.2}$$

for any $\beta \in \mathrm{Q}^+$ and $b \in B_{\Lambda-\beta}$. We shall prove it by induction on the height $|\beta|$. If $\beta = 0$, the assertion is trivial. Let us assume $|\beta| > 0$. Then we prove (6.2) for $i \in I$ and $b \in B_{\Lambda-\beta}$ such that $\varepsilon_i(b) > 0$ by the descending induction on $\varepsilon_i(b)$. Taking $\ell = \varepsilon_i(b)$, (6.1) implies

$$f_i^{\{\ell\}} \big(e_i^{\mathrm{top}}(b)^\vee\big) - b^\vee \in \bigoplus_{\varepsilon_i(b') > \varepsilon_i(b)} \mathbb{A}^\pi (b')^\vee.$$

Since $f_i^{\{\ell\}} \big(e_i^{\mathrm{top}}(b)\big)^\vee$ and $(b')^\vee$ belong to $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\Lambda)$ by the induction hypothesis, we obtain $b^\vee \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\Lambda)$. □

In Theorem 8.9 and Theorem 8.14, we will show that $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\Lambda)^\vee$ has a strong perfect basis.

7. Supercategories and 2-supercategories

In this section, we recall the notion of supercategories, superfunctors, superbimodules and their basic properties (see [KKT11, Section 2]). We also introduce the notion of 2-supercategories.

7.1. Supercategories.

Definition 7.1.

(i) A *supercategory* is a category $\mathscr{C}$ equipped with an endofunctor $\Pi_\mathscr{C}$ of $\mathscr{C}$ and an isomorphism $\xi_\mathscr{C}\colon \Pi_\mathscr{C}^2 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{id}_\mathscr{C}$ such that $\xi_\mathscr{C} \cdot \Pi_\mathscr{C} = \Pi_\mathscr{C} \cdot \xi_\mathscr{C} \in \mathrm{Hom}(\Pi_\mathscr{C}^3, \Pi_\mathscr{C})$.

(ii) For a pair of supercategories $\mathscr{C}$ and $\mathscr{C}'$, a *superfunctor* from $\mathscr{C}$ to $\mathscr{C}'$ is a functor $F\colon \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{C}'$ endowed with an isomorphism $\alpha_F\colon F \cdot \Pi_\mathscr{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Pi_{\mathscr{C}'} \cdot F$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F \cdot (\Pi_\mathscr{C})^2 \arrow[r, "\alpha_F \cdot \Pi_\mathscr{C}"] \arrow[d, "F \cdot \xi_\mathscr{C}"] & \Pi_{\mathscr{C}'} \cdot F \cdot \Pi_\mathscr{C} \arrow[r, "\Pi_{\mathscr{C}'} \cdot \alpha_F"] & (\Pi_{\mathscr{C}'})^2 \cdot F \arrow[d, "\xi_{\mathscr{C}'} \cdot F"'] \\
F \arrow[rr, "\mathrm{id}_F"] & & F
\end{tikzcd} \tag{7.1}$$

If F is an equivalence of categories, we say that (F, α_F) is an *equivalence of supercategories.*

(iii) Let (F, α_F) and $(F', \alpha_{F'})$ be superfunctors from a supercategory $\mathscr{C}$ to $\mathscr{C}'$. A morphism from (F, α_F) to $(F', \alpha_{F'})$ is a morphism of functors $\varphi\colon F \to F'$ such that

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F \cdot \Pi_\mathscr{C} \arrow[r, "\varphi \cdot \Pi_\mathscr{C}"] \arrow[d, "\alpha_F"'] & F' \cdot \Pi_\mathscr{C} \arrow[d, "\alpha_{F'}"'] \\
\Pi_{\mathscr{C}'} \cdot F \arrow[r, "\Pi_{\mathscr{C}'} \cdot \varphi"] & \Pi_{\mathscr{C}'} \cdot F'
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes.

(iv) For a pair of superfunctors $F\colon \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{C}'$ and $F'\colon \mathscr{C}' \to \mathscr{C}''$, the composition $F' \cdot F\colon \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{C}''$ of superfunctors is defined by taking the composition

$$F' \cdot F \cdot \Pi_\mathscr{C} \xrightarrow{F' \cdot \alpha_F} F' \cdot \Pi_{\mathscr{C}'} \cdot F \xrightarrow{\alpha_{F'} \cdot F} \Pi_{\mathscr{C}''} \cdot F' \cdot F$$

as $\alpha_{F'\cdot F}$.

In this paper, a supercategory is assumed to be a $\Bbbk$*-linear additive category, where* $\Bbbk$ *is a commutative ring in which* 2 *is invertible.*

The functors $\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{C}}$ and Π are superfunctors by taking $\alpha_{\mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{C}}} = \mathrm{id}_\Pi \colon \mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{C}} \cdot \Pi \to \Pi \cdot \mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{C}}$ and $\alpha_\Pi = -\mathrm{id}_{\Pi^2} \colon \Pi \cdot \Pi \to \Pi \cdot \Pi$. *Note the sign.* This is one of the main reasons that the sign is involved in calculation in supercategories. The morphism $\alpha_F \colon F \cdot \Pi \to \Pi \cdot F$ is a morphism of superfunctors. Note that we have

$$\alpha_{\Pi\cdot F} = -\Pi \cdot \alpha_F \in \mathrm{Hom}(\Pi \cdot F \cdot \Pi, \Pi^2 \cdot F). \tag{7.2}$$

For a supercategory $(\mathscr{C}, \Pi, \xi)$, its *sign-reversed supercategory* $\mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{sr}}$ is the supercategory $(\mathscr{C}, \Pi, -\xi)$. If $\sqrt{-1}$ exists in $\Bbbk$, then $\mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{sr}}$ is equivalent to $\mathscr{C}$ as a supercategory.

The *Clifford twist* of a supercategory $(\mathscr{C}, \Pi, \xi)$ is the supercategory $(\mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{CT}}, \Pi^{\mathrm{CT}}, \xi^{\mathrm{CT}})$, where $\mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{CT}}$ is the category whose set of objects is the set of pairs (X, φ) of objects X of $\mathscr{C}$ and isomorphisms $\varphi \colon \Pi X \xrightarrow{\sim} X$ such that

(7.3)
$$\begin{tikzcd} & \Pi X \arrow[dr, "\varphi"] & \\ \Pi^2 X \arrow[ur, "\Pi\varphi"] \arrow[rr, "\xi_X"] & & X \end{tikzcd}$$
commutes.

For objects (X, φ) and (X', φ') of $\mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{CT}}$, we define $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{CT}}}\big((X, \varphi), (X', \varphi')\big)$ as the subset of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(X, X')$ consisting of morphisms $f \colon X \to X'$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd} \Pi X \arrow[r, "\Pi f"] \arrow[d, "\varphi"'] & \Pi X' \arrow[d, "\varphi'"] \\ X \arrow[r, "f"] & X'. \end{tikzcd}$$

We define $\Pi_{\mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{CT}}} \colon \mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{CT}} \to \mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{CT}}$ and $\xi_{\mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{CT}}} \colon (\Pi_{\mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{CT}}})^2 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{id}_{\mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{CT}}}$ by

$$\Pi_{\mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{CT}}}(X, \varphi) = (X, -\varphi),$$
$$\xi_{\mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{CT}}}(X, \varphi) = \mathrm{id}_{(X,\varphi)} : (\Pi_{\mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{CT}}})^2(X, \varphi) = (X, \varphi) \to (X, \varphi).$$

We have morphisms of superfunctors

$$\mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{sr}} \to \mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{CT}} \text{ and } \mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{CT}} \to \mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{sr}}.$$

If $\mathscr{C}$ is idempotent complete (i.e., any endomorphism f of an object $X \in \mathscr{C}$ such that $f^2 = f$ has a kernel in $\mathscr{C}$), then we have an equivalence of supercategories

$$(\mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{CT}})^{\mathrm{CT}} \simeq \mathscr{C}. \tag{7.4}$$

7.2. **Superbifunctors.**

Definition 7.2. Let $\mathscr{C}$, $\mathscr{C}'$ and $\mathscr{C}''$ be supercategories. A *superbifunctor* $F\colon \mathscr{C}\times\mathscr{C}'\to \mathscr{C}$ is a bifunctor endowed with isomorphisms

$$\alpha_F(X,Y)\colon F(\Pi X,Y)\xrightarrow{\sim}\Pi F(X,Y)\quad\text{and}\quad \beta_F(X,Y)\colon F(X,\Pi Y)\xrightarrow{\sim}\Pi F(X,Y)$$

which are functorial in $X\in\mathscr{C}$ and $Y\in\mathscr{C}'$ such that the two diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F(\Pi^2X,Y) \arrow[r, "\alpha_F(\Pi X{,}Y)"] \arrow[dr, "\xi_{\mathscr{C}}"'] & \Pi F(\Pi X,Y) \arrow[r, "\Pi\cdot\alpha_F(X{,}Y)"] & \Pi^2F(X,Y) \arrow[dl, "\xi_{\mathscr{C}''}"] \\
& F(X,Y) &
\end{tikzcd}$$

and

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F(X,\Pi^2Y) \arrow[r, "\beta_F(X{,}\Pi Y)"] \arrow[dr, "\xi_{\mathscr{C}'}"'] & \Pi F(X,\Pi Y) \arrow[r, "\Pi\cdot\beta_F(X{,}Y)"] & \Pi^2F(X,Y) \arrow[dl, "\xi_{\mathscr{C}''}"] \\
& F(X,Y) &
\end{tikzcd}$$

commute, and the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F(\Pi X,\Pi Y) \arrow[r, "\beta_F(\Pi X{,}Y)"] \arrow[d, "\alpha_F(X{,}\Pi Y)"'] \arrow[dr, phantom, "-"] & \Pi F(\Pi X,Y) \arrow[d, "\Pi\cdot\alpha_F(X{,}Y)"] \\
\Pi F(X,\Pi Y) \arrow[r, "\Pi\cdot\beta_F(X{,}Y)"'] & \Pi^2F(X,Y)
\end{tikzcd}\tag{7.5}$$

anti-commutes.

Let $F\colon \mathscr{C}\times\mathscr{C}'\to\mathscr{C}''$ be a superbifunctor of supercategories. Then we can check that F induces superbifunctors

$$\mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{sr}}\times\mathscr{C}'^{\,\mathrm{sr}}\to\mathscr{C}''^{\,\mathrm{sr}},$$
$$\mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{CT}}\times\mathscr{C}'^{\,\mathrm{sr}}\to\mathscr{C}''^{\,\mathrm{CT}}.$$

Let $\mathscr{C}$ and $\mathscr{C}'$ be a pair of supercategories. We denote by $\mathrm{Fct}_{\mathrm{super}}(\mathscr{C},\mathscr{C}')$ the category of superfunctors from $\mathscr{C}$ to $\mathscr{C}'$. This category is endowed with a structure of supercategory by:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Pi(F, \alpha_F) := (\Pi_{\mathscr{C}'}, \alpha_{\Pi_{\mathscr{C}'}}) \cdot (F, \alpha_F) = (\Pi_{\mathscr{C}'} \cdot F, -\Pi_{\mathscr{C}'} \cdot \alpha_F),\\
&\xi(F, \alpha_F) := \xi_{\mathscr{C}'} \cdot F \,:\, \Pi^2(F, \alpha_F) = ((\Pi_{\mathscr{C}'})^2 \cdot F,\ (\Pi_{\mathscr{C}'})^2 \cdot \alpha_F) \xrightarrow{\sim} (F, \alpha_F).
\end{aligned}$$

Note the sign in the definition of $\Pi(F, \alpha_F)$.

Let $\mathscr{C}''$ be another supercategory. Then we have the following proposition. Since the proof is routine, we just remark that the anti-commutativity of (7.5) follows from $\alpha_{\Pi\cdot F} = -\Pi \cdot \alpha_F \in \mathrm{Hom}(\Pi F \Pi, \Pi^2 F)$, and we omit the details.

Proposition 7.3.

(i) *The bifunctor* $\mathrm{Fct}_{\mathrm{super}}(\mathscr{C}, \mathscr{C}') \times \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{C}'$, $(F, X) \mapsto F(X)$ *is endowed with a structure of superbifunctor by:*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\alpha(F, X) \colon (\Pi \cdot F)(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Pi_{\mathscr{C}'}(F(X)) \textit{ is the canonical isomorphism,}\\
&\beta(F, X) \colon F(\Pi_{\mathscr{C}} X) \to \Pi_{\mathscr{C}'}(F(X)) \textit{ is } \alpha_F(X).
\end{aligned}$$

(ii) *The bifunctor* $\mathrm{Fct}_{\mathrm{super}}(\mathscr{C}', \mathscr{C}'') \times \mathrm{Fct}_{\mathrm{super}}(\mathscr{C}.\mathscr{C}') \to \mathrm{Fct}_{\mathrm{super}}(\mathscr{C}, \mathscr{C}'')$, $(G, F) \mapsto G \cdot F$, *is endowed with a structure of superbifunctor by:*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\alpha(G, F) \colon (\Pi \cdot G) \cdot F \xrightarrow{\sim} \Pi \cdot (G \cdot F) \textit{ is the canonical isomorphism,}\\
&\beta(G, F) \colon G \cdot (\Pi \cdot F) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Pi \cdot (G \cdot F) \textit{ is } \alpha_G \cdot F.
\end{aligned}$$

The following proposition is also obvious.

Proposition 7.4. *Let* $\mathscr{C}$, $\mathscr{C}'$ *and* $\mathscr{C}''$ *be supercategories. A superbifunctor* $\mathscr{C} \times \mathscr{C}' \to \mathscr{C}''$ *induces superfunctors*

$$\mathscr{C} \to \mathrm{Fct}_{\mathrm{super}}(\mathscr{C}', \mathscr{C}'') \quad \textit{and} \quad \mathscr{C}' \to \mathrm{Fct}_{\mathrm{super}}(\mathscr{C}, \mathscr{C}'').$$

Conversely, a superfunctor $\mathscr{C} \to \mathrm{Fct}_{\mathrm{super}}(\mathscr{C}', \mathscr{C}'')$ *induces a superbifunctor* $\mathscr{C} \times \mathscr{C}' \to \mathscr{C}''$.

Note that we have equivalences of supercategories:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Fct}_{\mathrm{super}}(\mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{CT}}, \mathscr{C}'^{\mathrm{CT}}) \simeq \mathrm{Fct}_{\mathrm{super}}(\mathscr{C}, \mathscr{C}')^{\mathrm{sr}},\\
&\mathrm{Fct}_{\mathrm{super}}(\mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{sr}}, \mathscr{C}'^{\mathrm{sr}}) \simeq \mathrm{Fct}_{\mathrm{super}}(\mathscr{C}, \mathscr{C}')^{\mathrm{sr}}.
\end{aligned}$$

7.3. **Even and odd morphisms.** Let $(\mathscr{C}, \Pi, \xi)$ be a supercategory. Let us denote by $\mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{D}}$ the category defined by $\mathrm{Ob}(\mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{D}}) = \mathrm{Ob}(\mathscr{C})$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{D}}}(X, Y) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(X, Y) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(X, \Pi Y)$. The composition of $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(Y, \Pi^{\varepsilon} Z) \subset \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{D}}}(Y, Z)$ and $g \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(X, \Pi^{\varepsilon'} Y) \subset \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{D}}}(X, Y)$ $(\varepsilon, \varepsilon' = 0, 1)$ is defined by $X \xrightarrow{g} \Pi^{\varepsilon'} Y \xrightarrow{\Pi^{\varepsilon'} f} \Pi^{\varepsilon+\varepsilon'} Z$ (composed with $\Pi^2 Z \xrightarrow[\xi]{\sim} Z$ when $\varepsilon = \varepsilon' = 1$). Hence $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{D}}}(X, Y)$ has a structure of superspace, where $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(X, Y)$ is the even part and $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(X, \Pi Y)$ is the odd part. A morphism $X \to \Pi Y$ in $\mathscr{C}$ is sometimes called an *odd morphism* (in $\mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{D}}$) from X to Y.

The category $\mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{D}}$ has a structure of supercategory. The functor $\Pi_{\mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{D}}}$ is defined as follows. For $X \in \mathscr{C}$, define $\Pi_{\mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{D}}}(X) = X$. For $X, Y \in \mathscr{C}$, the map $\Pi_{\mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{D}}} \colon \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{D}}}(X, Y) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{D}}}\big(\Pi_{\mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{D}}}(X), \Pi_{\mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{D}}}(Y)\big) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{D}}}(X, Y)$ is defined by

$$\Pi_{\mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{D}}}|_{\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(X, \Pi^{\varepsilon} Y)} = (-1)^{\varepsilon} \, \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(X, \Pi^{\varepsilon} Y)} \quad \text{for } \varepsilon = 0, 1.$$

The morphism $\xi_X \colon (\Pi_{\mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{D}}})^2 X \to X$ is defined to be id_X. Note that $\mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{D}}$ is not idempotent complete in general eve if $\mathscr{C}$ is abelian.

There exists a canonical functor $\mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{D}}$ that we denote by $X \mapsto X^{\mathrm{D}}$. It has a structure of superfunctor by the isomorphism $\alpha_{\mathrm{D}} \colon {}^{\mathrm{D}} \cdot \Pi_{\mathscr{C}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Pi_{\mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{D}}} \cdot {}^{\mathrm{D}}$ defined by $(\alpha_{\mathrm{D}})(X) = \mathrm{id}_{\Pi X}$, where $(\alpha_{\mathrm{D}})(X) \colon (\Pi X)^{\mathrm{D}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Pi_{\mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{D}}}(X^{\mathrm{D}}) = X^{\mathrm{D}}$.

We can easily verify the following lemma.

Lemma 7.5. *Let $\mathscr{C}$ and $\mathscr{C}'$ be supercategories.*

(i) *There exists a canonical equivalence of supercategories*

$$\mathrm{Fct}_{\mathrm{super}}(\mathscr{C}, \mathscr{C}') \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Fct}_{\mathrm{super}}(\mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{D}}, \mathscr{C}'^{\mathrm{D}}).$$

(*We denote it by $F \mapsto F^{\mathrm{D}}$.*)

(ii) *We have $(\Pi_{\mathscr{C}})^{\mathrm{D}} \simeq \Pi_{\mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{D}}}$ as a superfunctor from $\mathscr{C}^D$ to $\mathscr{C}^D$.*

7.4. **2-supercategories.** In this subsection, we give a definition of 2-*supercategories*. We only consider additive 2-supercategories over a base ring $\Bbbk$ in which 2 is invertible.

Definition 7.6. A 1-*supercategory* is a $\Bbbk$-linear category $\mathscr{C}$ such that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(X, Y)$ is endowed with a structure of $\Bbbk$-supermodule for $X, Y \in \mathscr{C}$ and the composition map $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(Y, Z) \times \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(X, Y) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(X, Z)$ is $\Bbbk$-superbilinear.

We say that a morphism $f \colon X \to Y$ is even or odd according as f belongs to the even part or the odd part of $\mathrm{Hom}(X, Y)$. For a supercategory $\mathscr{C}$, the category $\mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{D}}$ is a 1-supercategory.

For a pair $\mathscr{C}$, $\mathscr{C}'$ of super-1-categories, the notion of a superfunctor from $\mathscr{C}$ to $\mathscr{C}'$ is naturally defined, and we do not write it. However, as for bifunctors, we need a special care.

Definition 7.7. Let $\mathscr{C}$, $\mathscr{C}'$, $\mathscr{C}''$ be three 1-supercategories. A *superbifunctor* $F\colon \mathscr{C} \times \mathscr{C}' \to \mathscr{C}''$ is the data

(i) a map $\mathrm{Ob}(\mathscr{C}) \times \mathrm{Ob}(\mathscr{C}') \to \mathrm{Ob}(\mathscr{C}'')$,

(ii) a $\Bbbk$-linear even map $F(\,\bullet\,, Y)\colon \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(X, X') \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}''}\big(F(X,Y), F(X',Y)\big)$ for $X, X' \in \mathscr{C}$ and $Y \in \mathscr{C}'$,

(iii) a $\Bbbk$-linear even map $F(X, \,\bullet\,)\colon \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}'}(Y, Y') \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}''}\big(F(X,Y), F(X,Y')\big)$ for $X \in \mathscr{C}$ and $Y, Y' \in \mathscr{C}'$,

such that

(a) $F(\,\bullet\,, Y)\colon \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{C}''$ and $F(X, \,\bullet\,)\colon \mathscr{C}' \to \mathscr{C}''$ are superfunctors,

(b) as elements of $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}''}\big(F(X,Y), F(X',Y')\big)$, we have

$$F(f, Y') \circ F(X, g) = (-1)^{\varepsilon\varepsilon'} F(X', g) \circ F(f, Y)$$

for $X, X' \in \mathscr{C}$, $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}}(X, X')_{\varepsilon}$ and $Y, Y' \in \mathscr{C}'$, $g \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{C}'}(Y, Y')_{\varepsilon'}$.

The following proposition is easy to verify.

Proposition 7.8.

(i) *Let $F\colon \mathscr{C} \to \mathscr{C}'$ be a superfunctor of supercategories. Then it induces a superfunctor $F^{\mathrm{D}}\colon \mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{D}} \to \mathscr{C}'^{\mathrm{D}}$ of 1-supercategories.*

(ii) *Let $F\colon \mathscr{C} \times \mathscr{C}' \to \mathscr{C}''$ be a superbifunctor of supercategories. Then it induces a superbifunctor $F^{\mathrm{D}}\colon \mathscr{C}^{\mathrm{D}} \times \mathscr{C}'^{\mathrm{D}} \to \mathscr{C}''^{\mathrm{D}}$ of 1-supercategories.*

Definition 7.9. A 2-*supercategory* $\mathfrak{A}$ is the data of

(i) a set $\mathfrak{A}$ of objects,

(ii) a 1-supercategory $\mathscr{H}\!om_{\mathfrak{A}}(a, a')$ for $a, a' \in \mathfrak{A}$,

(iii) a superbifunctor $\mathscr{H}\!om_{\mathfrak{A}}(a_2, a_3) \times \mathscr{H}\!om_{\mathfrak{A}}(a_1, a_2) \to \mathscr{H}\!om_{\mathfrak{A}}(a_1, a_3)$, $(b_2, b_1) \mapsto b_2 b_1$ for $a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathfrak{A}$,

(iv) an object $\mathbf{1}_a \in \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathfrak{A}}(a)$ for $a \in \mathfrak{A}$,

(v) a natural even isomorphism

$$\mathrm{can}(b_3, b_2, b_1)\colon (b_3 b_2) b_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} b_3(b_2 b_1)$$

for $a_k \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $b_i \in \mathscr{H}\!om_{\mathfrak{A}}(a_i, a_{i+1})$ $(k = 1, \dots, 4,\ i = 1, 2, 3)$,

(vi) natural even isomorphisms

$$b\mathbf{1}_a \xrightarrow{\sim} b \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{1}_{a'}b \xrightarrow{\sim} b$$

for $a, a' \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $b \in \mathscr{H}om_{\mathfrak{A}}(a, a')$

such that the following diagrams are commutative.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& \big((b_4b_3)b_2\big)b_1 \arrow[rr, "\mathrm{can}(b_4,b_3,b_2)\cdot b_1"] \arrow[dl, "\mathrm{can}(b_4b_3,b_2,b_1)"'] & & \big(b_4(b_3b_2)\big)b_1 \arrow[dr, "\mathrm{can}(b_4,b_3b_2,b_1)"] & \\
(b_4b_3)(b_2b_1) \arrow[drr, "\mathrm{can}(b_4,b_3,b_2b_1)"'] & & & & b_4\big((b_3b_2)b_1\big) \arrow[dll, "b_4\cdot\mathrm{can}(b_3,b_2,b_1)"] \\
& & b_4\big(b_3(b_2b_1)\big) & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(b_2\mathbf{1}_a)b_1 \arrow[rr, "\mathrm{can}(b_2,I_a,b_1)"] \arrow[dr] & & b_2(\mathbf{1}_ab_1) \arrow[dl] \\
& b_2b_1 &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Example 7.10.

(i) Let the set of objects of $\mathfrak{A}$ is the set of supercategories. For supercategories $\mathscr{C}$ and $\mathscr{C}'$, set $\mathscr{H}om_{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathscr{C}, \mathscr{C}') = \mathrm{Fct}_{\mathrm{super}}(\mathscr{C}, \mathscr{C}')^{\mathrm{D}}$. Then $\mathfrak{A}$ becomes a 2-supercategory.

(ii) Let the set of objects of $\mathfrak{A}$ be the set of $\Bbbk$-superalgebras. Let A, B, C be $\Bbbk$-superalgebras. Set $\mathscr{H}om_{\mathfrak{A}}(A, B) = \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(B, A)^{\mathrm{D}}$ and define the bifunctor

$$\mathscr{H}om_{\mathfrak{A}}(B, C) \times \mathscr{H}om_{\mathfrak{A}}(A, B) \to \mathscr{H}om_{\mathfrak{A}}(A, C) \quad \text{by} \quad (K, L) \mapsto K \underset{B}{\otimes} L.$$

Then $\mathfrak{A}$ is a 2-supercategory. (See § 7.5 below.)

Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be a 2-supercategory. The objects (resp. morphisms) of $\mathscr{H}om_{\mathfrak{A}}(a, a')$ are referred to as 1-*arrows* (resp. 2-*arrows*). Let $b\colon a \to a'$ be a 1-arrow. A *right superadjoint* of b is a 1-arrow $b^\vee\colon a' \to a$ with even 2-arrows $\varepsilon\colon bb^\vee \to \mathbf{1}_{a'}$ and $\eta\colon \mathbf{1}_a \to b^\vee b$ such that

$$b \xrightarrow{\sim} b\mathbf{1}_a \xrightarrow{b\eta} bb^\vee b \xrightarrow{\varepsilon\, b} \mathbf{1}_{a'}b \xrightarrow{\sim} b$$

and

$$b^\vee \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{1}_a b^\vee \xrightarrow{\eta b^\vee} b^\vee bb^\vee \xrightarrow{b^\vee \varepsilon} b^\vee \mathbf{1}_{a'} \xrightarrow{\sim} b^\vee$$

are the identities. If a right superadjoint exists, then it is unique up to a unique even isomorphism. We call $(b, b^\vee)$ a superadjoint pair and (ε, η) the *superadjunction*.

Let $b, b' \colon a \to a'$ be a pair of 1-arrows, and assume that they admit right superadjoints with superadjunctions (ε, η) and (ε', η'). Then we have an even isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{H}om(a,a')}(b, b') \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathscr{H}om(a',a)}(b'^{\vee}, b^{\vee}) \qquad (f \mapsto f^{\vee}).$$

Here, $f^{\vee}$ is given by the composition

$$b'^{\vee} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{1}_a b'^{\vee} \xrightarrow{\eta b'^{\vee}} b^{\vee} b b'^{\vee} \xrightarrow{f} b^{\vee} b' b'^{\vee} \xrightarrow{b^{\vee}\varepsilon'} b^{\vee} \mathbf{1}_{a'} \xrightarrow{\sim} b^{\vee}.$$

Proposition 7.11. *Let b_1, b_2, b_3 be 1-arrows from a to a'. Assume that they admit right superadjoints. For $f \in \mathrm{Hom}(b_1, b_2)_{\varepsilon}$ and $g \in \mathrm{Hom}(b_2, b_3)_{\varepsilon'}$ with $\varepsilon, \varepsilon' = 0, 1$, we have*

$$(g \circ f)^{\vee} = (-1)^{\varepsilon\varepsilon'} f^{\vee} \circ g^{\vee}.$$

Proof. Let (ε_k, η_k) be the superadjunction for b_k $(k = 1, 2, 3)$. Then we have a diagram in $\mathscr{H}om(a', a)$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & & b_3^{\vee} \arrow[dl, "\eta_1"'] \arrow[dr, "\eta_2"] & & & \\
 & b_1^{\vee}b_1b_3^{\vee} \arrow[dl, "f"'] \arrow[dr, "\eta_2"] & & b_2^{\vee}b_2b_3^{\vee} \arrow[dl, "\eta_1"'] \arrow[dr, "g"] & & \\
b_1^{\vee}b_2b_3^{\vee} \arrow[dr, "\eta_2"] \arrow[dd, "\mathrm{id}"] & & b_1^{\vee}b_1b_2^{\vee}b_2b_3^{\vee} \arrow[dl, "f"'] \arrow[dr, "g"] & & b_2^{\vee}b_3b_3^{\vee} \arrow[dl, "\eta_1"'] \arrow[dr, "\varepsilon_3"] & \\
 & b_1^{\vee}b_2b_2^{\vee}b_2b_3^{\vee} \arrow[dl, "\varepsilon_2"'] \arrow[dr, "g"] & \boxed{\mathrm{A}} & b_1^{\vee}b_1b_2^{\vee}b_3b_3^{\vee} \arrow[dl, "f"'] \arrow[dr, "\varepsilon_3"] & & b_2^{\vee} \arrow[dl, "\eta_1"'] \\
b_1^{\vee}b_2b_3^{\vee} \arrow[dr, "g"] & & b_1^{\vee}b_2b_2^{\vee}b_3b_3^{\vee} \arrow[dl, "\varepsilon_2"'] \arrow[dr, "\varepsilon_3"] & & b_1^{\vee}b_1b_2^{\vee} \arrow[dl, "f"'] & \\
 & b_1^{\vee}b_3b_3^{\vee} \arrow[dr, "\varepsilon_3"] & & b_1^{\vee}b_2b_2^{\vee} \arrow[dl, "\varepsilon_2"'] & & \\
 & & b_1^{\vee} & & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here, ε_k and η_k are even morphisms. Hence all the squares are commutative except that the central square $\boxed{\mathrm{A}}$ is $(-1)^{\varepsilon\varepsilon'}$-commutative.

By the definition, $(g \circ f)^{\vee}$ is the composition of the left most arrows, and $f^{\vee} \circ g^{\vee}$ is the composition of the rightmost arrows. Hence we obtain the desired result. □

Remark 7.12. As seen in Lemma 7.5 (i) and Proposition 7.8, the notion of supercategories and that of super-1-categories are almost equivalent. Hence, although we can define the notion of a 2-category using the condition that $\mathscr{H}om_{\mathfrak{A}}(a, a')$ are supercategories, those two definitions are almost equivalent.

7.5. **Superalgebras and superbimodules.** Recall that a $\Bbbk$-superalgebra is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded $\Bbbk$-algebra. Let $A = A_0 \oplus A_1$ be a superalgebra. We denote by ϕ_A the involution of A given by

$$\phi_A(a) = (-1)^{\epsilon} a \quad \text{for} \quad a \in A_\epsilon,\ \epsilon = 0, 1.$$

We call ϕ_A the *parity involution* of the superalgebra A. An *A-supermodule* is an A-module with a decomposition $M = M_0 \oplus M_1$ such that $A_\epsilon M_{\epsilon'} \subset M_{\epsilon+\epsilon'}$ ($\epsilon, \epsilon' \in \mathbb{Z}_2$). For an A-supermodule M, we denote by $\phi_M \colon M \to M$ the involution of M given by $\phi_M|_{M_\epsilon} = (-1)^{\epsilon} \operatorname{id}_{M_\epsilon}$. We call ϕ_M the *parity involution* of the A-supermodule M. Then we have $\phi_M(ax) = \phi_A(a)\phi_M(x)$ for any $a \in A$ and $x \in M$.

Let A and B be $\Bbbk$-superalgebras. We define the multiplication on the tensor product $A \otimes_\Bbbk B$ by

$$(a_1 \otimes b_1)(a_2 \otimes b_2) = (-1)^{\varepsilon'_1 \varepsilon_2} (a_1 a_2) \otimes (b_1 b_2) \tag{7.6}$$

for $a_i \in A_{\varepsilon_i}$, $b_i \in B_{\varepsilon'_i}$ ($\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon'_i = 0, 1$). If M is an A-supermodule and N is a B-supermodule, then $M \otimes_\Bbbk N$ has a structure of $A \otimes_\Bbbk B$-supermodule by

$$(a \otimes b)(u \otimes v) = (-1)^{\varepsilon\varepsilon'} (au) \otimes (bv)$$

for $a \in A$, $b \in B_\varepsilon$, $u \in M_{\varepsilon'}$, $v \in N$ ($\varepsilon, \varepsilon' = 0, 1$).

Example 7.13. Let A be a $\Bbbk$-superalgebra.

(a) Let $\operatorname{Mod}(A)$ be the category of A-modules. Then $\operatorname{Mod}(A)$ is endowed with a supercategory structure induced by the parity involution ϕ_A; i.e., for $M \in \operatorname{Mod}(A)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\Pi M := \{\pi(x) \mid x \in M\}, \quad \pi(x) + \pi(x') = \pi(x + x'), \\ &a \cdot \pi(x) := \pi(\phi_A(a) \cdot x) \ \ (a \in A,\ x, x' \in M). \end{aligned}$$

The isomorphism $\xi_M \colon \Pi^2 M \to M$ is given by $\pi(\pi(x)) \mapsto x$ ($x \in M$).

(b) Let $\operatorname{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(A)$ be the category of A-supermodules. The morphisms in this category are A-module homomorphisms which preserve the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading. Then $\operatorname{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(A)$ has a supercategory structure induced by the *parity shift*; i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} &(\Pi M)_\epsilon := \{\pi(x) \mid x \in M_{1-\epsilon}\} \quad (\epsilon = 0, 1), \\ &a \cdot \pi(x) := \pi(\phi_A(a) \cdot x) \quad (a \in A,\ x \in M). \end{aligned}$$

The isomorphism $\xi_M \colon \Pi^2 M \to M$ is also given by $\pi(\pi(x)) \mapsto x$.

Let A be a $\Bbbk$-superalgebra. The *sign-reversed* $\Bbbk$-superalgebra of A is defined to be the $\Bbbk$-superalgebra $A^{\mathrm{sr}} := \{a^{\mathrm{sr}} \mid a \in A\}$ which is isomorphic to A as a $\Bbbk$-supermodule with the multiplication given by

$$a^{\mathrm{sr}}\, b^{\mathrm{sr}} = (-1)^{\varepsilon\varepsilon'}(ab)^{\mathrm{sr}} \quad \text{for } \ a \in A_\varepsilon,\, b \in A_{\varepsilon'},\ \varepsilon, \varepsilon' = 0, 1.$$

For an A-supermodule M, let $M^{\mathrm{sr}} := \{u^{\mathrm{sr}} \mid u \in M\}$ be the A^{sr}-module with the action given by

$$a^{\mathrm{sr}}\, u^{\mathrm{sr}} = (-1)^{\varepsilon\varepsilon'}(au)^{\mathrm{sr}} \quad \text{for } \ a \in A_\varepsilon,\, u \in M_{\varepsilon'},\ \varepsilon, \varepsilon' = 0, 1.$$

We remark that if $\Bbbk$ contains $\sqrt{-1}$, then A^{sr} is (non canonically) isomorphic to A by $a^{\mathrm{sr}} \mapsto (\sqrt{-1})^{\varepsilon} a$ for $\varepsilon = 0, 1$ and $a \in A_\varepsilon$.

Lemma 7.14. *We have equivalences of supercategories:*

$$\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(A^{\mathrm{sr}}) \simeq \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(A)^{\mathrm{sr}} \simeq \mathrm{Mod}(A)^{\mathrm{CT}}.$$

Proof. The right equivalence is proved in [KKT11, Section 2]. Let $M \mapsto M^{\mathrm{sr}}$ be an equivalence of categories from $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(A)$ to $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(A^{\mathrm{sr}})$. We give an isomorphism

$$(\Pi M)^{\mathrm{sr}} \simeq \Pi(M^{\mathrm{sr}})$$

by $\big(\pi(x)\big)^{\mathrm{sr}} \mapsto \pi\big(\phi_M(x)^{\mathrm{sr}}\big)$. We can check easily that it gives an equivalence of supercategories from $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(A)^{\mathrm{sr}}$ to $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(A^{\mathrm{sr}})$. □

Let A be a $\Bbbk$-superalgebra. Let us denote by A^{superop} the opposite superalgebra of A. By definition, it is the superalgebra $(A^{\mathrm{superop}})_\varepsilon := \{a^{\mathrm{superop}} \mid a \in A_\varepsilon\}$ $(\varepsilon = 0, 1)$ with $a^{\mathrm{superop}}\, b^{\mathrm{superop}} = (-1)^{\varepsilon\varepsilon'}(ba)^{\mathrm{superop}}$ for $a \in A_\varepsilon$ and $b \in A_{\varepsilon'}$. Then a right A-supermodule M may be regarded as a left A^{superop}-supermodule by $a^{\mathrm{superop}}\ x = (-1)^{\varepsilon\varepsilon'} xa$ for $a \in A_\varepsilon$ and $x \in M_{\varepsilon'}$. We should not confuse A^{superop} with the opposite algebra $A^{\mathrm{opp}} := \{a^{\mathrm{opp}} \mid a \in A\}$ with the multiplication $a^{\mathrm{opp}}\, b^{\mathrm{opp}} = (ba)^{\mathrm{opp}}$. We have $A^{\mathrm{superop}} \simeq (A^{\mathrm{opp}})^{\mathrm{sr}}$.

Let A and B be $\Bbbk$-superalgebras. An (A, B)-*superbimodule* is an (A, B)-bimodule with a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-grading compatible with the left action of A and the right action of B. Furthermore, we assume that $ax = xa$ for $a \in \Bbbk$ and $x \in M$. We denote by $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(A, B)$ the category of (A, B)-superbimodules. We have $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(A, B) \simeq \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(A \otimes B^{\mathrm{superop}})$.

For an (A, B)-superbimodule L, we have a functor $F_L \colon \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(B) \to \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(A)$ given by $N \mapsto L \otimes_B N$ for $N \in \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(B)$. Then F_L becomes a superfunctor with an isomorphism

$$\alpha_{F_L}\colon F_L\Pi N = L\otimes_B \Pi N \to \Pi F_L N = \Pi(L\otimes_B N)$$

given by

$$s\otimes\pi(x)\mapsto\pi(\phi_L(s)\otimes x)\ (s\in L,\ x\in N).$$

For an (A,B)-superbimodule L, the superbimodule structure on ΠL is given as follows:

$$a\cdot\pi(s)\cdot b=\pi(\phi_A(a)\cdot s\cdot b)\quad\text{for all } s\in L,\, a\in A \text{ and } b\in B.$$

Then there exists a natural isomorphism between superfunctors $\eta\colon F_{\Pi L}\xrightarrow{\sim}\Pi\cdot F_L$. The isomorphism $\eta_N\colon(\Pi L)\otimes_B N\xrightarrow{\sim}\Pi(L\otimes_B N)$ is given by $\pi(s)\otimes x\mapsto\pi(s\otimes x)$. It is an isomorphism of superfunctors since one can easily check the commutativity of the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F_{\Pi L}\cdot\Pi \arrow[r, "\eta\cdot\Pi"] \arrow[dd, "\alpha_{F_{\Pi L}}"'] & \Pi\cdot F_L\cdot\Pi \arrow[dr, "\Pi\cdot\alpha_{F_L}"] \arrow[dd, "\alpha_{(\Pi\cdot F_L)}"] & \\
 & & \Pi\cdot\Pi\cdot F_L \arrow[dl, "\alpha_{\Pi}\cdot F_L=-\mathrm{id}_{\Pi\cdot\Pi\cdot F_L}"] \\
\Pi\cdot F_{\Pi L} \arrow[r, "\Pi\cdot\eta"] & \Pi\cdot\Pi\cdot F_L. &
\end{tikzcd}$$

by using the fact $\phi_{\Pi L}(\pi(s))=-\pi(\phi_L(s))$. Summing up, we obtain

Proposition 7.15. *$L\mapsto F_L$ gives a superfunctor*

$$\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(A,B)\to\mathrm{Fct}_{\mathrm{super}}(\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(B),\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(A))\simeq\mathrm{Fct}_{\mathrm{super}}(\mathrm{Mod}(B),\mathrm{Mod}(A))$$

and superbifunctors

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(A,B)\times\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(B)\to\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(A),\\
&\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(A,B)\times\mathrm{Mod}(B)\to\mathrm{Mod}(A).
\end{aligned}$$

Let A,B,C be $\Bbbk$-superalgebras. For $K\in\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(A,B)$ and $L\in\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(B,C)$, the tensor product $K\otimes_B L$ has a structure of (A,C)-superbimodule. We define the homomorphisms

$$\alpha(K,L)\colon(\Pi K)\otimes_B L\xrightarrow{\sim}\Pi(K\otimes_B L)\quad\text{by}\ \ \pi(x)\otimes y\mapsto\pi(x\otimes y)$$

and

$$\beta(K,L)\colon K\otimes_B(\Pi L)\xrightarrow{\sim}\Pi(K\otimes_B L)\quad\text{by}\ \ x\otimes\pi(y)\mapsto\pi\big(\phi_K(x)\otimes y\big).$$

These homomorphisms are well-defined and we can easily check the following lemma.

Lemma 7.16. $\bullet \otimes_B \bullet : \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(A,B) \times \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(B,C) \to \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(A,C)$ *is a superbifunctor of supercategories.*

We now discuss the endomorphisms of bimodules. Let A, B, C be $\Bbbk$-superalgebras and let L be an $(A\otimes C, B)$-superbimodule. Regarding L as an (A,B)-bimodule, we obtain a superfunctor $F_L \colon \mathrm{Mod}(B) \to \mathrm{Mod}(A)$. Thus we get a superalgebra homomorphism

$$C \to \mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{Fct}_{\mathrm{super}}(\mathrm{Mod}(B),\mathrm{Mod}(A))^{\mathrm{D}}}(F_L) \simeq \mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{Mod}(A,B)^{\mathrm{D}}}(L),$$

which is given by assigning to $c \in C_\varepsilon$ ($\varepsilon = 0,1$) the morphism in $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(A,B)$

$$L \ni x \longmapsto \pi^\varepsilon(cx) \in \Pi^\varepsilon L.$$

Similarly, let K be an $(A, B\otimes C)$-superbimodule and consider K as an (A,B)-bimodule to obtain a superfunctor $F_K \colon \mathrm{Mod}(B) \to \mathrm{Mod}(A)$. Then we get a superalgebra homomorphism

$$C \to \mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{Fct}_{\mathrm{super}}(\mathrm{Mod}(B),\mathrm{Mod}(A))^{\mathrm{D}}}(F_K)^{\mathrm{superop}} \simeq \mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{Mod}(A,B)^{\mathrm{D}}}(K)^{\mathrm{superop}}$$

by assigning ψ^{superop} to $c \in C_\varepsilon$ ($\varepsilon = 0,1$), where $\psi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Mod}(A,B)}(K, \Pi^\varepsilon K)$ is the morphism

$$K \ni x \longmapsto \pi^\varepsilon({\phi_K}^\varepsilon(x)c) \in \Pi^\varepsilon K.$$

7.6. **Grothendieck group.** Assume that the supercategory $(\mathscr{C}, \Pi, \xi)$ is an exact category such that Π sends the exact sequences to exact sequences. Recall that the Grothendieck group $[\mathscr{C}]$ of $\mathscr{C}$ is the abelian group generated by $[X]$ (X is an object of $\mathscr{C}$) with the defining relations:

if $0 \to X' \to X \to X'' \to 0$ is an exact sequence, then $[X] = [X'] + [X'']$.

We denote by π the involution of $[\mathscr{C}]$ given by $[X] \mapsto [\Pi X]$. Then $[\mathscr{C}]$ is a module over $\mathbb{Z}^\pi = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}\pi$.

8. Supercategorification via quiver Hecke superalgebras

8.1. **Quiver Hecke superalgebras.** In this subsection, we recall the definition of quiver Hecke superalgebras and their basic properties ([KKT11]). We take a graded commutative ring $\mathbf{k} = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \mathbf{k}_n$ as a base ring. *For the sake of simplicity, we assume that* $\mathbf{k}_0$ *is a field of characteristic different from* 2.

Let $(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{P}, \Pi, \Pi^\vee)$ be a Cartan superdatum. For $i \neq j \in I$ and $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, let $t_{i,j;(r,s)}$ be an element of $\mathbf{k}$ satisfying the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} & t_{i,j;(r,s)} \in \mathbf{k}_{-2(\alpha_i|\alpha_j)-r(\alpha_i|\alpha_i)-s(\alpha_j|\alpha_j)}, \qquad t_{i,j;(r,s)} = t_{j,i;(s,r)}, \\ & t_{i,j;(-a_{ij},0)} \in \mathbf{k}_0^\times, \qquad t_{i,j;(r,s)} = 0 \text{ if } i \in I_{\mathrm{odd}} \text{ and } r \text{ is odd.} \end{aligned}$$

We take $t_{i,j;(r,s)} = 0$ for $i = j$.

For any $\nu \in I^n$ $(n \geq 2)$, let

$$\mathcal{P}_\nu := \mathbf{k}\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle / \langle x_a x_b - (-1)^{\mathrm{p}(\nu_a)\mathrm{p}(\nu_b)} x_b x_a \rangle_{1 \leq a < b \leq n}$$

be the superalgebra generated by x_k $(1 \leq k \leq n)$ where the parity of the indeterminate x_k is $\mathrm{p}(\nu_k)$. For $i, j \in I$, we choose an element $\mathcal{Q}_{i,j}$ in $\mathcal{P}_{(ij)}$ of the form

$$\mathcal{Q}_{i,j}(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{r,s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} t_{i,j;(r,s)} x_1^r x_2^s.$$

Definition 8.1 ([KKT11])**.** The *quiver Hecke superalgebra* $R(n)$ of degree n associated with a Cartan superdatum $(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{P}, \Pi, \Pi^\vee)$ and $(\mathcal{Q}_{i,j})_{i,j \in I}$ is the superalgebra over $\mathbf{k}$ generated by $e(\nu)$ $(\nu \in I^n)$, x_k $(1 \leq k \leq n)$, τ_a $(1 \leq a \leq n-1)$ with the parity

$$\mathrm{p}(e(\nu)) = 0, \quad \mathrm{p}(x_k e(\nu)) = \mathrm{p}(\nu_k), \quad \mathrm{p}(\tau_a e(\nu)) = \mathrm{p}(\nu_a)\mathrm{p}(\nu_{a+1})$$

subject to the following defining relations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e(\mu)e(\nu) = \delta_{\mu,\nu} e(\nu) \ \text{ for } \mu,\ \nu \in I^n, \quad 1 = \sum_{\nu \in I^n} e(\nu), \\
& x_p x_q e(\nu) = (-1)^{\mathrm{p}(\nu_p)\mathrm{p}(\nu_q)} x_q x_p e(\nu) \quad \text{if } p \neq q, \\
& x_p e(\nu) = e(\nu) x_p, \quad \tau_a e(\nu) = e(s_a\,\nu)\tau_a, \ \text{ where } s_a = (a, a+1), \\
& \tau_a x_p e(\nu) = (-1)^{\mathrm{p}(\nu_p)\mathrm{p}(\nu_a)\mathrm{p}(\nu_{a+1})} x_p \tau_a e(\nu) \ \text{ if } p \neq a,\ a+1, \\
& (\tau_a x_{a+1} - (-1)^{\mathrm{p}(\nu_a)\mathrm{p}(\nu_{a+1})} x_a \tau_a) e(\nu) \\
& \qquad\qquad = (x_{a+1}\tau_a - (-1)^{\mathrm{p}(\nu_a)\mathrm{p}(\nu_{a+1})} \tau_a x_a) e(\nu) = \delta_{\nu_a,\nu_{a+1}} e(\nu), \\
& \tau_a^2 e(\nu) = \mathcal{Q}_{\nu_a,\nu_{a+1}}(x_a, x_{a+1}) e(\nu), \\
& \tau_a \tau_b e(\nu) = (-1)^{\mathrm{p}(\nu_a)\mathrm{p}(\nu_{a+1})\mathrm{p}(\nu_b)\mathrm{p}(\nu_{b+1})} \tau_b \tau_a e(\nu) \ \text{ if } |a-b| > 1, \\
& (\tau_{a+1}\tau_a\tau_{a+1} - \tau_a\tau_{a+1}\tau_a) e(\nu) \\
& = \begin{cases}
\dfrac{\mathcal{Q}_{\nu_a,\nu_{a+1}}(x_{a+2}, x_{a+1}) - \mathcal{Q}_{\nu_a,\nu_{a+1}}(x_a, x_{a+1})}{x_{a+2} - x_a} e(\nu) & \text{if } \ \nu_a = \nu_{a+2} \in I_{\mathrm{even}}, \\
(-1)^{\mathrm{p}(\nu_{a+1})}(x_{a+2} - x_a) \dfrac{\mathcal{Q}_{\nu_a,\nu_{a+1}}(x_{a+2}, x_{a+1}) - \mathcal{Q}_{\nu_a,\nu_{a+1}}(x_a, x_{a+1})}{x_{a+2}^2 - x_a^2} e(\nu) & \\
 & \text{if } \ \nu_a = \nu_{a+2} \in I_{\mathrm{odd}}, \\
0 & \text{otherwise}\ .
\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
\tag{8.1}
$$

The algebra $R(n)$ is also $\mathbb{Z}$-graded by setting

$$
\deg_{\mathbb{Z}}(e(\nu)) = 0, \quad \deg_{\mathbb{Z}}(x_k e(\nu)) = (\alpha_{\nu_k}|\alpha_{\nu_k}), \quad \deg_{\mathbb{Z}}(\tau_a e(\nu)) = -(\alpha_{\nu_a}|\alpha_{\nu_{a+1}}).
$$

For $\beta \in \mathrm{Q}^+$ with $|\beta| = n$, set

$$
I^\beta = \{\nu = (\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_n) \in I^n \mid \alpha_{\nu_1} + \cdots + \alpha_{\nu_n} = \beta\}\,.
$$

For $\alpha, \beta \in \mathrm{Q}^+$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R(m,n) = R(m) \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} R(n) \subset R(m+n), \\
& e(n) = \sum_{\nu \in I^n} e(\nu), \quad e(\beta) = \sum_{\nu \in I^\beta} e(\nu), \quad e(\alpha, \beta) = \sum_{\mu \in I^\alpha,\ \nu \in I^\beta} e(\mu, \nu), \\
& R(\beta) = e(\beta) R(n), \quad R(\alpha, \beta) = R(\alpha) \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} R(\beta) \subset R(\alpha + \beta), \\
& e(n, i^k) = \sum_{\substack{\nu \in I^{n+k}, \\ \nu_{n+1} = \cdots = \nu_{n+k} = i}} e(\nu), \quad e(\beta, i^k) = e(\beta, k\alpha_i).
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, $R(m) \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} R(n)$ is endowed with a superalgebra structure by (7.6) and the map $R(m) \otimes_{\mathbf{k}} R(n) \to R(m+n)$ is a superalgebra homomorphism.

For an $R(m)$-supermodule M and an $R(n)$-supermodule N, we define their *convolution product* $M \circ N$ by

$$M \circ N := R(m+n) \otimes_{R(m,n)} (M \otimes N).$$

Proposition 8.2 ([KKT11, Corollary 3.15])**.** *For each $w \in S_n$, choose a reduced expression $s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_\ell}$ of w and write $\tau_w = \tau_{i_1} \cdots \tau_{i_\ell}$. Then*

$$\{x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n} \tau_w e(\nu) \mid a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n,\ w \in S_n,\ \nu \in I^n\}$$

forms a basis of the free $\mathbf{k}$*-module $R(n)$.*

Let $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(R(\beta))$ be the category of arbitrary $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $R(\beta)$-supermodules. Let $\mathrm{Proj}_{\mathrm{super}}(R(\beta))$ and $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R(\beta))$ be the full subcategories of $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(R(\beta))$ consisting of finitely generated projective $R(\beta)$-supermodules and $R(\beta)$-supermodules finite-dimensional over $\mathbf{k}_0$, respectively. The morphisms in these categories are $R(\beta)$-linear homomorphisms preserving the $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$-grading. As we have seen in Example 7.13 (b), these categories have a supercategory structure induced by the parity shift.

In the sequel, by an $R(n)$-module or $R(\beta)$-module, we mean a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $R(n)$-supermodule or $R(\beta)$-supermodule.

For an $R(\beta)$-module $M = \bigoplus_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} M_t$, let $M\langle k \rangle$ denote the $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $R(\beta)$-module such that $M\langle k \rangle_t := M_{k+t}$; i.e., $M\langle k \rangle = \bigoplus_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} M_{k+t}$. We also denote by q the grading shift functor

$$(qM)_i = M_{i-1}.$$

The Grothendieck groups $[\mathrm{Proj}_{\mathrm{super}}(R(\beta))]$ and $[\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R(\beta))]$ have the $\mathbb{A}^\pi$-module structure given by $q[M] = [qM]$ and $\pi[M] = [\Pi M]$, where $[M]$ denotes the isomorphism class of an $R(\beta)$-module M.

Let $a = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z},\ \varepsilon = 0.1} m_{k,\varepsilon} q^k \pi^\varepsilon \in \mathbb{A}^\pi$ with $m_{k,\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. For an $R(\beta)$-module M, we define

$$aM = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z},\ \varepsilon = 0.1} \left(q^k \Pi^\varepsilon M\right)^{\oplus m_{k,\varepsilon}}, \tag{8.2}$$

so that we have $[aM] = a[M]$.

8.2. **Strong perfect basis of** $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R)$**.** In this subsection, we study the structure of the supercategory $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R(\beta))$ based on the results of [EKL11] and [KKO12, Section 6]. In those papers, the authors studied the supercategory $\mathrm{Rep}(R(\beta))$, not $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R(\beta))$, but their results provide us with a good foundation. In [HW12], Hill and Wang dealt with the supercategory $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R(\beta))$ under a certain restriction, called the (C6) condition (see § sec:QKM). Although $[\mathrm{Rep}(R(\beta))] \simeq [\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R(\beta))]/(\pi-1)$ as we saw in [KKO12], the action of π on $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R(\beta))$ is non-trivial and will be investigated here.

Throughout this subsection, we assume that

$$\text{the ring } \mathbf{k}_0 \text{ is a field of characteristic different from 2 and the } \mathbf{k}_i\text{'s are finite-dimensional over } \mathbf{k}_0 \tag{8.3}$$

Under the assumption (8.3), the superalgebra $R(\beta)$ has the following properties:

(8.4)
- (i) Any simple object in $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(R(\beta))$ is finite-dimensional over $\mathbf{k}_0$ and has an indecomposable finitely generated projective cover (unique up to isomorphism),
- (ii) there are finitely many simple objects in $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R(\beta))$ up to $\mathbb{Z}$-grading shifts and isomorphisms.

Thus $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R(\beta))$ contains all simple $R(\beta)$-supermodules and the set of isomorphism classes of simple $R(\beta)$-supermodules, denoted by $\mathcal{I}rr(R(\beta))$, forms a $\mathbb{Z}$-*basis* of $[\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R(\beta))]$.

For $1 \le k < n$, let $\mathbf{b}_k := \tau_k x_{k+1} \in R(n\alpha_i)$. It is known ([EKL11, HW12, KL09]) that

- (a) The $\mathbf{b}_k$'s are idempotents and they satisfy the braid relations,
- (b) $\mathbf{b}_w$ is well-defined for any $w \in S_n$ by (a),
- (c) $\mathbf{b}(i^n) := \mathbf{b}_{w_0}$ is a primitive idempotent of $R(n\alpha_i)$, where w_0 is the longest element of S_n.

Proposition 8.3 ([EKL11])**.** *The superalgebra $R(n\alpha_i)$ is decomposed into a direct sum of projective indecomposable $\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}_2$-modules:*

$$R(n\alpha_i) \simeq [n]_i^{\pi}! P(i^n), \tag{8.5}$$

where

$$P(i^n) := (\pi_i q_i)^{-n(n-1)/2} R(n\alpha_i)\mathbf{b}(i^n).$$

The factorial $[n]_i^\pi!$ is defined in (4.3).

Note that $P(i^n)$ is a unique indecomposable projective $R(n\alpha_i)$-supermodule up to isomorphism and $(\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}_2)$-grading shift. By (8.4), there exists an irreducible $R(n\alpha_i)$-supermodule, denoted by $L(i^n)$, which is unique up to isomorphism and $(\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}_2)$-grading shift:

$$L(i^n) := \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbf{k}[x_1]\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathbf{k}[x_n]}^{R(n\alpha_i)}\mathbf{1}, \tag{8.6}$$

where $\mathbf{1}$ is the simple $\mathbf{k}[x_1]\otimes\cdots\otimes\mathbf{k}[x_n]$-supermodule which is isomorphic to $\mathbf{k}_0$.

For $M\in\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R(\beta))$ and $i\in I$, define

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Delta_{i^k}M = e(\beta-k\alpha_i, i^k)M\in\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R(\beta-k\alpha_i,k\alpha_i)),\\
&\varepsilon_i(M)=\max\{k\ge 0 \mid \Delta_{i^k}M\neq 0\},\\
&E_i(M)=e(\beta-\alpha_i,i)M\in\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R(\beta-\alpha_i)),\\
&\tilde{e}_i(M)=\mathrm{soc}(E_i(M))\in\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R(\beta-\alpha_i)),\\
&F_i'(M)=\mathrm{Ind}_{\beta,\alpha_i}(M\boxtimes L(i))\in\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R(\beta+\alpha_i)),\\
&\tilde{f}_i(M)=\mathrm{hd}(F_i'M)\in\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R(\beta+\alpha_i)).
\end{aligned}\tag{8.7}$$

Here, $\mathrm{soc}(M)$ means the *socle* of M and $\mathrm{hd}(M)$ means the *head* of M. We set $\varepsilon_i(M)=-\infty$ for $M=0$. Then E_i and F_i' are superfunctors.

For $M=\bigoplus_{a\in\mathbb{Z}}(M_{a,\bar{0}}\oplus M_{a,\bar{1}})\in\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R(\beta))$, we define its (q,π)-*dimension* and (q,π)-*character* as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\dim_q^\pi(M):=\textstyle\sum_{a\in\mathbb{Z}}(\dim_{\mathbf{k}_0}M_{a,\bar{0}}+\pi\dim_{\mathbf{k}_0}M_{a,\bar{1}})q^a\in\mathbb{Z}[q^{\pm1}]^\pi,\\
&\mathrm{ch}_q^\pi(M):=\textstyle\sum_{\nu\in I^\beta}\dim_q^\pi(e(\nu)M)\cdot e(\nu).
\end{aligned}\tag{8.8}$$

Lemma 8.4 ([Kle05, KL09, LV09]). *For any $[M]\in\mathcal{I}rr(R(\beta))$ and $i\in I$, we have*

(a) *$[\tilde{e}_iM]\in\mathcal{I}rr(R(\beta-\alpha_i))$ if $\varepsilon_i(M)>0$, and $[\tilde{f}_iM]\in\mathcal{I}rr(R(\beta+\alpha_i))$.*
(b) *$\tilde{f}_i\tilde{e}_iM\simeq M$ if $\varepsilon_i(M)>0$, and $\tilde{e}_i\tilde{f}_iM\simeq M$.*
(c) *$\mathbf{k}_nM=0$ for $n>0$ and $\mathbf{k}_0\simeq\mathrm{End}_{R(\beta)}(M)$.*

Proposition 8.5 ([KKO12, Proposition 6.2]). *For any $[M]\in\mathcal{I}rr(R(\beta))$ with $\varepsilon:=\varepsilon_i(M)>0$, we have*

$$[E_iM]=\pi_i^{1-\varepsilon}q_i^{1-\varepsilon}[\varepsilon]_i^\pi[\tilde{e}_iM]+\sum_k[N_k], \tag{8.9}$$

where $[N_k]\in\mathcal{I}rr(R(\beta-\alpha_i))$ with $\varepsilon_i(N_k)<\varepsilon-1$.

As can be seen in the following theorem, the endofunctor Π on $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R(\beta))$ treated in this paper is substantially different from the one in [KKO12] (cf. [KKO12, Theorem 6.4]).

Theorem 8.6. *For any* $[M] \in \mathcal{I}rr(R(\beta))$, *we have*

$$M \not\simeq \Pi M.$$

Proof. It was shown in [KKO12, Theorem 6.4] that

$$S \simeq \Pi S \text{ for any simple } S \in \mathrm{Mod}(R(\beta)).$$

Since $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R(\beta))^{\mathrm{sr}}$ is equivalent to the Clifford twist of $\mathrm{Rep}(R(\beta))$, the assertion follows from [KKT11, Lemma 2.11]. $\square$

Let $\psi\colon R(\beta) \to R(\beta)$ be the anti-involution given by

$$\psi(ab) = \psi(b)\psi(a), \quad \psi(e(\nu)) = e(\nu), \quad \psi(x_k) = x_k, \quad \psi(\tau_l) = \tau_l \tag{8.10}$$

for all $a, b \in R(\beta)$. For any $M \in \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(R(\beta))$, we denote by $M^* := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}_0}(M, \mathbf{k}_0)$ the $\mathbf{k}_0$-dual of M whose left $R(\beta)$-module structure is given by ψ. By a direct computation, we have

$$(qM)^* = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}_0}(qM, \mathbf{k}_0) \simeq q^{-1}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}_0}(M, \mathbf{k}_0) = q^{-1}(M^*).$$

Similarly, we have $(\Pi M)^* \simeq \Pi(M^*)$, which implies

$$([k]_i^{\pi} M)^* \simeq \Pi_i^{1-k}[k]_i^{\pi}(M^*) \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}.$$

Here we set $\Pi_i := \Pi^{\mathrm{p}(i)}$.

Proposition 8.7.

(a) *For any* $[M] \in \mathcal{I}rr(R(\beta))$ *such that* $\varepsilon := \varepsilon_i(M) > 0$, *we have*

$$(q_i^{1-\varepsilon}\tilde{e}_i M)^* \simeq \Pi_i^{1-\varepsilon} q_i^{1-\varepsilon}\tilde{e}_i(M^*).$$

(b) *For any* $[M] \in \mathcal{I}rr(R(\beta))$, *there exists a pair of integer* (r_1, r_2) *such that*

$$(q^{r_2}M)^* \simeq \Pi^{r_1} q^{r_2} M.$$

Proof. Note that the duality functor $*$ commutes with the functor E_i. Applying the functor $*$ to (8.9), we have

$$[E_i(M^*)] = [\varepsilon]_i^{\pi}[(q_i^{1-\varepsilon}\tilde{e}_i M)^*] + \sum_{k,\ \varepsilon_i(N_k^*) < \varepsilon - 1} [N_k^*].$$

On the other hand,

$$[E_i(M^*)] = \pi_i^{1-\varepsilon}[\varepsilon]_i^{\pi}[q_i^{1-\varepsilon}\tilde{e}_i(M^*)] + \sum_{k,\ \varepsilon_i(N'_k)<\varepsilon-1} [N'_k].$$

Therefore the assertion (a) holds.

We will prove (b) by induction on $|\beta|$. If $|\beta| = 0$, our assertion is trivial. If $|\beta| > 0$, take $i \in I$ such that $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_i(M) > 0$. By induction hypothesis, there exists (r'_1, r'_2) such that

$$\Pi^{r'_1} q^{r'_2} q_i^{1-\varepsilon}\tilde{e}_i M \simeq (q^{r'_2} q_i^{1-\varepsilon}\tilde{e}_i M)^*.$$

The assertion (a) implies

$$\Pi^{r'_1} q_i^{1-\varepsilon}\tilde{e}_i(q^{r'_2}M) \simeq (q_i^{1-\varepsilon}\tilde{e}_i(q^{r'_2}M))^* \simeq \Pi_i^{1-\varepsilon} q_i^{1-\varepsilon}\tilde{e}_i(q^{r'_2}M)^*,$$

which yields

$$q_i^{1-\varepsilon}\tilde{e}_i(q^{r'_2}M) \simeq \Pi^{\mathrm{p}(i)(1-\varepsilon)-r'_1} q_i^{1-\varepsilon}\tilde{e}_i(q^{r_2}M)^*.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 8.4 (b), we conclude

$$\Pi^{\mathrm{p}(i)(1-\varepsilon)-r'_1} q^{r'_2} M \simeq (q^{r'_2}M)^*.$$

Thus the pair $(\mathrm{p}(i)(1-\varepsilon) - r'_1, r'_2)$ is the desired one. ☐

For $[M] \in \mathcal{I}rr(R(\beta))$, we say that M is *quasi-self-dual* if

$$M^* \simeq \Pi^{\epsilon} M \quad \text{for } \epsilon = 0 \text{ or } 1.$$

Note that, by Theorem 8.6, ε is uniquely determined by M.

Example 8.8. For $i \in I$, we can easily check that

$$(q_i^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} L(i^n))^* \simeq \Pi^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} q_i^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} L(i^n).$$

Hence, for $n = 2$ and $i \in I_{\mathrm{odd}}$, we have $(q_i L(i^2))^* \simeq \Pi_i(q_i L(i^2))$. However, $(\Pi^{\varepsilon} q^r L(i^2))^*$ is never isomorphic to $\Pi^{\varepsilon} q^r L(i^2)$ for any $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and any $\varepsilon = 0, 1$.

Let $\mathcal{I}rr_{\mathrm{qsd}}(R(\beta))$ be the subset of $\mathcal{I}rr(R(\beta))$ consisting of the isomorphism classes of quasi-self-dual modules in $\mathcal{I}rr(R(\beta))$. Then $\mathcal{I}rr_{\mathrm{qsd}}(R(\beta))$ forms an $\mathbb{A}$-basis of the Grothendieck group $[\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R(\beta))]$. Choose a subset $\mathcal{I}rr_0(R(\beta)) \subset \mathcal{I}rr_{\mathrm{qsd}}(R(\beta))$ satisfying the conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{I}rr_0(R(\beta)) \cap \pi\mathcal{I}rr_0(R(\beta)) = \emptyset, \\ &\mathcal{I}rr_{\mathrm{qsd}}(R(\beta)) = \mathcal{I}rr_0(R(\beta)) \sqcup \pi\mathcal{I}rr_0(R(\beta)). \end{aligned} \tag{8.11}$$

Such a subset $\mathcal{I}rr_0(R(\beta))$ exists by Theorem 8.6.

Theorem 8.9. *For* $\beta \in \mathrm{Q}^+$, $\mathcal{I}rr_0(R(\beta))$ *is a strong perfect basis of* $[\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R(\beta))]$ *as an* $\mathbb{A}^\pi$*-module.*

Proof. The statement is an immediate consequence of Proposition 8.7 and (8.9). ☐

8.3. **Cyclotomic quotients.** In this subsection, we quickly review the results on the cyclotomic quiver Hecke superalgebras R^Λ which were proved in [KKO12, Section 7, 8, 9].

For each $i \in I$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we take $c_{i;k} \in \mathbf{k}_{k(\alpha_i|\alpha_i)}$ such that (i) $c_{i,0} = 1$, (ii) $c_{i;k} = 0$ if $i \in I_{\mathrm{odd}}$ and k is odd. For $\Lambda \in \mathrm{P}^+$ and $i \in I$, we choose a monic polynomial

$$a_i^\Lambda(u) = \sum_{k=0}^{\langle h_i,\Lambda\rangle} c_{i;k} u^{\langle h_i,\Lambda\rangle - k} \tag{8.12}$$

and define

$$a^\Lambda(x_1) = \sum_{\nu \in I^n} a_{\nu_1}^\Lambda(x_1) e(\nu) \in R(n).$$

Definition 8.10. Let $\beta \in \mathrm{Q}^+$ and $\Lambda \in \mathrm{P}^+$. The *cyclotomic quiver Hecke superalgebra* $R^\Lambda(\beta)$ *at* β is the quotient algebra

$$R^\Lambda(\beta) = \frac{R(\beta)}{R(\beta)a^\Lambda(x_1)R(\beta)}.$$

We need the next proposition in proving our main result: the supercategorification of integrable highest weight modules.

Proposition 8.11 ([KKO12, Corollary 7.5])**.** *For* $\beta \in \mathrm{Q}^+$, *there exists* m *such that*

$$R^\Lambda(\beta + k\alpha_i) = 0 \quad \text{for any } k \geq m.$$

Let $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda(\beta))$, $\mathrm{Proj}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda(\beta))$ and $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda(\beta))$ be the supercategories defined in a similar manner as we did in §8.1. For each $i \in I$ and $\beta \in \mathrm{Q}^+$, we define the superfunctors

$$E_i^\Lambda \colon \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda(\beta+\alpha_i)) \to \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda(\beta)),$$
$$F_i^\Lambda \colon \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda(\beta)) \to \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda(\beta+\alpha_i))$$

by

$$\begin{aligned}E_i^\Lambda(N) &= e(\beta,i)N = e(\beta,i)R^\Lambda(\beta+\alpha_i)\otimes_{R^\Lambda(\beta+\alpha_i)} N,\\ F_i^\Lambda(M) &= R^\Lambda(\beta+\alpha_i)e(\beta,i)\otimes_{R^\Lambda(\beta)} M\end{aligned}$$

for $M \in \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda(\beta))$ and $N \in \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda(\beta+\alpha_i))$. Then $(F_i^\Lambda, E_i^\Lambda)$ is a super-adjoint pair (see § 7.4); i.e.,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{R^\Lambda(\beta+\alpha_i)}(F_i^\Lambda M, N) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{R^\Lambda(\beta)}(M, E_i^\Lambda N).$$

Set $n = |\beta|$. There exist natural transformations:

$$\begin{aligned}&x_{E_i^\Lambda}\colon E_i^\Lambda \to \Pi_i q_i^{-2}E_i^\Lambda, && x_{F_i^\Lambda}\colon F_i^\Lambda \to \Pi_i q_i^{-2}F_i^\Lambda,\\ &\tau_{E_{ij}^\Lambda}\colon E_i^\Lambda E_j^\Lambda \to \Pi^{\mathrm{p}(i)\mathrm{p}(j)}q^{(\alpha_i|\alpha_j)}E_j^\Lambda F_i^\Lambda, && \tau_{F_{ij}^\Lambda}\colon F_i^\Lambda F_j^\Lambda \to \Pi^{\mathrm{p}(i)\mathrm{p}(j)}q^{(\alpha_i|\alpha_j)}F_j^\Lambda F_i^\Lambda\end{aligned}$$

induced by

(a) the left multiplication by x_{n+1} on the kernel $e(\beta,i)R^\Lambda(\beta+\alpha_i)$ of the functor E_i^Λ,
(b) the right multiplication by x_{n+1} on the kernel $R^\Lambda(\beta+\alpha_i)e(\beta,i)$ of the functor F_i^Λ,
(c) the left multiplication by τ_{n+1} on the kernel $e(\beta,i,j)R^\Lambda(\beta+\alpha_i+\alpha_j)$ of the functor $E_i^\Lambda F_j^\Lambda$,
(d) the right multiplication by τ_{n+1} on the kernel $R^\Lambda(\beta+\alpha_i+\alpha_j)e(\beta,j,i)$ of the functor $F_i^\Lambda F_j^\Lambda$.

For γ with $|\gamma| = n$ and $\nu \in I^\gamma$, let us denote by

$$E_\nu^\Lambda = E_{\nu_1}^\Lambda \cdots E_{\nu_n}^\Lambda \colon \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda(\beta+\gamma)) \to \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda(\beta)).$$

Then $x_{E_i^\Lambda}$'s and $\tau_{E_{ij}^\Lambda}$'s induce a superalgebra homomorphism

$$R(\gamma) \to \mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{Fct}_{\mathrm{super}}\left(\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda(\beta+\gamma),\, \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda(\beta)\right)^{\mathrm{D}}}\Big(\bigoplus_{\nu\in I^\gamma} E_\nu^\Lambda\Big).$$

(Recall the discussion at the end of § 7.5.) Under this homomorphism, $e(\nu) \in R(\gamma)$ is sent to the projection to the factor E_ν^Λ, $x_k e(\nu)$ is sent to $E_{\nu_1}^\Lambda \cdots x_{E_{\nu_k}^\Lambda} \cdots E_{\nu_n}^\Lambda$, and $\tau_k e(\nu)$ is sent to $E_{\nu_1}^\Lambda \cdots \tau_{E_{\nu_k,\nu_{k+1}}^\Lambda} \cdots E_{\nu_n}^\Lambda$. Here, we have forgotten the grading.

Similarly, let us denote by

$$F_\nu^\Lambda = F_{\nu_n}^\Lambda \cdots F_{\nu_1}^\Lambda \colon \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda(\beta)) \to \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda(\beta+\gamma)).$$

Then $x_{F_i^\Lambda}$'s and $\tau_{F_{ij}^\Lambda}$'s induce a superalgebra homomorphism

$$R(\gamma) \to \mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{Fct}_{\mathrm{super}}\left(\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda(\beta)),\, \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda(\beta+\gamma))\right)^{\mathrm{D}}}\Big(\bigoplus_{\nu\in I^\gamma} F_\nu^\Lambda\Big)^{\mathrm{superop}},$$

where $e(\nu) \in R(\gamma)$ is sent to the projection to the factor F^{Λ}_{ν}, $x_k e(\nu)$ is sent to $F^{\Lambda}_{\nu_n} \cdots x_{F^{\Lambda}_{\nu_k}} \cdots F^{\Lambda}_{\nu_1}$, and $e(\nu)\tau_k$ is sent to $F^{\Lambda}_{\nu_n} \cdots \tau_{F^{\Lambda}_{\nu_{k+1},\nu_k}} \cdots F^{\Lambda}_{\nu_1}$.

By the superadjunction, $\tau_{E^{\Lambda}_{ij}}$ induces a natural transformation

$$F^{\Lambda}_j E^{\Lambda}_i \to \Pi^{\mathrm{p}(i)\mathrm{p}(j)} q^{(\alpha_i|\alpha_j)} E^{\Lambda}_i F^{\Lambda}_j.$$

Set

$$\mathrm{Proj}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^{\Lambda}) = \bigoplus_{\beta \in \mathrm{Q}^+} \mathrm{Proj}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^{\Lambda}(\beta)), \quad \mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^{\Lambda}) = \bigoplus_{\beta \in \mathrm{Q}^+} \mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^{\Lambda}(\beta)).$$

Theorem 8.12 ([KKO12, Theorem 8.9])**.** *The functors E^{Λ}_i and F^{Λ}_i are well-defined exact superfunctors on* $\mathrm{Proj}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^{\Lambda})$ *and* $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^{\Lambda})$*. Hence they induce the endomorphisms E_i and F_i on the Grothendieck groups* $[\mathrm{Proj}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^{\Lambda})]$ *and* $[\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^{\Lambda})]$ *:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}[\mathrm{Proj}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^{\Lambda}(\beta))] \arrow[r, shift left, "\mathsf{F}_i := {[F^{\Lambda}_i]}"] & {[\mathrm{Proj}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^{\Lambda}(\beta+\alpha_i))]} \arrow[l, shift left, "\mathsf{E}_i := {[E^{\Lambda}_i]}"]\end{tikzcd} ,$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}[\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^{\Lambda}(\beta))] \arrow[r, shift left, "\mathsf{F}_i := {[F^{\Lambda}_i]}"] & {[\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^{\Lambda}(\beta+\alpha_i))]} \arrow[l, shift left, "\mathsf{E}_i := {[E^{\Lambda}_i]}"]\end{tikzcd}.$$

Theorem 8.13 ([KKO12, Theorem 9.1, Theorem 9.6])**.** *There exist natural isomorphisms of endofunctors on* $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^{\Lambda}(\beta))$ *given below:*

$$\begin{aligned}
&E^{\Lambda}_i F^{\Lambda}_j \xrightarrow{\sim} q^{-(\alpha_i|\alpha_j)} \Pi^{\mathrm{p}(i)\mathrm{p}(j)} F^{\Lambda}_j E^{\Lambda}_i \qquad \text{if } i \neq j, \\
&\Pi_i q_i^{-2} F^{\Lambda}_i E^{\Lambda}_i \oplus \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\langle h_i, \Lambda-\beta\rangle -1} \Pi_i^k q_i^{2k} \xrightarrow{\sim} E^{\Lambda}_i F^{\Lambda}_i \qquad \text{if } \langle h_i, \Lambda - \beta\rangle \geq 0, \\
&\Pi_i q_i^{-2} F^{\Lambda}_i E^{\Lambda}_i \xrightarrow{\sim} E^{\Lambda}_i F^{\Lambda}_i \oplus \bigoplus_{k=0}^{-\langle h_i, \Lambda-\beta\rangle -1} \Pi_i^{k+1} q_i^{-2k-2} \qquad \text{if } \langle h_i, \Lambda - \beta\rangle < 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{8.13}$$

8.4. **Supercategorification.** As our main results, we show that $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^{\Lambda})$ and $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R)$ provide a supercategorification of $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{A}^{\pi}}(\Lambda)^{\vee}$ and $\mathcal{U}^{-}_{\mathbb{A}^{\pi}}(\mathfrak{g})^{\vee}$, respectively. In this subsection, we assume that the condition (8.3) is satisfied; i.e., $\mathbf{k}_0$ is a field and the $\mathbf{k}_i$'s are finite-dimensional over $\mathbf{k}_0$.

By (8.4) and Lemma 8.4(c), we have a perfect pairing

$$[\mathrm{Proj}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^{\Lambda})] \times [\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^{\Lambda})] \to \mathbb{A}^{\pi} \tag{8.14}$$

given by

$$([P],[M]) \mapsto \dim_q^\pi(P^\psi \otimes_{R^\Lambda} M),$$

which implies that $[\mathrm{Proj}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda)]$ and $[\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda)]$ are $\mathbb{A}^\pi$-dual to each other. Here, P^ψ is the right R^Λ-module obtained from P by applying the anti-involution ψ (see (8.10)).

Let E_i and F_i be the endomorphisms on $[\mathrm{Proj}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda)]$ or $[\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda)]$ given in Theorem 8.12. Then we can check easily that they are adjoint to each other. For example, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(F_i^\Lambda P)^\psi \underset{R^\Lambda(\beta+\alpha_i)}{\otimes} M &= \big(R^\Lambda(\beta+\alpha_i)e(\beta,i) \underset{R^\Lambda(\beta)}{\otimes} P)\big)^\psi \underset{R^\Lambda(\beta+\alpha_i)}{\otimes} M \\
&\simeq P^\psi \underset{R^\Lambda(\beta)}{\otimes} e(\beta,i)R^\Lambda(\beta+\alpha_i) \underset{R^\Lambda(\beta+\alpha_i)}{\otimes} M \\
&\simeq P^\psi \underset{R^\Lambda(\beta)}{\otimes} E_i^\Lambda M
\end{aligned}$$

for $P \in \mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda(\beta))$ and $M \in \mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda(\beta+\alpha_i))$.

Let us show that E_i and F_i induce $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\mathfrak{g})$-module structures on $[\mathrm{Proj}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda)]$ and $[\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda)]$. The natural isomorphisms given in (8.13) can be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathsf{E}_i\mathsf{F}_j = q^{-(\alpha_i|\alpha_j)}\pi^{\mathrm{p}(i)\mathrm{p}(j)}\mathsf{F}_j\mathsf{E}_i \quad \text{if } i \neq j, \\
&\mathsf{E}_i\mathsf{F}_i = q_i^{-2}\pi_i\mathsf{F}_i\mathsf{E}_i + \frac{1-(q_i^2\pi_i)^{\langle h_i,\Lambda-\beta\rangle}}{1-q_i^2\pi_i} \quad \text{if } \langle h_i,\Lambda-\beta\rangle \geq 0, \\
&\mathsf{E}_i\mathsf{F}_i + \frac{1-(q_i^2\pi_i)^{\langle h_i,\Lambda-\beta\rangle}}{q_i^2\pi_i-1} = q_i^{-2}\pi_i\mathsf{F}_i\mathsf{E}_i \quad \text{if } \langle h_i,\Lambda-\beta\rangle < 0
\end{aligned} \tag{8.15}$$

on $[\mathrm{Proj}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda)]$ or $[\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda)]$.

Let $\tilde{\mathsf{K}}_i$ be an endomorphism on $[\mathrm{Proj}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda)]$ and $[\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda)]$ defined by

$$\tilde{\mathsf{K}}_i|_{[\mathrm{Proj}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda(\beta))]} := (q_i^2\pi_i)^{\langle h_i,\Lambda-\beta\rangle}, \quad \tilde{\mathsf{K}}_i|_{[\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda(\beta))]} := (q_i^2\pi_i)^{\langle h_i,\Lambda-\beta\rangle}.$$

Then (8.15) can be rephrased as

$$\mathsf{E}_i\mathsf{F}_j - q^{-(\alpha_i|\alpha_j)}\pi^{\mathrm{p}(i)\mathrm{p}(j)}\mathsf{F}_i\mathsf{E}_j = \delta_{i,j}\frac{1-\tilde{\mathsf{K}}_i}{1-q_i^2\pi_i}, \tag{8.16}$$

which coincides with one of the defining relations in Definition 5.1.

We now define the superfunctors

$$\begin{aligned} F_i^{\Lambda\{n\}} &: \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda(\beta)) \to \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda(\beta+n\alpha_i)),\\ E_i^{\Lambda\{n\}} &: \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda(\beta+n\alpha_i)) \to \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda(\beta)), \end{aligned}$$

by

$$\begin{aligned} F_i^{\Lambda\{n\}}(M) &= R^\Lambda(\beta+n\alpha_i)e(\beta,i^n) \otimes_{R^\Lambda(\beta)\otimes R(n\alpha_i)} \big(M \otimes P(i^n)\big),\\ E_i^{\Lambda\{n\}}(N) &= \big(R^\Lambda(\beta) \otimes P(i^n)^\psi\big) \otimes_{R^\Lambda(\beta)\otimes R(n\alpha_i)} e(\beta,i^n)N \end{aligned}$$

for $M \in \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda(\beta))$ and $N \in \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda(\beta+n\alpha_i))$. Then Proposition 8.3 implies that

$$[n]_i^\pi! E_i^{\Lambda\{n\}} \simeq (E_i^\Lambda)^n \quad \text{and} \quad [n]_i^\pi! F_i^{\Lambda\{n\}} \simeq (F_i^\Lambda)^n.$$

Note that

(8.17)

(i) the actions of E_i on $[\mathrm{Proj}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda)]$ and $[\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda)]$ are locally nilpotent,

(ii) by Proposition 8.11, the actions of F_i on $[\mathrm{Proj}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda)]$ and $[\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda)]$ are locally nilpotent,

(iii) if $\beta \neq 0$ and $M \in \mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda(\beta))$ does not vanish, then there exists $i \in I$ such that $\mathsf{E}_i[M] \neq 0$,

(iv) E_i and F_i are the transpose of each other with respect to the coupling (8.14). Indeed we have $P^\psi \otimes_{R^\Lambda} \mathsf{F}_i M \simeq (\mathsf{E}_i P)^\psi \otimes_{R^\Lambda} M$ and $P^\psi \otimes_{R^\Lambda} \mathsf{E}_i M \simeq (\mathsf{F}_i P)^\psi \otimes_{R^\Lambda} M$.

By Proposition 2.9, (8.16) and (8.17), the endomorphisms E_i and F_i satisfy the Serre relations in Definition 5.1, which gives a $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\mathfrak{g})$-module structure on $[\mathrm{Proj}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda)]$ and $[\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda)]$.

Let $\mathcal{I}rr(R^\Lambda(\beta))$ be the set of isomorphism classes of simple $R^\Lambda(\beta)$-supermodules. Using the fully faithful functor $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda(\beta)) \rightarrowtail \mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R(\beta))$, we define a subset $\mathcal{I}rr_0(R^\Lambda(\beta))$ of $\mathcal{I}rr(R^\Lambda(\beta))$ by

$$\mathcal{I}rr_0(R^\Lambda(\beta)) = \mathcal{I}rr_0(R(\beta)) \cap [\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda(\beta))].$$

Set $\mathcal{I}rr_0(R^\Lambda) := \bigsqcup_{\beta\in \mathrm{Q}^+} \mathcal{I}rr_0(R^\Lambda(\beta))$. Then Theorem 8.9 implies that $\mathcal{I}rr_0(R^\Lambda)$ is a strong perfect basis of $[\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda)]$. Therefore, by Theorem 6.6 and (8.17)(iii), we obtain the following supercategorification theorem.

Theorem 8.14. *Let* $\Lambda \in \mathrm{P}^+$.

(i) $[\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda)]$ *and* $[\mathrm{Proj}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda)]$ *are* $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\mathfrak{g})$*-modules.*

(ii) $\mathcal{I}rr_0(R^\Lambda)$ *is a strong perfect basis of* $[\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda)]$*.*

(iii) *There exist isomorphisms of* $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\mathfrak{g})$*-modules*

$$\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\Lambda)^\vee \simeq [\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda)] \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\Lambda) \simeq [\mathrm{Proj}_{\mathrm{super}}(R^\Lambda)].$$

In particular, $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\Lambda)$ *and* $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\Lambda)^\vee$ *are free* $\mathbb{A}^\pi$*-modules.*

Set

$$[\mathrm{Proj}_{\mathrm{super}}(R)] := \bigoplus_{\beta\in\mathrm{Q}^+}[\mathrm{Proj}_{\mathrm{super}}(R(\beta))], \qquad [\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R)] := \bigoplus_{\beta\in\mathrm{Q}^+}[\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R(\beta))].$$

We denote by $\mathrm{B}^{\mathrm{low}}_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\mathfrak{g})$ (resp. $\mathrm{B}^{\mathrm{up}}_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\mathfrak{g})$) the $\mathbb{A}^\pi$-subalgebra of $\mathrm{B}_{\widetilde{\theta},\widetilde{p}}(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by e'_i and $f_i^{\{n\}}$ (resp. $e_i'^{\{n\}}$ and f_i) for all $i \in I$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Then, by a similar argument given in [KKO12, Corollary 10.3], we have:

Corollary 8.15.

(i) $[\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R)]$ *and* $[\mathrm{Proj}_{\mathrm{super}}(R)]$ *have a structure of* $\mathrm{B}^{\mathrm{up}}_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\mathfrak{g})$*-module and* $\mathrm{B}^{\mathrm{low}}_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\mathfrak{g})$*-module, respectively.*

(ii) *There exist isomorphisms*

$$\mathcal{U}^-_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\mathfrak{g})^\vee \simeq [\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R)] \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{U}^-_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq [\mathrm{Proj}_{\mathrm{super}}(R)]$$

as a $\mathrm{B}^{\mathrm{up}}_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\mathfrak{g})$*-module and a* $\mathrm{B}^{\mathrm{low}}_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\mathfrak{g})$*-module, respectively. In particular,* $\mathcal{U}^-_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\mathfrak{g})$ *and* $\mathcal{U}^-_{\mathbb{A}^\pi}(\mathfrak{g})^\vee$ *are free* $\mathbb{A}^\pi$*-modules.*

Corollary 8.16. *Let* $M, M' \in \mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{super}}(R(\beta))$*. If* $\mathrm{ch}^\pi_q(M) = \mathrm{ch}^\pi_q(M')$*, then* $[M] = [M']$*. In particular, if* M *and* M' *are simple, then* $M \simeq M'$*.*

8.5. **Quantum Kac-Moody algebras.** In [HW12], Hill and Wang proposed a condition on a Cartan superdatum

(C6) the integer d_i is odd if and only if $i \in I_{\mathrm{odd}}$.

Under the condition (C6), we claim that there are equivalences of categories

$$\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{P}}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})) \simeq \mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{P}}(\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})) \simeq \mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{P}}(\mathsf{U}_v(\mathfrak{g})),$$

where $\mathsf{U}_v(\mathfrak{g})$ is the usual quantum Kac-Moody algebra with a parameter v (which will be set to be $\sqrt{\pi}q$).

Let us recall the definition of quantum Kac-Moody algebras. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, set

$$[n]_i^v = [n]_{v^{\mathrm{d}_i}, v^{-\mathrm{d}_i}} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix}_v = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix}_{v^{\mathrm{d}_i}, v^{-\mathrm{d}_i}}.$$

The *quantum Kac-Moody algebra* $\mathsf{U}_v(\mathfrak{g})$ associated with a Cartan datum $(\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{P}, \Pi, \Pi^\vee)$ is the $\mathbb{Q}(v)$-algebra generated by e_i, f_i and $K_i^{\pm 1}$ $(i \in I)$ subject to the following defining relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
&K_i K_j = K_j K_i, \quad K_i e_j K_i^{-1} = v^{\mathrm{d}_i a_{ij}} e_j, \quad K_i f_j K_i^{-1} = v^{-\mathrm{d}_i a_{ij}} f_j, \\
&e_i f_j - f_j e_i = \delta_{i,j} \frac{K_i - K_i^{-1}}{v^{\mathrm{d}_i} - v^{-\mathrm{d}_i}} \quad (i, j \in I), \\
&\sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{ij} \\ k \end{bmatrix}_v f_i^{1-a_{ij}-k} f_j f_i^k = 0 \quad (i \neq j), \\
&\sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^k \begin{bmatrix} 1-a_{ij} \\ k \end{bmatrix}_v e_i^{1-a_{ij}-k} e_j e_i^k = 0 \quad (i \neq j).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{\pi}] \otimes \mathsf{U}_v(\mathfrak{g})$ is nothing but the algebra $U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g})$ with $p_{ii}\theta_{ii}^{-1} = v^{2\mathrm{d}_i}$. Recall that the algebra $\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ is equal to $U_{\theta,\mathrm{p}}(\mathfrak{g})$ with $p_{ii}\theta_{ii}^{-1} = q_i^2 \pi_i$.

Assume that the condition (C6) is satisfied and set $v = q\sqrt{\pi}$. Then we have

$$v^{2\mathrm{d}_i} = (q\sqrt{\pi})^{2\mathrm{d}_i} = q_i^2 \pi^{\mathrm{d}_i} = q_i^2 \pi_i.$$

Therefore, combining with Theorem 5.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{P}}(\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{\pi}] \underset{\mathbb{Q}[\pi]}{\otimes} \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})) &\simeq \mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{P}}(\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})) \simeq \mathrm{Mod}^{\mathrm{P}}\big(\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{\pi}] \otimes \mathsf{U}_v(\mathfrak{g})\big), \\
\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{P}}_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{\pi}] \underset{\mathbb{Q}[\pi]}{\otimes} \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})) &\simeq \mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{P}}_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathbf{U}(\mathfrak{g})) \simeq \mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{P}}_{\mathrm{int}}\big(\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{\pi}] \otimes \mathsf{U}_v(\mathfrak{g})\big).
\end{aligned} \tag{8.18}$$

REFERENCES

[BKM98] G. Benkart, S.-J. Kang and D. Melville, *Quantized enveloping algebras for Borcherds superalgebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **350** (1998), 3297–3319.

[BeKa07] A. Berenstein, D. Kazhdan, *Geometric and unipotent crystals. II, From unipotent bicrystals to crystal bases*, Quantum groups, 13–88, Contemp. Math., **433**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007.

[CHW13] S. Clark, D. Hill, Weiqiang Wang, *Quantum Supergroups I. Foundations*, arXiv:1301.1665.

[EKL11] A. Ellis, M. Khovanov, A. Lauda, *The odd nilHecke algebra and its diagrammatics*, arXiv:1111.1320.

[HW12] D. Hill, W. Wang, *Categorification of quantum Kac-Moody superalgebras*, arXiv:1202.2769.

[Kac77] V. Kac, *Lie superalgebras*, Adv. Math. **26** (1977), 8–96.

[Kac90] V. Kac, *Infinite-dimensional Lie Algebras*, Cambridge University Press, 1990.

[KK11] S.-J. Kang, M. Kashiwara, *Categorification of highest weight modules via Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras*, Invent. math. **190** (2012), 699–742.

[KKO12] S.-J. Kang, M. Kashiwara, S.-j. Oh, *Supercategorification of quantum Kac-Moody algebras*, arXiv:1206.5933

[KKT11] S.-J. Kang, M. Kashiwara, S. Tsuchioka, *Quiver Hecke superalgebras*, arXiv:1107.1039.

[KOP11a] S.-J. Kang, S.-j. Oh, E. Park, *Perfect bases for integrable modules over generalized Kac-Moody algebras*, Algebr. Represent. Theory **14** (2011) no. 3, 571–587.

[Kash91] M. Kashiwara, *On crystal bases of the q-analogue of universal enveloping algebras*, Duke Math. J. **63** (1991), no. 2, 465–516.

[KMPY96] M. Kashiwara, T. Miwa, J. Petersen, C.-M. Yung, *Perfect crystals and q-deformed Fock spaces*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **2** (1996), 415–499.

[KS06] M .Kashiwara, P. Schapira, *Categories and Sheaves*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften **332**, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg (2006).

[KT91] S. M. Khoroshkin, V. N. Tolstoy, *Universal R-matrix for quantized (super)algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **141** (1991), no. 3, 599–617.

[KL09] M. Khovanov, A. Lauda, *A diagrammatic approach to categorification of quantum groups I*, Represent. Theory **13** (2009), 309–347.

[KL11] M. Khovanov, A. Lauda, *A diagrammatic approach to categorification of quantum groups II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **363** (2011), 2685–2700.

[Kle05] A. Kleshchev, *Linear and Projective Representations of Symmetric Groups*, Cambridge Tracts in Math. **163**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005.

[LV09] A. Lauda, M. Vazirani, *Crystals from categorified quantum groups*, Adv. Math. **228** (2011), no. 2, 803–861.

[Lus93] G. Lusztig. *Introduction to Quantum Groups*, Progress in Mathematics **110**, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1993.

[R08] R. Rouquier, *2-Kac-Moody algebras*, arXiv:0812.5023 (2008).

[W10] B. Webster, *Knot invariants and higher dimensional representation theory I: diagrammatic and geometric categorification of tensor products*, arXiv:1001.2020 (2010).

Department of Mathematical Sciences and Research Institute of Mathematics, Seoul National University, 599 Gwanak-ro, Gwanak-gu, Seoul 151-747, Korea
E-mail address: `sjkang@snu.ac.kr`

Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan, and Department of Mathematical Sciences, Seoul National University, 599 Gwanak-ro, Gwanak-gu, Seoul 151-747, Korea
E-mail address: `masaki@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp`

Pohang Mathematics Institute, Pohang University of Science and Technology, San31 Hyoja-Dong Nam-Gu, Pohang 790-784, Korea
E-mail address: `sejin092@gmail.com`